

**Anna Marie Chin – Hearing Stream 14 – Wakatipu Basin Chapter**

1. My name is Anna Marie Chin. I have prepared a statement of evidence for Trojan Helmet Limited (THL) (Submitter 2387 and Further Submitter 1157) dated 19 June 2018 which addresses the building design guidelines that are proposed to apply in the Hills Resort Zone (HRZ)
2. I have the experience as set out at paragraphs 2 to 4 of my evidence.
3. In this statement I will briefly summarise my evidence and respond to any rebuttal evidence as necessary.
4. I have written the building design guidelines that are proposed to apply in the HRZ to provide a design intent framework under which building designs can be developed unencumbered by prescriptive rules. This will allow a sensitive site specific approach to be adopted, rather than a generic design ethos being applied to all areas.
5. The philosophy of the building design guidelines is one that celebrates variation but overall requires the integration of buildings with the various landform characteristics that are distinct in each Activity Area of the HRZ. This cannot be achieved with a singular rules based document such as is applied at Millbrook.
6. The guidelines encourage bespoke responses to landform, requiring buildings to be embedded in the landscape to diminish the perception of domestication and suburbia and to enhance and add to the integrity of the property.
7. The property is a celebration of design; an interwoven fabric of landform, sculptures and buildings. In this sense, the Hills Golf Course is unique in the area and the proposed guidelines seek to retain this.
8. I have read Ms Gilbert's rebuttal evidence and she describes the HRZ as an 'urban parkland type' development character. Ms Pfluger addresses this issue in her evidence summary and I concur with her conclusions.