

In the Matter of the Resource Management Act
1991

And

In the Matter of an appeal under Clause 14(1),
Schedule 1 of the Act

Between

TE ANAU DEVELOPMENTS

Appellant

And

**QUEENSTOWN LAKES DISTRICT
COUNCIL**

Respondent

**Notice of Queenstown Airport
Corporation Limited's wish to be Party
to Proceedings**

Dated: 10 July 2018

To: The Registrar

Lane Neave
Level 1, 2 Memorial Street
PO Box 701
Queenstown
Solicitor Acting: Rebecca Wolt
Email: Rebecca.wolt@laneneave.co.nz
Phone: 03 450 1365

lane neave.

Environment Court
Christchurch

1. Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited (**QAC**) wishes to be party to the following appeal on the Proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan – Stage 1 (**Proposed Plan**):

Te Anau Developments v Queenstown Lakes District Council (ENV-2018-CHC-106) (Appeal)

2. QAC made a submission and further submission about the subject matter of the Appeal. QAC also has an interest in the Appeal that is greater than the interest that the general public has as the relief sought will potentially significantly impact operations at Queenstown and Wanaka Airports.
3. QAC is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the Resource Management Act 1991 (**Act**).
4. QAC is interested in part of the Appeal.
5. In particular, the parts of the Appeal QAC is interested in are:
 - (a) Rule 21.4.27; and
 - (b) Rule 21.4.28.
6. QAC is interested in the following particular issues:
 - (a) The proposed amendments to Rule 21.4.27 and Rule 21.4.28 seek to relax the controls on noise sensitive activities (**ASAN**) within the Outer Control Boundary (**OCB**) for Queenstown and Wanaka Airports which will result in adverse amenity and reverse sensitivity effects.
7. QAC **opposes** the relief sought in the Appeal in relation to the provisions identified above for the reasons stated in its original submission, its further submission and its notice of appeal (*ENV-2018-CHC-093*). QAC generally considers that the relief sought by the Appellant:
 - (a) is inconsistent with best practice land use management around Airports;
 - (b) is inconsistent with the NZ Standards for Airport Noise Management and Land Use Planning;

- (c) does not give effect to the higher order objectives and policies of the Proposed Plan regarding reverse sensitivity effects;
- (d) does not provide adequate protection for Queenstown and Wanaka Airports from reverse sensitivity effects;
- (e) may give rise to adverse amenity effects for the activities sought to be excluded from the application of the rules;
- (f) does not recognise or provide for the Queenstown and Wanaka Airports as regionally significant infrastructure;
- (g) has the potential to significantly impact on the operation and functioning of Queenstown and Wanaka Airports;
- (h) does not represent an efficient use of land under section 7(a);
- (i) does not promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources; and
- (j) is otherwise not the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose of the Act.

8. QAC agrees to participate in mediation or other dispute resolution of the Appeal.

Dated this 10th day of July 2018



Rebecca Wolt/Sophie Reese

Counsel for Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited

Address for Service for the Appellant:

Lane Neave
Level 1, 2 Memorial Street
PO Box 701
Queenstown 9300
Phone: 03 450 1365
Email: Rebecca.wolt@laneneave.co.nz

Contact person: Rebecca Wolt