



FORM 5: SUBMISSION

ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN OR PLAN
CHANGE OR VARIATION OR POLICY STATEMENT



Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991



TO // Queenstown Lakes District Council

Name of submitter **STEPHEN JOHN BLAKIE**

This is a submission on the following proposed policy statement (or on the following proposed plan or on a change proposed to the following policy statement or plan or on the following proposed variation to a proposed policy statement or on the following proposed variation to a proposed plan or on the following proposed variation to a change to an existing policy statement or plan) (the **proposal**):



NAME OF // Proposed or existing policy statement or plan and (where applicable) change or variation

URBAN INTENSIFICATION VARIATION

could / could not**

gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

am / am not**

directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission:

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
(b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

* Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

** Select one.



SPECIFIC PROVISIONS // Of the proposal that my submission relates to are:

(delete details)
That development established by the variation will have significant adverse impacts on the character of the Arrowtown Village.



MY SUBMISSION

(delete details)
I am definitely opposed to this new 12m building height recommendation for the Arrowtown Village.
Firstly we purchased our property 25 years ago for reasons being of a small quantness of village living/lifestyle.
I do not wish to have a building structure towering above my property or my friends property around the village area.
This would have an adduers effect on the whole outlook for the area.
Not only would this effect sunlight hours reaching into peoples Properties which in some cases minimal already.

*If your submission relates to a proposed policy statement or plan prepared or changed using the collaborative planning process, you must indicate the following:

- > whether you consider that the proposed plan or policy statement or change fails to give effect to a consensus position and therefore how it should be modified; or
- > in the case that your submission addresses a point on which the collaborative group did not reach a consensus position, how that provision in the plan or policy statement should be modified.

* This paragraph may be deleted if the proposal is not subject to a collaborative planning process.

**I SEEK THE FOLLOWING DECISION** // From the local authority

(give precise details)
 I wish you the Council / Town Planning to cease plans to expanded the dwellings and buildings height in Arrowtown. We the people of Arrowtown have voiced our opinion several times now but you are NOT listening to the people? The boundaries and intensified building within the Arrowtown village need to adhered to as agreed to in the passed. It is time to listen to the people that voted/employed you and your teams for the betterment of our community.

I wish / do not wish** to be heard in support of my submission.

I will / will not** consider presenting a joint case with others presenting similar submissions.

* In the case of a submission made on a proposed planning instrument that is subject to a streamlined planning process, you need only indicate whether you wish to be heard if the direction specifies that a hearing will be held.

** Select one.

SIGNATURE

**Signature Sj Blakie
[or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter]

Date 5/10/23

** A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.

YOUR DETAILS // Our preferred methods of corresponding with you are by **email** and **phone**.

Electronic address for service of submitter sjblakie@xtra.co.nz

Telephone [work]

[home]

[mobile] 0272285000

Postal Address

[or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act]
20 Cotter Avenue, Arrowtown

Post code

9302

Contact person [name and signature] STEVE BLAKIE

NOTE // To person making submission

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- > it is frivolous or vexatious;
- > it discloses no reasonable or relevant case;
- > it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further;
- > it contains offensive language;
- > it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

