

<b>No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Submission Summary</b>	<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>Section where addressed</b>
3007	John Allan	That the planning maps are amended to remove the Wahi Tupuna mapping overlay from Closeburn.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3008	Reavers Lodge	That the objectives and policies in the Wahi Tupuna chapter do not apply to 56 Hamilton Road, Queenstown.	Reject	Section 4.2
3008	Reavers Lodge	That the mapping of Wahi Tupuna #27 be amended so that the boundary follows the tree line and associated site boundary of the Ben Lomond Scenic Reserve.	Reject	Section 5.8
3008	Reavers Lodge	That 56 Hamilton Road, Queenstown not be subject to the Wahi Tupuna.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3008	Reavers Lodge	That Rule 39.3.2.1 be deleted, and/or clarified to demonstrate an amended overlay map in accordance with the submission.	Reject	Section 5.4
3008	Reavers Lodge	That Rule 39.5.1 be deleted.	Accept	Section 5.6
3008	Reavers Lodge	That Rule 39.5.2 be deleted.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3008	Reavers Lodge	That Rule 39.5.3 be deleted.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3008	Reavers Lodge	That any such further, more refined, additional, other or alternative changes and amendments be made that might give effect to the submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3008	Reavers Lodge	That Objective 39.2.1 be deleted.	Accept in part	Section 5.2
3008	Reavers Lodge	That 39.3.2 be deleted.	Accept in part	Section 5.4
3008	Reavers Lodge	That 39.5 be deleted.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3009	Lloyd Richardson	That section 39.2 Objectives and Policies of the Wahi Tupuna chapter be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3009	Lloyd Richardson	That section 39.3 Other Provisions and Rules of the Wahi Tupuna chapter be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.4
3009	Lloyd Richardson	That section 39.4 Rules - Activities of the Wahi Tupuna chapter be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3009	Lloyd Richardson	That section 39.5 Rules - Standards of the Wahi Tupuna chapter be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3009	Lloyd Richardson	That section 39.6 Schedule of the Wahi Tupuna chapter be rejected	Accept in part	Section 4.5
3009	Lloyd Richardson	That the Variations to the Proposed District Plan that relate to Wahi Tupuna be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 4.2
3010	Lloyds Limited	That it is explained why the proposed wahi tupuna chapter has even got this far.	Reject	Section 4.5
3012	Bruce Hebbard	That land in private ownership be removed from Wahi Tupuna #3 and #32 overlay at Albert Town.	Reject	Section 4.2

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3014	New Zealand Sotheby's International Realty	That the Wahi Tupuna overlay be removed from 20 and 22 Kerry Drive, Queenstown.	Reject	Section 4.2
3018	Leigh Carppe	That Chapter 39 is removed from the District Plan in its entirety.	Reject	Section 4.5
3019	Patrick Dodson	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Accept in part	Section 5.1
3019	Patrick Dodson	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3019	Patrick Dodson	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3019	Patrick Dodson	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3019	Patrick Dodson	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3019	Patrick Dodson	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3019	Patrick Dodson	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3019	Patrick Dodson	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3019	Patrick Dodson	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3019	Patrick Dodson	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3020	Yvonne Aubrey	That 39.1 (Purpose) be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.1
3020	Yvonne Aubrey	That consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc in regard to the Takerahaka Wahi Tupuna #23 in the Schedule of Wahi Tupuna areas.	Reject	Section 5.3
3020	Yvonne Aubrey	That a review of the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process be undertaken to establish the efficiency and effectiveness in achieving Objective 39.2.1 and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3020	Yvonne Aubrey	That further consideration and policy development take place to prevent the ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land once no impacts have been established in the initial consultation.	Reject	Section 3.4

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3020	Yvonne Aubrey	That the boundary of the Takerahaka Wahi Tupuna #23 be rejected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3020	Yvonne Aubrey	That the 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' threats identified for the Takerahaka Wahi Tupuna area (ref #23) be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.13
3020	Yvonne Aubrey	That the current urban area of Kingston be removed from Takerahaka Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3020	Yvonne Aubrey	That the inclusion of publicly managed land in Kingston within Takerahaka Wahi Tupuna #23 is supported.	Reject	Section 4.2
3020	Yvonne Aubrey	That the inclusion of specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston in the identified Takerahaka Wahi Tupuna #23 is supported.	Reject	Section 4.2
3020	Yvonne Aubrey	That the inclusion of land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston in Takerahaka Wahi Tupuna #23 is supported.	Reject	Section 4.2
3020	Yvonne Aubrey	That the 10 m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Kingston be rejected and replaced with the 300 m <sup>3</sup> permitted threshold applicable to the proposed Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3020	Yvonne Aubrey	That the 10 m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold for the Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed and replaced with a more workable volume threshold.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3021	Leigh Carppe	That the mapping of Wahi Tupuna in the District Plan is rejected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3023	MM Stores Ltd	That the Wahi Tupuna chapter be rejected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3025	Monica Banhide	That all provisions relating to Wahi Tupuna, including mapping, are readdressed.	Accept in part	Section 4.5
3026	Anna Barker	That Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna is rejected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3027	David Wills	That the submitter's land, 17b Daveys Place Arrowtown, be excluded from Wahi Tupuna.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3027	David Wills	That clarification be given in regards to the requirement to consult with iwi prior to development on the submitter's property.	Accept in part	Section 3.4
3028	Ken Gousmett	That Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna is rejected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3028	Ken Gousmett	That Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna be withdrawn to allow for more thought and justification prior to new public notification.	Accept in part	Section 3.1
3028	Ken Gousmett	That the Wahi Tupuna boundary lines be mapped to a better resolution	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3033	Melissa McGrannachan	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3033	Melissa McGrannachan	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3033	Melissa McGrannachan	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3033	Melissa McGrannachan	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3033	Melissa McGrannachan	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3033	Melissa McGrannachan	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3033	Melissa McGrannachan	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3033	Melissa McGrannachan	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3033	Melissa McGrannachan	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3035	Jan Hendren	That Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna is rejected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3035	Jan Hendren	That the limits and values of Ngai Tahu are explained.	Accept in part	Section 4.5
3036	Nick Clark	That the mapping of Wahi Tupuna be rejected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3036	Nick Clark	That up to 400 cubic metres of earthworks be provided for.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3036	Nick Clark	That the variation to Chapter 30 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.15
3038	Glen Dene Ltd	That the rules associated with Wahi Tupuna are too complex, and they need reconsidering.	Accept in part	Section 5.4
3038	Glen Dene Ltd	That the Wahi Tupuna overlay in the Rural General zone be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.4
3040	Vernon Reid	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3040	Vernon Reid	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3040	Vernon Reid	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3040	Vernon Reid	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3040	Vernon Reid	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3040	Vernon Reid	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the	Accept in part	Section 5.11

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		notified Settlement Zone.		
3040	Vernon Reid	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3040	Vernon Reid	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3040	Vernon Reid	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3040	Vernon Reid	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3042	Clive Smith	That Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna be rejected until further consultation with public is undertaken.	Reject	Section 3.1
3043	Jessica Reid	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Accept in part	Section 5.1
3043	Jessica Reid	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3043	Jessica Reid	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3043	Jessica Reid	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3043	Jessica Reid	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3043	Jessica Reid	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3043	Jessica Reid	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3043	Jessica Reid	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3043	Jessica Reid	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3043	Jessica Reid	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3045	Sean Rogers	That the inclusion of renewable energy activities within Chapter 39 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.15

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3045	Sean Rogers	That 30.4.1.4 be removed in its entirety.	Accept in part	Section 5.15
3046	Gary Patterson	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3046	Gary Patterson	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3046	Gary Patterson	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3046	Gary Patterson	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3046	Gary Patterson	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3046	Gary Patterson	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3046	Gary Patterson	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3046	Gary Patterson	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3046	Gary Patterson	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3046	Gary Patterson	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3052	Robert Taylor	That further restrictions or consultation processes related to Wahi Tupuna chapter and proposals on 108 Atley Road, Arthurs Point, Queenstown, be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 4.2
3052	Robert Taylor	That greater level of consultation be undertaken with rate payers and land owners.	Accept in part	Section 3.1
3052	Robert Taylor	That a QLDC representative undertake a site visit to explain the cultural significance in relation to 108 Atley Road, Arthurs Point.	Reject	Section 3.3
3052	Robert Taylor	That the Wahi Tupuna Chapter be rejected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3053	Jayne Simmons	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3053	Jayne Simmons	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3053	Jayne Simmons	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3053	Jayne Simmons	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston	Reject	Section 4.2

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.		
3053	Jayne Simmons	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3053	Jayne Simmons	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3053	Jayne Simmons	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3053	Jayne Simmons	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3053	Jayne Simmons	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3053	Jayne Simmons	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3054	Peter Acheson Presland and Anne Deans Presland	That Minaret Station (Section 1 Block II Mid Wanaka Survey District) be excluded from the Wahi Tupuna overlay.	Reject	Section 5.8
3054	Peter Acheson Presland and Anne Deans Presland	That 39.2.1 be rejected on the basis that it is an unclear additional consent process.	Accept in part	Section 5.2
3054	Peter Acheson Presland and Anne Deans Presland	That 39.5 be rejected due to uncertainty regarding the process.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3054	Peter Acheson Presland and Anne Deans Presland	That 39.6 be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.8
3054	Peter Acheson Presland and Anne Deans Presland	That further evidence, description of process, and justification for inclusion of the submitter's property be required.	Accept in part	Section 4.5
3055	Alpha Burn Station	That the Wahi Tupuna mapping overlay be removed from Alpha Burn Station	Reject	Section 5.8
3057	Neil & Hilary Jackson	That reference to Wahi Tupuna (sites of significance to Iwi) be removed from the District Plan.	Reject	Section 4.2
3058	James Lucas	That the Wahi Tupuna area be excluded from the residential area of Huff and Fryer Street.	Accept in part	Section 5.7
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That the Purpose section of Chapter 39 be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.1
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That Objective 39.2.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.2
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That the provisions in Section 39.3.1 District Wide be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.4

<b>No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Submission Summary</b>	<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>Section where addressed</b>
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That section 39.3.2 Interpreting and Applying the Rules be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.4
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That the Rules in Section 39.4 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That the Rules in section 39.5 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development', 'building and structures', and 'energy and utility activities' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.7
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That the variation to Chapter 2 Definitions associated with Chapter 39 be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.9
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That the word 'threat' be deleted from Chapter 39.	Accept in part	Section 4.5
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That the Variation to Chapter 12 related to the Wahi Tupuna proposal be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.10
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That the Variation to Chapter 13 related to the Wahi Tupuna proposal be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.10
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That the Variation to Chapter 14 related to the Wahi Tupuna proposal be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.10
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That the Variation to Chapter 15 related to the Wahi Tupuna proposal be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.10
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That the Variation to Chapter 16 related to the Wahi Tupuna proposal be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.10
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold be adopted and assessed, but only through current and existing RMA processes.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That the Variation to Chapter 26 related to the Wahi Tupuna proposal be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.12
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That the Variation to Chapter 27 related to the Wahi Tupuna proposal be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.13
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That the Variation to Chapter 29 related to the Wahi Tupuna proposal be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.14
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That the Variation to Chapter 30 related to the Wahi Tupuna proposal be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.15
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That all privately owned freehold land in the district (urban and rural regardless of level of development) be removed from proposed Wahi Tupuna areas.	Reject	Section 4.2
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That the inclusion of Crown land within the Wahi Tupuna areas be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That the inclusion of Maori Freehold Land and Maori Customary Land that is recognised as Maori Ancestral Land as Wahi Tupuna areas be retained.	Reject	Section 5.1
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That lakes of significance to manawhenua, and rivers/streams that	Reject	Section 4.2



No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		meet a qualifying width of 3m which are also of significance to manawhenua be retained as Wahi Tupuna areas.		
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance to manawhenua such as wahi tupuna and other Taonga.	Accept	Section 4.5
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That the threat of 'exotic species including wilding pines' identified in Schedule 36.6 for Wahi Tupuna #23 is refined to more specifically target only those exotic species considered to be invasive.	Reject	Section 5.7
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That consultation with manawhenua be abolished in all cases relating to freehold land where no identified waterway is deemed to be affected.	Reject	Section 3.4
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That where earthworks rules are consented to be breached, then impose conditions that a representative of the manawhenua be present on site during the earthworks process at the cost of the consent holder.	Reject	Section 5.11
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That if submission point 3059.27 is not accepted, for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That if submission point 3059.27 is not accepted, that further consideration and policy development is undertaken to prevent ongoing and indefinite need to consult with manawhenua over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That if submission point 3059.27 is not accepted, further consideration and policy development is undertaken to further define the limitations of any discretion that can be applied to any proposal by manawhenua.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That statutory timeframes are imposed for processing and providing definitive guidance on consultation, so that processing manawhenua authorities can be held accountable for unjustified and quantifiable project costs or delays.	Reject	Section 5.3
3059	Daniel Batchelor	That the setback distances in Table 39.5 be retained as notified.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3061	Kim Patterson	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3061	Kim Patterson	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3061	Kim Patterson	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3061	Kim Patterson	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3061	Kim Patterson	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi	Reject	Section 5.13

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		Tupuna #23.		
3061	Kim Patterson	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5. 11
3061	Kim Patterson	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5. 11
3062	John Bell	That objective 39.2.1 be amended as follows: The values held by Manawhenua, Forest and Bird and the Federated Mountain Clubs Inc. within wahi tupuna and additional areas identified by these organisations are recognised and provided for, and considered as part of decision-making. And any consequential changes be made to any relevant policy.	Reject	Section 5.2
3062	John Bell	That the same role and status as is proposed for Ngai Tahu with regard to Wahi Tupuna areas and others similarly identified be extended to two responsible and respected community organisations as requiring equal protection according to cultural and environmental values.	Reject	Section 5.2
3063	Waterfall Park Developments Limited	That Rule 39.5.1 be amended to include 'and' at the end of sub clause 39.5.1.a. .	Accept in part	Section 5.2
3063	Waterfall Park Developments Limited	That Rule 39.5.2 be amended to include 'and' at the end of sub clause 39.5.2.a. .	Accept in part	Section 5.2
3063	Waterfall Park Developments Limited	That Rule 39.5.3 be amended to include 'and' at the end of sub clause 39.5.1.a. .	Accept in part	Section 5.2
3063	Waterfall Park Developments Limited	That Schedule 39.6 be amended to include an English language interpretation of the Maori value either within the schedule or as part of a glossary at the end.	Accept in part	Section 5.7
3064	Nicola Riddell	That the boundary of the Wahi Tupuna overlays be changed to the Queens Chain.	Reject	Section 4.2
3064	Nicola Riddell	That an explanation be provided of: how the Wahi Tupuna boundary width was calculated; what historical evidence was used to determine the width of the boundary and to place the boundary through Whitechapel and through the residence on 445 McDonnell Road; why landowners should be accountable to an external body; whether landowners have been deprived on rights by the proposal.	Reject	Section 4.5
3064	Nicola Riddell	That the objectives and policies of proposed Chapter 39 (Wahi Tupuna) be rejected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3064	Nicola Riddell	That controls on earthworks which exceed 10m <sup>3</sup> be removed from the Wahi Tupuna proposal.	Accept in part	Section 5.11

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3064	Nicola Riddell	That utilities and energy activities be removed from proposed Chapter 39.	Accept in part	Section 5. 15
3064	Nicola Riddell	That Rule 39.5.2 (setbacks from waterbodies) be rejected, or change the setback distance to 20 metres or 1 chain.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3064	Nicola Riddell	That Wahi Tupuna #28 (Haehaenui (Arrow River)) be removed from Schedule 39.6.	Reject	Section 5.7
3064	Nicola Riddell	That the process for obtaining a cultural impact assessment including timeframes and costs that will be incurred be clearly defined.	Reject	Section 5.3
3064	Nicola Riddell	That a clear explanation be provided on whether consultation with iwi is optional or not, and why a third party is collecting revenue through the process.	Reject	Section 5.3
3064	Nicola Riddell	That Rule 25.5.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3064	Nicola Riddell	That an explanation be provided on how the 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks limit was calculated and why it was changed from the current 400m <sup>3</sup> .	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3064	Nicola Riddell	That Rule 30.4.1.4 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.15
3064	Nicola Riddell	That an explanation be provided on why a resource consent and cultural impact assessment is required for the installation of an environmentally sustainable method of households reducing their electricity consumption.	Accept in part	Section 5.15
3066	Rodney Baker	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3066	Rodney Baker	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3066	Rodney Baker	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3066	Rodney Baker	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3066	Rodney Baker	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3066	Rodney Baker	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3066	Rodney Baker	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3066	Rodney Baker	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3066	Rodney Baker	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3066	Rodney Baker	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3067	Sunshine Bay Limited	That the intent of mapping Wahi Tupuna in the Proposed District Plan is supported.	Accept in part	Section 3.4
3067	Sunshine Bay Limited	That further information and details be provided on how Punatapu Wahi Tupuna #16 was identified and if it is significant in relation to Lot 1 DP 397058 and any concerns of iwi in relation to this site.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3067	Sunshine Bay Limited	That Council ensure that Chapter 39 and schedule 39.6 do not duplicate the function of Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014.	Accept in part	Section 3.4
3067	Sunshine Bay Limited	That the earthworks volume of 10m <sup>3</sup> be reconsidered as it duplicates the archaeological values already managed within the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014.	Accept in part	Section 3.4
3067	Sunshine Bay Limited	That the identified threats be consistent with the NPSUDC to ensure they do not prevent responsive planning and the provision of sufficient, feasible development capacity for housing on sites such as Lot 1 DP 3970578.	Accept in part	Section 4.4
3067	Sunshine Bay Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.2 be amended to make the activities identified more specific and defined.	Accept in part	Section 4.4
3067	Sunshine Bay Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.3 be amended to focus away from avoidance, but rather have a focus on remediation and mitigation.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3067	Sunshine Bay Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.4 be amended to be more defined and specify what activities have adverse effects on Manawhenua values.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3067	Sunshine Bay Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.7 be amended to remove broad reference to Policies 39.2.1.1 and 39.2.1.2 and instead define activities that are incompatible with values held by Manawhenua.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3067	Sunshine Bay Limited	That rule 25.5.2 be amended so that the maximum volume of earthworks in Wahi Tupuna areas better align with the underlying Earthworks zone rules.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3067	Sunshine Bay Limited	That rule 27.5.12A activity status be amended to avoid making any subdivision in Wahi Tupuna fully discretionary when it would otherwise be Restricted Discretionary or Controlled under the PDP.	Accept in part	Section 5.13
3067	Sunshine Bay Limited	That council investigate better ways to integrate the concept of Wahi Tupuna more efficiently and effectively into the PDP.	Accept in part	Section 4.1
3067	Sunshine Bay Limited	That Earthworks Rule 25.4.5 be re-notified as a result of the changes associated with Chapter 39 to ensure the effect of the	Reject	Section 5.11

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		Rule can be fully understood.		
3067	Sunshine Bay Limited	That any other consequential changes be considered to achieve the relief specified in the submission.	Accept in part	5.7
3068	Glen Dennison	That historical evidence be provided for how the area at Whitechapel was determined.	Reject	Section 4.5
3068	Glen Dennison	That the 10m <sup>3</sup> of earthworks rule be removed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3068	Glen Dennison	That the boundary of the wahi tupuna be rejected and moved to the Queens chain.	Reject	Section 4.2
3068	Glen Dennison	That the notified earthworks provisions be rejected and the operative earthworks provisions be retained.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3068	Glen Dennison	That the reason for the 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks be explained.	Reject	Section 5.11
3069	Michael Clark	That the Chapter 39 process be restarted so that everyone concerned can be involved.	Reject	Section 4.5
3069	Michael Clark	That the consultation process be open and transparent and between QLDC and the iwi authorities.	Accept in part	Section 3.1
3069	Michael Clark	That consultation should be only required on rare occasions and a maximum response time be set for the response to be given to the applicant.	Reject	Section 5.3
3069	Michael Clark	That Policy 39.2.1.1e. should allow for a specific amount of indigenous vegetation clearance without the need for notification.	Reject	Section 5.3
3069	Michael Clark	That Policy 39.2.1.2.f. is supported as notified.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3069	Michael Clark	That in relation to Policy 39.2.1.2c. a guideline is provided on limits to heights, changes in shape (decks, roof) for existing buildings without the need for consultation.	Reject	Section 5.3
3069	Michael Clark	That the words significant adverse effects.be clarified in Policy 39.2.1.3.	Reject	Section 5.3
3069	Michael Clark	That the words significant adverse effects.be clarified in Policy 39.2.1.4.	Reject	Section 5.3
3069	Michael Clark	That Policy 39.2.1.5 is opposed and that consultation should be avoided as much as possible with set maximum periods.	Reject	Section 5.3
3069	Michael Clark	That the submitters property located at 35 Watties Track, Arthurs Point 2067, OT18C/231 Sec 136 BLK XIX Shotover SD is rejected and deleted from the Schedule of Wahi Tupuna (Site 20 Te Tapunui).	Reject	Section 5.7
3069	Michael Clark	That the Councils regulations be complete and very detailed to protect all areas of historic interest and avoid the need for a cultural expert to be involved in every consent application.	Reject	Section 5.3

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3069	Michael Clark	That Significant Natural Areas be defined with references.	Reject	Section 5.3
3069	Michael Clark	That in relation to Policy 39.2.1.2.j Energy and Utilities it be clarified how these adversely affect cultural values.	Reject	Section 5.3
3069	Michael Clark	That in relation to Policy 39.2.1.6 duplication of consultation process is avoided.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3069	Michael Clark	That the council review historic settlement of Lake Hayes and explain why this area is not included on the map.	Reject	Section 4.5
3069	Michael Clark	That Chapter 39 is rejected and the process restarted with detailed descriptions of why or what in each mapped area requires management and full explanation of significance, and follow the requirements of the RMA 1991.	Reject	Section 4.5
3071	Raymond Dennison	That Wahi Tupuna 39.1 Purpose be rejected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3071	Raymond Dennison	That the Wahi Tupuna boundary be changed to Queens Chain at 1186 State Highway 6, Queenstown.	Reject	Section 4.2
3071	Raymond Dennison	That historical evidence be provided in relation to the placement of boundary at 1186 State Highway 6, Queenstown.	Reject	Section 4.5
3071	Raymond Dennison	That Wahi Tupuna 39.2 Objectives and Policies be rejected.	Accept in Part	Section 5.3
3071	Raymond Dennison	That the 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks rule be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3071	Raymond Dennison	That details be provided on how 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks rule was calculated in relation to Wahi Tupuna.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3073	Lloyd James Veint	That Chapter 39 and associated variations and planning map changes be rejected until the matters raised are addressed.	Reject	Section 4.5
3073	Lloyd James Veint	That the provisions in Chapter 39 do not unnecessarily duplicate the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014.	Accept in part	Section 3.4
3073	Lloyd James Veint	That Policy 39.2.1.2 be amended so that is it not so broadly defined.	Accept in part	Section 3.4
3073	Lloyd James Veint	That Policy 39.2.1.3 be deleted or amended to refocus it away from avoidance and onto remediation and mitigation.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3073	Lloyd James Veint	That Policy 39.2.1.4 be deleted or amended to specify what activities have such adverse effects on Manawhenua values that they should be avoided.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3073	Lloyd James Veint	That Policy 39.2.1.7 be deleted or amended to make it more specific.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3073	Lloyd James Veint	That Rule 25.5.2 be amended so that the maximum volume of earthworks in Wahi Tupuna areas align with the underlying zone rules, including increasing the limit from 10m <sup>3</sup> to 1000m <sup>3</sup> in the Rural Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3073	Lloyd James Veint	That Rule 25.5.7 be amended so that the maximum volume of earthworks align with the underlying zone rules, including	Accept in part	Section 5.11

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		increasing the limit from 10m <sup>3</sup> to 1000m <sup>3</sup> in the Rural Zone.		
3073	Lloyd James Veint	That Rule 27.5.12A be amended to avoid making subdivision within a Wahi Tupuna fully discretionary when it would be restricted discretionary or controlled under the underlying PDP zoning.	Accept in part	Section 4.1
3073	Lloyd James Veint	That Council investigate better ways to integrate the concept of Wahi Tupuna into the PDP in a more efficient and effective way.	Accept in part	Section 4.1
3073	Lloyd James Veint	That Rule 39.4.1 be amended so that the activity status matches the underlying zoning, but with Wahi Tupuna values added as a matter of control or discretion.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3073	Lloyd James Veint	That Rule 25.4.5 be re-notified.	Reject	Section 5.11
3074	John & Kay Richards	That volunteers should be used for iwi consultation to take away additional future costs.	Reject	Section 5.3
3074	John & Kay Richards	That clarity should be provided to all those who received letters from QLDC the reason why the land was identified.	Accept in part	Section 4.5
3074	John & Kay Richards	That clarification by iwi should be made to highlight the steps, costs and timeframes for iwi consultation.	Reject	Section 4.2
3074	John & Kay Richards	That rates should not be used to pay Council staff to make money through Wahi Tupuna.	Reject	Section 4.2
3074	John & Kay Richards	That the selection of properties mapped as Wahi Tupuna is unfair and it is questioned why Lake Hayes is not included.	Reject	Section 4.5
3075	Andrea Edghill	That the Wahi Tupuna boundary follow the Council reserve boundary along Cedar Drive lake front.	Reject	Section 4.2
3076	Ryan Harvey	That the Kingston township be excluded from Wahi Tupuna.	Reject	Section 4.2
3077	Mark Thompson	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3077	Mark Thompson	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3077	Mark Thompson	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3077	Mark Thompson	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3077	Mark Thompson	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3077	Mark Thompson	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3077	Mark Thompson	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3077	Mark Thompson	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3077	Mark Thompson	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3077	Mark Thompson	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3078	Gordon Murphy	That the boundary for 443 McDonnell Road is moved to the Queens Chain.	Reject	Section 4.2
3078	Gordon Murphy	That the provisions relating to 10m <sup>3</sup> of earthworks are rejected	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3078	Gordon Murphy	That clarification is sought on how to obtain a cultural impact assessment, how long it will take and how much it will cost	Reject	Section 5.3
3078	Gordon Murphy	That clarification is sought on the historical evidence as to how the boundary for 443 McDonnell Road was established.	Reject	Section 4.5
3078	Gordon Murphy	That clarification is provided on how the 10m <sup>3</sup> was determined	Accept in Part	Section 5.11
3078	Gordon Murphy	That clarification is sought that the landowners private property rights are not compromised by the zoning	Reject	Section 4.2
3078	Gordon Murphy	That details are provided on how the Wahi Tupuna boundary was calculated.	Reject	Section 4.5
3080	Transpower New Zealand Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.2 is retained as notified.	Reject	Section 4.3
3080	Transpower New Zealand Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.3 is retained as notified.	Reject	Section 5.3
3080	Transpower New Zealand Limited	That the 'matters of discretion' in Rules 39.5.1, 39.5.2 and 39.5.3 are amended as follows: a) Effects on cultural values of Manawhenua as set out in Schedule 39.6, including recognised threats to those values.	Reject	Section 5.6
3080	Transpower New Zealand Limited	That the listing of the Kawarau Rivers is retained as notified.	Reject	Section 5.7
3080	Transpower New Zealand Limited	That the 'Recognised Threat' description in schedule 39.6, number 29 is amended to the following; c. Energy activities.	Reject	Section 5.7
3081	Adrian Van Der Voorn	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3081	Adrian Van Der Voorn	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3081	Adrian Van Der Voorn	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3081	Adrian Van Der Voorn	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2



No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3081	Adrian Van Der Voorn	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3081	Adrian Van Der Voorn	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3081	Adrian Van Der Voorn	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3081	Adrian Van Der Voorn	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3081	Adrian Van Der Voorn	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3081	Adrian Van Der Voorn	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3082	Alastair Mclees	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 5.7
3082	Alastair Mclees	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3082	Alastair Mclees	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3082	Alastair Mclees	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3082	Alastair Mclees	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3082	Alastair Mclees	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3082	Alastair Mclees	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3082	Alastair Mclees	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3082	Alastair Mclees	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3082	Alastair Mclees	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of	Reject	Section 3.4

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		land.		
3083	Anna O'leary	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 5.7
3083	Anna O'leary	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3083	Anna O'leary	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3083	Anna O'leary	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3083	Anna O'leary	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3083	Anna O'leary	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3083	Anna O'leary	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3083	Anna O'leary	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3083	Anna O'leary	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3083	Anna O'leary	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3084	Annabell Wilson	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 5.7
3084	Annabell Wilson	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3084	Annabell Wilson	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3084	Annabell Wilson	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3084	Annabell Wilson	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3084	Annabell Wilson	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3084	Annabell Wilson	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3084	Annabell Wilson	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3084	Annabell Wilson	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3084	Annabell Wilson	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3085	Anne Neilson	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 5.7
3085	Anne Neilson	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3085	Anne Neilson	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3085	Anne Neilson	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3085	Anne Neilson	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3085	Anne Neilson	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3085	Anne Neilson	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3085	Anne Neilson	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3085	Anne Neilson	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3085	Anne Neilson	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3086	Beverly Nicholson	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 5.7
3086	Beverly Nicholson	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3086	Beverly Nicholson	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3086	Beverly Nicholson	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3086	Beverly Nicholson	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3086	Beverly Nicholson	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3086	Beverly Nicholson	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3086	Beverly Nicholson	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3086	Beverly Nicholson	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3086	Beverly Nicholson	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3087	Catherine Mercer	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 5.7
3087	Catherine Mercer	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3087	Catherine Mercer	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3087	Catherine Mercer	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3087	Catherine Mercer	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3087	Catherine Mercer	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3087	Catherine Mercer	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3087	Catherine Mercer	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3087	Catherine Mercer	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3087	Catherine Mercer	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3088	Cole Spittles	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 5.7
3088	Cole Spittles	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3088	Cole Spittles	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3088	Cole Spittles	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3088	Cole Spittles	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3088	Cole Spittles	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3088	Cole Spittles	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3088	Cole Spittles	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3088	Cole Spittles	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3088	Cole Spittles	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3089	Daniel Koot	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 5.7
3089	Daniel Koot	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3089	Daniel Koot	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3089	Daniel Koot	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3089	Daniel Koot	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3089	Daniel Koot	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the	Accept in part	Section 5.11

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		notified Settlement Zone.		
3089	Daniel Koot	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3089	Daniel Koot	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3089	Daniel Koot	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3089	Daniel Koot	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3090	Darren York	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 5.7
3090	Darren York	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3090	Darren York	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3090	Darren York	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3090	Darren York	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3090	Darren York	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3090	Darren York	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3090	Darren York	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3090	Darren York	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3090	Darren York	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3091	David Savage	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 5.7
3091	David Savage	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3091	David Savage	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3091	David Savage	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3091	David Savage	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3091	David Savage	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3091	David Savage	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3091	David Savage	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3091	David Savage	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3091	David Savage	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3092	Donald Preston	That the urban area of Kingstona settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 5.7
3092	Donald Preston	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3092	Donald Preston	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3092	Donald Preston	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3092	Donald Preston	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3092	Donald Preston	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3092	Donald Preston	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3092	Donald Preston	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3092	Donald Preston	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be	Reject	Section 3.2

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		given consideration.		
3092	Donald Preston	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3093	Geoffery Storm	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 5.7
3093	Geoffery Storm	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3093	Geoffery Storm	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3093	Geoffery Storm	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3093	Geoffery Storm	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3093	Geoffery Storm	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3093	Geoffery Storm	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3093	Geoffery Storm	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3093	Geoffery Storm	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3093	Geoffery Storm	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3094	Graham Stevens	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 5.7
3094	Graham Stevens	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3094	Graham Stevens	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3094	Graham Stevens	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3094	Graham Stevens	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13



No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3094	Graham Stevens	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3094	Graham Stevens	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3094	Graham Stevens	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3094	Graham Stevens	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3094	Graham Stevens	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3095	Jane Sutherland	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 5.7
3095	Jane Sutherland	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3095	Jane Sutherland	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3095	Jane Sutherland	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3095	Jane Sutherland	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3095	Jane Sutherland	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3095	Jane Sutherland	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3095	Jane Sutherland	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3095	Jane Sutherland	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3095	Jane Sutherland	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3096	Jeffrey Rogers	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 5.7

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3096	Jeffrey Rogers	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3096	Jeffrey Rogers	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3096	Jeffrey Rogers	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3096	Jeffrey Rogers	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3096	Jeffrey Rogers	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3096	Jeffrey Rogers	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3096	Jeffrey Rogers	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3096	Jeffrey Rogers	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3096	Jeffrey Rogers	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3097	Jennifer Preston	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 5.7
3097	Jennifer Preston	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3097	Jennifer Preston	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3097	Jennifer Preston	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23	Reject	Section 4.2
3097	Jennifer Preston	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3097	Jennifer Preston	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3097	Jennifer Preston	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3097	Jennifer Preston	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3

<b>No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Submission Summary</b>	<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>Section where addressed</b>
3097	Jennifer Preston	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3097	Jennifer Preston	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3098	Jennifer Smith	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 5.7
3098	Jennifer Smith	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3098	Jennifer Smith	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3098	Jennifer Smith	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3098	Jennifer Smith	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3098	Jennifer Smith	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3098	Jennifer Smith	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3098	Jennifer Smith	That there be no consultation fees or costs to property owners or ratepayers for consultation on Wahi Tupuna matters.	Reject	Section 5.3
3098	Jennifer Smith	That any Wahi Tupuna interest in a property is disclosed in writing to the property owner and is quantifiable and specific.	Reject	Section 4.5
3098	Jennifer Smith	That there be an English translation for all Maori terms used in the district plan.	Accept in part	Section 5.9
3099	Jeremy Smith	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 5.7
3099	Jeremy Smith	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3099	Jeremy Smith	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3099	Jeremy Smith	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3099	Jeremy Smith	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3099	Jeremy Smith	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3099	Jeremy Smith	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3099	Jeremy Smith	That an English translation of all Maori terms used in the district plan be included in all places a Maori term is used.	Accept in part	Section 5.9
3100	Jessica Smith	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 5.7
3100	Jessica Smith	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3100	Jessica Smith	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3100	Jessica Smith	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3100	Jessica Smith	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3100	Jessica Smith	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3100	Jessica Smith	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3100	Jessica Smith	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3100	Jessica Smith	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3100	Jessica Smith	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3101	Jeff McCaffery	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 5.7
3101	Jeff McCaffery	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3101	Jeff McCaffery	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3101	Jeff McCaffery	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3101	Jeff McCaffery	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3101	Jeff McCaffery	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3101	Jeff McCaffery	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3101	Jeff McCaffery	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3101	Jeff McCaffery	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3101	Jeff McCaffery	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3102	Johannes Gouma	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 5.7
3102	Johannes Gouma	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3102	Johannes Gouma	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3102	Johannes Gouma	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3102	Johannes Gouma	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3102	Johannes Gouma	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3102	Johannes Gouma	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3102	Johannes Gouma	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3102	Johannes Gouma	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3102	Johannes Gouma	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3103	John Conner	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 5.7
3103	John Conner	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3103	John Conner	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3103	John Conner	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3103	John Conner	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3103	John Conner	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3103	John Conner	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3103	John Conner	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3103	John Conner	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3103	John Conner	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3104	Kathryn Savage	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 5.7
3104	Kathryn Savage	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3104	Kathryn Savage	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3104	Kathryn Savage	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3104	Kathryn Savage	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3104	Kathryn Savage	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the	Accept in part	Section 5.11

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		notified Settlement Zone.		
3104	Kathryn Savage	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3104	Kathryn Savage	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3104	Kathryn Savage	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3104	Kathryn Savage	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3105	Kerry Connor	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 5.7
3105	Kerry Connor	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3105	Kerry Connor	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3105	Kerry Connor	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3105	Kerry Connor	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3105	Kerry Connor	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3105	Kerry Connor	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3105	Kerry Connor	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3105	Kerry Connor	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3105	Kerry Connor	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3106	Kingston Community Association	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 5.7
3106	Kingston Community Association	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3106	Kingston Community Association	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3106	Kingston Community Association	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3106	Kingston Community Association	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3106	Kingston Community Association	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3106	Kingston Community Association	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3106	Kingston Community Association	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3106	Kingston Community Association	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3106	Kingston Community Association	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3107	Laura Douglas	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 5.7
3107	Laura Douglas	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3107	Laura Douglas	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3107	Laura Douglas	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3107	Laura Douglas	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3107	Laura Douglas	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3107	Laura Douglas	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3107	Laura Douglas	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3



No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3107	Laura Douglas	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3107	Laura Douglas	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3108	Lauren Wildings	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 5.7
3108	Lauren Wildings	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3108	Lauren Wildings	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3108	Lauren Wildings	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3108	Lauren Wildings	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3108	Lauren Wildings	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3108	Lauren Wildings	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3108	Lauren Wildings	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3108	Lauren Wildings	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3108	Lauren Wildings	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3109	Southern District Health Board	That the intent of Chapter 39 in recognising sites significant to Maori, consultation and the partnership between Aukaha and Queenstown Lakes District Council be retained as notified.	Accept	Section 4.1
3109	Southern District Health Board	That Te Tiriti o Waitangi be recognised in all land use and urban development decisions.	Accept	Section 2
3112	Lenny Preston	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3112	Lenny Preston	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3112	Lenny Preston	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3112	Lenny Preston	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3112	Lenny Preston	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3112	Lenny Preston	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3112	Lenny Preston	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3112	Lenny Preston	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3112	Lenny Preston	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3112	Lenny Preston	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3113	Lucy Alborn	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3113	Lucy Alborn	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3113	Lucy Alborn	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3113	Lucy Alborn	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3113	Lucy Alborn	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3113	Lucy Alborn	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3113	Lucy Alborn	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3113	Lucy Alborn	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3113	Lucy Alborn	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3113	Lucy Alborn	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3114	Malcolm Mackay	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3114	Malcolm Mackay	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3114	Malcolm Mackay	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3114	Malcolm Mackay	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3114	Malcolm Mackay	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3114	Malcolm Mackay	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3114	Malcolm Mackay	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3114	Malcolm Mackay	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3114	Malcolm Mackay	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3114	Malcolm Mackay	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3115	Mark Reyland	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3115	Mark Reyland	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3115	Mark Reyland	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3115	Mark Reyland	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3115	Mark Reyland	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3115	Mark Reyland	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the	Accept in part	Section 5.11

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		notified Settlement Zone.		
3115	Mark Reyland	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3115	Mark Reyland	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3115	Mark Reyland	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3115	Mark Reyland	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3116	Mathew Bircham	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3116	Mathew Bircham	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3116	Mathew Bircham	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3116	Mathew Bircham	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3116	Mathew Bircham	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3116	Mathew Bircham	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3116	Mathew Bircham	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3116	Mathew Bircham	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3116	Mathew Bircham	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3116	Mathew Bircham	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3117	Michelle Crawford	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3117	Michelle Crawford	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2

<b>No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Submission Summary</b>	<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>Section where addressed</b>
3117	Michelle Crawford	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3117	Michelle Crawford	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3117	Michelle Crawford	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3117	Michelle Crawford	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3117	Michelle Crawford	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3117	Michelle Crawford	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3117	Michelle Crawford	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3117	Michelle Crawford	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3118	Noah Pickens	That the urban area of Kingstona settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3118	Noah Pickens	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3118	Noah Pickens	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3118	Noah Pickens	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3118	Noah Pickens	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3118	Noah Pickens	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3118	Noah Pickens	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3118	Noah Pickens	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3118	Noah Pickens	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be	Reject	Section 3.2

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		given consideration.		
3118	Noah Pickens	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3119	Olivia Pickens	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3119	Olivia Pickens	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3119	Olivia Pickens	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3119	Olivia Pickens	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3119	Olivia Pickens	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3119	Olivia Pickens	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3119	Olivia Pickens	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3119	Olivia Pickens	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3119	Olivia Pickens	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3119	Olivia Pickens	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3120	Paul Meehan	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3120	Paul Meehan	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3120	Paul Meehan	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3120	Paul Meehan	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3120	Paul Meehan	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3120	Paul Meehan	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3120	Paul Meehan	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3120	Paul Meehan	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3120	Paul Meehan	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3120	Paul Meehan	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3121	Peter Stone	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3121	Peter Stone	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3121	Peter Stone	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3121	Peter Stone	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3121	Peter Stone	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3121	Peter Stone	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3121	Peter Stone	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for WahiTupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3121	Peter Stone	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3121	Peter Stone	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3121	Peter Stone	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3122	Priscila Springles	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3122	Priscila Springles	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3122	Priscila Springles	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3122	Priscila Springles	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3122	Priscila Springles	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3122	Priscila Springles	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3122	Priscila Springles	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3122	Priscila Springles	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3122	Priscila Springles	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3122	Priscila Springles	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3123	Richard Stokes	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3123	Richard Stokes	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3123	Richard Stokes	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3123	Richard Stokes	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3123	Richard Stokes	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3123	Richard Stokes	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3123	Richard Stokes	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3123	Richard Stokes	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3



No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3123	Richard Stokes	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3123	Richard Stokes	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3124	Roger Erskine	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3124	Roger Erskine	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3124	Roger Erskine	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3124	Roger Erskine	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3124	Roger Erskine	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3124	Roger Erskine	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3124	Roger Erskine	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3124	Roger Erskine	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3124	Roger Erskine	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3124	Roger Erskine	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3125	Roger Neilson	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3125	Roger Neilson	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3125	Roger Neilson	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3125	Roger Neilson	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3125	Roger Neilson	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3125	Roger Neilson	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3125	Roger Neilson	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3125	Roger Neilson	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3125	Roger Neilson	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3125	Roger Neilson	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3126	Sheree Gouma	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3126	Sheree Gouma	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3126	Sheree Gouma	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3126	Sheree Gouma	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3126	Sheree Gouma	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3126	Sheree Gouma	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3126	Sheree Gouma	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3126	Sheree Gouma	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3126	Sheree Gouma	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3126	Sheree Gouma	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3133	Doug Bailey	That the proposal be rejected.	Reject	Section 4.2

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3133	Doug Bailey	That Section 39.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3133	Doug Bailey	That Wahi Tupuna #16 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3135	Daniel Meilink	That Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna be removed from the Proposed District Plan.	Reject	Section 4.2
3139	Nichola Myles	That the Purpose section of Chapter 39 be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.1
3139	Nichola Myles	That the following threats identified in Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23 are removed: 'Subdivision & development' and 'Building & structures.'	Reject	Section 5.13
3139	Nichola Myles	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3139	Nichola Myles	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3139	Nichola Myles	That the boundary of Wahi Tupuna #23 be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.8
3139	Nichola Myles	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the existing permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3139	Nichola Myles	That the inclusion of publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3139	Nichola Myles	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3139	Nichola Myles	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3139	Nichola Myles	That Council review Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 5.3
3139	Nichola Myles	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.2
3139	Nichola Myles	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3141	Bryan Myles	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 5.7
3141	Bryan Myles	That the Purpose statements for the Wahi Tupuna chapter be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.1
3141	Bryan Myles	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3141	Bryan Myles	That the boundary of Wahi Tupuna #23 be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.8
3141	Bryan Myles	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3141	Bryan Myles	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3141	Bryan Myles	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3141	Bryan Myles	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3141	Bryan Myles	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3141	Bryan Myles	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3141	Bryan Myles	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3141	Bryan Myles	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3142	Sustainable Glenorchy	That the intent of Chapter 39 be retained as notified.	Accept	Section 4.5
3142	Sustainable Glenorchy	That all areas in the Queenstown Lakes District that are identified as Wahi Tupuna should be included in Wahi Tupuna.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3142	Sustainable Glenorchy	That the provision related to small and community scale distributed electricity generation and solar heating be excluded from Wahi Tupuna.	Accept in part	Section 5.15
3142	Sustainable Glenorchy	That Wahi Tupuna requirements and costs only be applied to developers and exclude purchasers of the sections.	Reject	Section 5.13
3142	Sustainable Glenorchy	That the need to consult with Otago and Southland iwi be retained as notified.	Accept	Section 2
3142	Sustainable Glenorchy	That Wahi Tupuna should not trigger a resource consent application, if there was no previous requirement for a resource consent.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3144	James Thian & Nicola Linwood	That more refined mapping for Wahi Tupuna should be distinguished.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3144	James Thian & Nicola Linwood	That the submitter's land (157 Shortcut Road, Luggate) and neighbouring land be excluded from Wahi Tupuna.	Reject	Section 5.8
3144	James Thian & Nicola Linwood	That development be allowed to occur in areas of no significance, once mapping of areas is refined.	Reject	Section 5.8

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3144	James Thian & Nicola Linwood	That clarity be provided regarding how consistency for Wahi Tupuna provisions and values will be enforced.	Reject	Section 5.11
3144	James Thian & Nicola Linwood	That existing management plans and provisions be considered to ensure duplication of management does not occur.	Reject	Section 3.4
3144	James Thian & Nicola Linwood	That Wahi Tupuna be excluded from pre-developed sites.	Reject	Section 5.13
3145	Jayden Hibbs	That the Wahi Tupuna chapter be rejected.	Reject	Section 4.2
3145	Jayden Hibbs	That iwi consultancy should be free or as part of the council consent.	Reject	Section 5.3
3145	Jayden Hibbs	That compensation be given to land owners who are adversely affected by Wahi Tupuna.	Reject	Section 4.2
3146	Mellor family Trust	That Wahi Tupuna 39.1 Purpose be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.1
3146	Mellor family Trust	That Council provide clarity and assurance to property owners in regard to Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna.	Accept in part	Section 5.4
3146	Mellor family trust	That Council should minimise uncertainty and consult iwi to clarify how proposed provisions will apply.	Accept in part	Section 5.4
3146	Mellor family Trust	That Wahi Tupuna 39.2 Objectives and Policies be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3146	Mellor family Trust	That Wahi Tupuna 39.2.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3148	Andrew Urquhart	That Wahi Tupuna 39.1 Purpose be retained as notified.	Accept	Section 5.1
3148	Andrew Urquhart	That Wahi Tupuna 39.2.1 objectives be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3148	Andrew Urquhart	That Wahi Tupuna 39.4 Rules - Activities be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3148	Andrew Urquhart	That the Wahi Tupuna mapping be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3148	Andrew Urquhart	That sites Paetarariki and Timaru (Wahi Tupuna #2) between the Hawea cemetery and the John Creek settlement be excluded from Wahi Tupuna.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3148	Andrew Urquhart	That Wahi Tupuna should identify specific sites relevant to cited cultural values, rather than large arbitrary areas, and be reflected in the mapping.	Accept in part	Section 4.5
3148	Andrew Urquhart	That Wahi Tupuna should not inhibit the permitted activity of farming in the rural zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3148	Andrew Urquhart	That 25.5.2 as it relates to Wahi Tupuna be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3148	Andrew Urquhart	That 39.6 Schedule of Wahi Tupuna exclude buildings and structures, new roads and tracks, and utilities as an identified threat.	Reject	Section 5.7

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3148	Andrew Urquhart	That the defining of sites of significance to iwi should not only be defined by Aukaha.	Accept in part	Section 3.3
3148	Andrew Urquhart	That the identified activities considered as threats be better defined more specifically similar to other chapters of the district plan.	Reject	Section 4.5
3148	Andrew Urquhart	That Wahi Tupuna sites be specific sites or pathways and consolidated to reflect specific cultural values.	Reject	Section 4.5
3149	Amy Ballantyne	That Wahi Tupuna 39.1 Purpose be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.1
3149	Amy Ballantyne	That the submitter's property (37 Muir Road Lake Hawea) be removed from Wahi Tupuna.	Reject	Section 5.8
3149	Amy Ballantyne	That the schedule of Wahi Tupuna be clarified and be made more specific.	Accept in part	Section 4.5
3149	Amy Ballantyne	That non-compliance with Wahi Tupuna be made discretionary with matters of discretion rather than restricted discretionary.	Reject	Section 5.5
3149	Amy Ballantyne	That the Wahi Tupuna boundaries be more specific and values be specifically identified.	Accept in part	Section 4.5
3150	David Sherwin	That the submitter's land (290820430 Legal Description: Sec 1 SO 24028 Blk I Lower Hawea SD) be excluded from the Wahi Tupuna area.	Reject	Section 5.8
3150	David Sherwin	That the necessity for the Wahi Tupuna chapter be re-evaluated.	Reject	Section 2
3153	Aurora Energy Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.3 be retained as notified.	Reject	Section 5.3
3153	Aurora Energy Limited	That Rule 39.5.1 be deleted in its entirety, or an exception be added to Rule 39.5.1 as follows: "except where the activity is permitted by rules 30.5.5.1 to 30.5.5.4" and an additional matter of discretion is added as follows: "functional needs of regionally significant infrastructure".	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3153	Aurora Energy Limited	That Rule 25.5.2 be deleted entirely, or amended to exclude activities which are otherwise permitted by rules 30.5.5.1 to 30.5.5.2 relating to: minor upgrading, lines and supporting structures and underground electricity cables, by adding the following words to the rule: "except where permitted by rules 30.5.5.1 to 30.5.5.2."	Reject	Section 5.11
3153	Aurora Energy Limited	That the text proposed to be added to Rule 25.5.7 ("and Wahi Tupuna areas where roads have been identified as a recognised threat to the values of the area (see Schedule 39.6)") be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.11
3153	Aurora Energy Limited	That, if the relief requested in submission points 3153.10, 3153.24 and 3153.25 is not accepted, Rule 30.3.3.3 be amended as follows: "The rules contained in this Chapter prevail over any other rules that may apply to energy and utilities in the District Plan unless	Reject	Section 5.11

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		specifically stated to the contrary and with the exception of: a. 25 Earthworks, b. 26 Historic Heritage, c. Protected Trees, d. Indigenous Vegetation and Biodiversity, d. 35 Temporary Activities and Relocated Buildings, f. 36 Noise. Note: Utilities can also be provided as designations if the utility operator is a requiring authority. Refer to Chapter 37 - Designations of the Plan for conditions and descriptions of designated sites."		
3153	Aurora Energy Limited	That Rule 39.5.2 be deleted in its entirety, or an exception be added to Rule 39.5.2 as follows: "except where the activity is permitted by rules 30.5.5.1 to 30.5.5.4" and an additional matter of discretion is added as follows: "functional needs of regionally significant infrastructure".	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3153	Aurora Energy Limited	That Rule 39.5.3 be deleted in its entirety, or an exception be added to Rule 39.5.3 as follows: "except where the activity is permitted by rules 30.5.5.1 to 30.5.5.4" and an additional matter of discretion is added as follows: "functional needs of regionally significant infrastructure".	Reject	Section 5.11
3153	Aurora Energy Limited	That, if the relief sought in submission points 3153.10, 3153.24 and 3153.25 is not accepted, a new rule be inserted into section 39.5 as follows: "The operation, maintenance, minor upgrading and repair of electricity distribution infrastructure is exempt from Rules 39.5.1 to 39.5.3".	Reject	Section 5.11
3155	Stephan Osborne	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3155	Stephan Osborne	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3155	Stephan Osborne	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3155	Stephan Osborne	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3155	Stephan Osborne	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3155	Stephan Osborne	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3155	Stephan Osborne	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3155	Stephan Osborne	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3155	Stephan Osborne	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3155	Stephan Osborne	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3156	Tegan Scothorne	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3156	Tegan Scothorne	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3156	Tegan Scothorne	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3156	Tegan Scothorne	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3156	Tegan Scothorne	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3156	Tegan Scothorne	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3156	Tegan Scothorne	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3156	Tegan Scothorne	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3156	Tegan Scothorne	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3156	Tegan Scothorne	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3157	Therese Lagan	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3157	Therese Lagan	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3157	Therese Lagan	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3157	Therese Lagan	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3157	Therese Lagan	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13



No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3157	Therese Lagan	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3157	Therese Lagan	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3157	Therese Lagan	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3157	Therese Lagan	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3157	Therese Lagan	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3158	Tim Taylor	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3158	Tim Taylor	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3158	Tim Taylor	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3158	Tim Taylor	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3158	Tim Taylor	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3158	Tim Taylor	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3158	Tim Taylor	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3158	Tim Taylor	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3158	Tim Taylor	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3158	Tim Taylor	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3159	Victoria Keating	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3159	Victoria Keating	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3159	Victoria Keating	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3159	Victoria Keating	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3159	Victoria Keating	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3159	Victoria Keating	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3159	Victoria Keating	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3159	Victoria Keating	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3159	Victoria Keating	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3159	Victoria Keating	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3160	Wayne Lloyd	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3160	Wayne Lloyd	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3160	Wayne Lloyd	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3160	Wayne Lloyd	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3160	Wayne Lloyd	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3160	Wayne Lloyd	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3160	Wayne Lloyd	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3160	Wayne Lloyd	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3160	Wayne Lloyd	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3160	Wayne Lloyd	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3162	Queenstown Golf Club	That the maximum volume of earthworks in Rule 25.5.2 be increased to 100 cubic metres for larger sites where no specific site has been identified, such as Wahi Tupuna #19 Kelvin Heights Golf Course.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3163	3D Development Trust	That Wahi Tupuna, and specifically Wahi Tupuna #33, be amended so the boundary follows cadastral, zone and reserve boundaries to avoid capturing small areas of private property, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 4.2
3163	3D Development Trust	That Wahi Tupuna #33 boundary along Loop Road be aligned with the reserve boundary, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 4.2
3163	3D Development Trust	That the Chapter 39 provisions do not unnecessarily duplicate the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014.	Reject	Section 3.4
3163	3D Development Trust	That the provisions of Chapter 39 are amended so they do not duplicate legislative matters in the Ngai Tahu Claims Settlements Act with regard to Statutory Acknowledgement areas, and any consequential amendments.	Reject	Section 3.4
3163	3D Development Trust	That the permitted volume of earthworks within a Wahi Tupuna in Table 25.2 be the same as the permitted volume for the underlying zone, and any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3163	3D Development Trust	That Earthworks Rule 25.4.5 be re-notified, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 4.5
3168	N Gutzewitz & J Boyd	That the mapping of Wahi Tupuna #21 be rejected, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 5.8
3168	N Gutzewitz & J Boyd	That the permitted volume of earthworks within any Wahi Tupuna area zoned Rural Lifestyle be 400m <sup>3</sup> (Table 25.2), with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3168	N Gutzewitz & J Boyd	That a cultural impact assessment be completed by QLDC to identify the cultural values of Manawhenua within more clearly mapped Wahi Tupuna areas with reference to any items of cultural significance, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 4.5
3168	N Gutzewitz & J Boyd	That the mapping of Wahi Tupuna #24 be rejected, with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3169	Rhonda and Brian Skerten	That Wahi Tupuna #33 be removed from 659 Peninsula Road, Kelvin Grove, Queenstown (Lot 19 DP 9655 Kawarau Falls Tsp Extn No 1).	Accept in part	Section 5.8

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3170	G & S Hensman, P Hensman	That the mapping of the Wahi Tupuna #20 be rejected, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 5.8
3170	G & S Hensman, P Hensman	That the maximum volume for earthworks in any Wahi Tupuna zoned Lower Density Suburban Residential be 300m <sup>3</sup> (Table 25.2), with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3170	G & S Hensman, P Hensman	That a cultural impact assessment be completed by QLDC to identify the cultural values of Manawhenua within more clearly mapped Wahi Tupuna areas with reference to any items of cultural significance, with any consequential changes. Alternatively, that the southern edge of Wahi Tupuna #20 be amended to exclude Lot 701 DP 522931, Lot 1 DP 431418, and Lot 2 DP 473662, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 4.5
3171	Gertrude's Saddlery Limited	That Wahi Tupuna #29 be removed from the submitter's land at 111 Atley Road, Arthurs Point, with any consequential changes. Alternatively, that Chapter 39 and all associated changes be deleted, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 4.5
3172	G & P Hensman, Southern Lakes Holdings Ltd	That a cultural impact assessment be completed by QLDC to identify the cultural values of Manawhenua within more clearly mapped Wahi Tupuna areas with reference to any items of cultural significance, with any consequential changes. Alternatively, the southern edge of Wahi Tupuna #20 is amended to exclude Lot 13 DP 27397 and Lot 10 DP 300507 (1 Conifer Lane, Queenstown), with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 4.5
3172	G & P Hensman, Southern Lakes Holdings Ltd	That the permitted volume for earthworks within any Wahi Tupuna area zoned Medium Density Residential be 300m <sup>3</sup> (Table 25.2), with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3172	G & P Hensman, Southern Lakes Holdings Ltd	That the mapping of Wahi Tupuna #20 be rejected, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 5.8
3173	A & I Middleton	That the mapping of Wahi Tupuna #20 be rejected, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 5.8
3173	A & I Middleton	That a cultural impact assessment be completed by QLDC to identify the cultural values of Manawhenua within more clearly mapped Wahi Tupuna areas with reference to any items of cultural significance, with any consequential changes. Alternatively, the southern edge of Wahi Tupuna #20 be removed from Lot 2 DP 409336, Tucker Beach Road, Wakatipu Basin, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 4.5
3173	A & I Middleton	That the permitted volume of earthworks within any Wahi Tupuna area zoned Lower Density Suburban Residential be 300m <sup>3</sup> (Table 25.2), with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3174	Cabo Limited	That Chapter 39 and associated variations be rejected until the Wahi Tupuna areas, particularly Wahi Tupuna #14, are mapped in	Reject	Section 4.5

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		a fair and consistent manner across the District.		
3174	Cabo Limited	That better ways to integrate the concept of Wahi Tupuna into the PDP are investigated.	Accept in part	Section 4.1
3174	Cabo Limited	That the Wahi Tupuna variation to Chapter 25, where the proposed rules have the effect of changing the status of an activity or significantly affecting day to day farming activities, be declined.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3174	Cabo Limited	That the Wahi Tupuna variation to Chapter 27, where the proposed rules have the effect of changing the status of an activity, or significantly affecting day to day farming activities, be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.13
3174	Cabo Limited	That any requirement for affected persons approval or cultural impact assessments in relation to activities within Wahi Tupuna areas be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3175	Clark Fortune McDonald & Associates	That the mapping of Wahi Tupuna #20 be rejected, with any consequential amendments.	Reject	Section 5.8
3175	Middleton Family Trust	That a cultural impact assessment be completed by QLDC to identify the cultural values of Manawhenua within more clearly mapped Wahi Tupuna areas with reference to any items of cultural significance, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 4.5
3175	Middleton Family Trust	That a cultural impact assessment be completed by QLDC to identify areas where farm buildings (Rule 39.4.1) are, and are not, appropriate, with any consequential amendments.	Reject	Section 4.5
3175	Middleton Family Trust	That farm buildings within Wahi Tupuna areas be made a controlled activity under Rule 39.4.1, with any consequential amendments.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3175	Middleton Family Trust	That the permitted volume of earthworks within any Wahi Tupuna area zoned Rural be 1000 cubic metres (Table 25.2), with any consequential amendments.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3175	Middleton Family Trust	That exemptions to earthworks volumes within a Wahi Tupuna that is zoned Rural be provided for maintenance of farm track access, fencing and firebreaks with any consequential changes (Rule 25.4.5).	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3175	Middleton Family Trust	That reference to 'Structures' be removed from Rule 39.5, or exemptions provided for farm structures, with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3176	Mt Crystal Ltd	That the mapping of Wahi Tupuna #20 be rejected, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 5.8
3176	Mt Crystal Ltd	That a cultural impact assessment be completed by QLDC to identify the cultural values of Manawhenua within more clearly mapped Wahi Tupuna areas with reference to any items of cultural significance, with any consequential changes. Alternatively, the	Reject	Section 4.5

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		southern edge of Wahi Tupuna #20 be amended to exclude 634 Frankton Road (Lot 1 DP 9121), with any consequential changes.		
3176	Mt Crystal Ltd	That the permitted volume of earthworks within any Wahi Tupuna area zoned Medium Density Residential be 300m <sup>3</sup> (Table 25.2), with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3177	N T McDonald	That the mapping of Wahi Tupuna #20 be rejected, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 5.8
3177	N T McDonald	That a cultural impact assessment be completed by QLDC to identify the cultural values of Manawhenua within more clearly mapped Wahi Tupuna areas with reference to any items of cultural significance, with any consequential changes. Alternatively, that the southern edge of Wahi Tupuna #20 be removed from Marina Terrace properties (Lots 1 & 2 DP 364950, Lots 40, 43-81 DP 20704, Lots 10-17 DP 19872, Lots 1-3 DP 459375), with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 4.5
3177	N T McDonald	That the permitted volume of earthworks within any Wahi Tupuna area zoned Lower Density Suburban Residential be 300m <sup>3</sup> (Table 25.2), with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3178	Ben Hohneck	That Wahi Tupuna #17 and Wahi Tupuna #19 be deleted from the Shotover River, Maori Point, and land owned by the submitter and family in Skippers Canyon.	Reject	Section 5.8
3178	Ben Hohneck	That Chapter 39 and all associated variations and changes to the PDP are rejected until the Wahi Tupuna areas are mapped in a fair and consistent manner across the District.	Reject	Section 4.5
3178	Ben Hohneck	That better ways to integrate the concept of Wahi Tupuna into the PDP are investigated.	Accept in part	Section 4.1
3178	Ben Hohneck	That the requirement for affected persons approval or cultural impact assessments in relation to activities within Wahi Tupuna areas be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 4.1
3179	Queenstown Hill Developments Ltd & Remarkable Heights	That the mapping of Wahi Tupuna #20 be rejected, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 5.8
3179	Ltd	That a cultural impact assessment be completed by QLDC to identify the cultural values of Manawhenua within more clearly mapped Wahi Tupuna areas with reference to any items of cultural significance, with any consequential changes. Alternatively, that the southern edge of Wahi Tupuna #20 be removed from 3 Rankin Rise, Queenstown Hill (Lot 700 DP 505699), with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 4.5
3179	Queenstown Hill Developments Ltd & Remarkable Heights	That the permitted volume of earthworks within any Wahi Tupuna area zoned Lower Density Suburban Residential be 300m <sup>3</sup> (Table 25.2), with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.5

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3180	C Campbell & R Neale	That the mapping of Wahi Tupuna #20 be rejected, and any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 5.8
3180	C Campbell & R Neale	That a cultural impact assessment be completed by QLDC to identify the cultural values of Manawhenua within more clearly mapped Wahi Tupuna areas with reference to any items of cultural significance, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 4.5
3180	C Campbell & R Neale	That a cultural impacts assessment be completed to identify more concise areas where farm buildings are appropriate are not appropriate, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 4.5
3180	C Campbell & R Neale	That farms buildings within a Wahi Tupuna area be a controlled activity under Rule 39.4.1, with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3180	C Campbell & R Neale	That the permitted volume of earthworks within any Wahi Tupuna area zoned Rural be 1000m <sup>3</sup> (Table 25.2), with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3180	C Campbell & R Neale	That exemptions to earthworks within a Wahi Tupuna that is zoned Rural be provided for maintenance of farm track access, fencing and fire breaks, with any consequential changes (Table 25.2).	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3180	C Campbell & R Neale	That reference to 'Structures' be removed from Rule 39.5 or exemptions provided for farm structures, with any consequential amendments.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3181	Loch Linnhe	That Chapter 39 and all associated changes be rejected until the Wahi Tupuna areas are remapped using a fair and consistent method that takes into account all relevant information such as the Land Tenure Review process and associated cultural impact assessments.	Reject	Section 4.5
3181	Loch Linnhe	That more effective and efficient ways are investigated to integrate the concept of Wahi Tupuna into the Proposed District Plan such as using matters of control or discretion.	Accept in part	Section 4.1
3181	Loch Linnhe	That all variations to the Proposed District Plan associated with Chapter 39 (including variations to Chapters 2, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 25, 26, 27, 29 and 30) be rejected until further consideration is given to the effectiveness and efficiency of the proposal.	Reject	Section 4.5
3181	Loch Linnhe	That any requirement to obtain affected persons approval or cultural impact assessments for activities in Wahi Tupuna areas be removed, in particular when cultural impact assessments and values have been assessed through the Land Tenure Review process.	Reject	Section 3.4
3182	Scope Resources Ltd	That the mapping of Wahi Tupuna #36 be rejected, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 4.5
3182	Scope Resources Ltd	That parts of Lots 1-4 DP 392270 be removed from Wahi Tupuna #36 to exclude the 'dip' south and the approved residential building platform located on Lot 4 DP 392270, with any subsequent	Reject	Section 5.8

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		changes.		
3182	Scope Resources Ltd	That the permitted volume for earthworks within a Wahi Tupuna zoned Rural is 1000m <sup>3</sup> (Table 25.2), with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3183	The Station at Waitiri & Waitipu Ltd	That the mapping of Wahi Tupuna #11 be rejected, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 4.5
3183	The Station at Waitiri & Waitipu Ltd	That a cultural impact assessment be completed by QLDC to identify the cultural values of Manawhenua within more clearly mapped Wahi Tupuna areas with reference to any items of cultural significance, with any consequential changes. Alternatively, that the boundaries of Wahi Tupuna be set 20m from the Cardrona River (Wahi Tupuna #24 ) and Kawarau River (Wahi Tupuna #24), with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 4.5
3183	The Station at Waitiri & Waitipu Ltd	That a cultural impact assessment be completed by QLDC to identify areas where farm buildings are appropriate and where they are not appropriate within Wahi Tupuna areas, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 4.5
3183	The Station at Waitiri & Waitipu Ltd	That the permitted volume of earthworks within any Wahi Tupuna area zoned Rural or Gibbston Character is 1000m <sup>3</sup> (Table 25.2), with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3183	The Station at Waitiri & Waitipu Ltd	That the mapping of Wahi Tupuna #24 be rejected, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 4.5
3184	Queenstown Mountain Bike Club	That earthworks be removed as a recognised threat from Wahi Tupuna #27, or decline Chapter 39, and all associated changes to the Proposed District Plan, in its entirety.	Reject	Section 4.5
3184	Queenstown Mountain Bike Club	That earthworks be removed as a recognised threat from Wahi Tupuna #16, or decline Chapter 39, and all associated changes to the Proposed District Plan, in its entirety.	Reject	Section 4.5
3184	Queenstown Mountain Bike Club	That earthworks be removed as a recognised threat from Wahi Tupuna #20, or decline Chapter 39, and all associated changes to the Proposed District Plan, in its entirety.	Reject	Section 4.5
3184	Queenstown Mountain Bike Club	That other consequential changes are made to Chapter 39 to give effect to the relief sought in the submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3185	Darren Rewi	That the mapping of the Wahi Tupuna areas be rejected.	Accept in part	Withdrawn
3187	JF Investments (New Zealand) Limited	That Chapter 39 and all associated changes and variations be rejected until the Wahi Tupuna areas are mapped in a fair and consistent manner across the District.	Reject	Section 4.5
3187	JF Investments (New Zealand) Limited	That better ways to integrate the concept of Wahi Tupuna into the PDP in a more effective and efficient manner are investigated.	Accept in part	Section 4.1



No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3187	JF Investments (New Zealand) Limited	That the Wahi Tupuna variation to Chapter 27 where the proposed rules have the effect of changing the status of an activity be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.13
3187	JF Investments (New Zealand) Limited	That the requirement for affected persons approval or cultural impact assessment in relation to activities within Wahi Tupuna areas be rejected, particularly where such values have been considered as part of the Land Tenure Review process.	Reject	Section 4.5
3187	JF Investments (New Zealand) Limited	That the variations to Chapters 2, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 25, 26, 27, 29 and 30 be rejected until the relief sought in submission points 3187.1 and 3187.2 has been completed.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3188	Lakes Marina	That the area of reclaimed land associated with the Frankton Marina be deleted from Wahi Tupuna #33.	Reject	Section 5.8
3188	Projects Limited	That Wahi Tupuna are deleted from all areas already identified as Statutory Acknowledgement Areas in Chapter SA of the ODP.	Reject	Section 3.4
3188	Lakes Marina	That if submission points 3188.1 and 3811.2 are not accepted, Chapter 39 and all associated changes to the PDP be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3188	Projects Limited	That any other consequential changes be made to achieve the relief sought in the submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3191	Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga	That Chapter 39, including associated objectives and policies, or objectives and policies with words to like effect, be retained.	Accept	Section 2
3192	The Owners of 95,99,101,and 105-107 Alison Avenue, Albert Town	That the mapping notation for Wahi Tupuna #32 be relocated to the edge of Alison Avenue, Albert Town, so that the properties at 95, 99, 101, and 105-107 Alison Avenue are excluded from the notation.	Reject	Section 5.8
3192	The Owners of 95,99,101,and 105-107 Alison Avenue, Albert Town	That other changes that may be necessary to addresses the submitter's concerns are made.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3193	Sunnyheights Ltd	That the Wahi Tupuna provisions and mapped extents be rejected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3194	Robert Robertson	That the property at 12 Anderson Heights, Queenstown be excluded from Wahi Tupuna #20.	Reject	Section 5.8
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That the Wahi Tupuna consultation process be extended, including to non-property owners.	Reject	Section 3.1
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That information regarding the Wahi Tupuna values and how they relate to 1147B Lake Hawea-Albert Town Road be provided.	Accept in part	Section 5.7
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That Wahi Tupuna #2 be removed from 1147b Lake Hawea-Albert Town Road.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That explanation be provided as to why Wahi Tupuna #2 area has been mapped on 1147b Lake Hawea-Albert Town Road.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That explanation be provided for who pays for determining where the Wahi Tupuna line is on the land.	Reject	Section 4.5

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That the reasoning behind the extent of Wahi Tupuna mapping be provided (for example the story of each Wahi Tupuna).	Accept in part	Section 5.7
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That Objective 39.2.1 be clarified so that only the values identified in the Schedule (39.6) for a Wahi Tupua area are the ones that are to be recognised and provided for.	Reject	Section 5.2
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That Policy 39.2.1.6 be changed to the following: 'Recognise that an application should be assessed against s95E to determine whether Manawhenua are an affected person because there are adverse effects/threats which are minor/more than minor on the recognised values or threats identified in Policies 39.2.1.1 and 39.2.1.2 and therefore, irrespective of whether consultation is undertaken or not, then Ngai Tahu will need to complete a Cultural Impact Assessment/Preliminary comment.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That consideration under Policy 39.2.1.6 include the appropriate process outlining how the engagement was undertaken, including dates and times of engagement and whether Ngai Tahu responded or not.	Reject	Section 5.3
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That a statutory timeframe be established for Ngai Tahu to respond to consultation queries, and clarify how an applicant documents that no response has been received.	Reject	Section 5.3
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That the reference to 10m <sup>3</sup> in Policy 39.2.1.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That the earthworks maximum within Wahi Tupua areas in Table 25.2 be increased to 1000m <sup>3</sup> per year for larger properties (including those zoned Rural General).	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That recognised threat (c) 'Exotic species including wilding pines' be removed from Wahi Tupuna #2 in Schedule 39.6.	Reject	Section 5.7
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That clarity be provided to property owners regarding Wahi Tupuna Wilding Pine threat and conflicting advice provided by QLDC 30th August 2000.	Reject	Section 5.7
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That advice be provided to property owners on what QLDC intends to do to support removal of Wilding Pines.	Reject	Section 5.7
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That the definition of waterbodies is included in the Wahi Tupuna chapter.	Reject	Section 5.9
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That where threats to waterbodies are identified, the waterbody is consistent with waterbodies that have been mapped by Ngai Tahu as having cultural significance.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That confirmation be provided whether a man-made pond or swale on land is considered to be a waterbody of natural occurrence.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That clarity be provided on whether consultation with Ngai Tahu is needed in respect to the Stage 3 Wahi Tupuna review process and	Reject	Section 5.3

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		the submitter's Resource Consent Application.		
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That QLDC ensures that Ngai Tahu is staffed appropriately.	Reject	Section 5.3
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That roles and responsibilities between QLDC and Ngai Tahu be agreed upon and published on the QLDC website.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That a process and associated timeframes with Ngai Tahu and consultants in Dunedin and Invercargill be agreed upon and published on the QLDC website.	Reject	Section 5.3
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That the Wahi Tupuna FAQs on the QLDC website be updated.	Reject	Section 5.3
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That QLDC encourage Ngai Tahu to have a presence in Wanaka.	Reject	Section 5.3
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That QLDC provide guidance on costs and timeframes for Cultural Impact Assessment by Ngai Tahu on the website.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That QLDC provides guidance on whether property owners may need a Cultural Impact Assessment on the website.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That QLDC encourage Ngai Tahu to have an e-docs site to keep track of Ngai Tahu consultation process.	Reject	Section 5.3
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That QLDC provides within FAQs on the website indicative costs associated with Ngai Tahu engagement.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That clarity be provided on the website to submitters and property owners of what happens next in the process.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That the value of 'mahika kai' identified in Schedule 39.6 for Wahi Tupuna #2 be removed from applying to the property at 1147B Lake Hawea-Albert Town Road.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3197	The D L Kenton Family Trust	That Policy 39.2.1.6 be amended so that it limits the activities that Manawhenua can be consulted on to comment on activities/effects that are a recognised threat only.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3198	Al Angus	That the Wahi Tupuna chapter including mapping and all associated variation be rejected in its entirety.	Reject	Section 4.5
3199	Federated Mountain Clubs	That the intent of Section 39.2 Objectives and Policies of Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna, particularly Policy 39.2.1.2, be retained as notified.	Accept	Section 2
3199	Federated Mountain Clubs	That Point (h) of Policy 39.2.1.2 (Wahi Tupuna Chapter) be expanded to specify the nature of the activities being referred to, so that recreational access to Significant Natural Areas is retained and remains open.	Reject	Section 5.3
3199	Federated Mountain Clubs	That the intent of the provisions in Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna be retained as notified.	Accept in part	Section 5.4
3200	Yonghong Li and Wong & Bong Trustee Company	That the Wahi Tupuna #27 zoning over 21 Bowen Street, Queenstown (Lot 1 DP 507471 and Part Lot 1 DP 8882) and Section	Reject	Section 5.8

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
	Limited	1 SO 519538, be removed, or that the application of the Wahi Tupuna zoning be dealt with at the same time as the underlying zoning of the land.		
3200	Yonghong Li and Wong & Bong Trustee Company Limited	That the Wahi Tupuna #27 zoning be removed from the existing urban zoned properties at the base of Te Taumata-O-Hakituera along Bowen Street, Sawmill Place, Fryer Street, Huff Street and Hamilton Road.	Reject	Section 5.8
3200	Yonghong Li and Wong & Bong Trustee Company Limited	That any consequential or alternative amendments necessary are made to give effect to the submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3202	Edward de'Aulton Hewetson	That the Wahi Tupuna provisions and mapped extent be rejected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3204	Temple Peak Station	That additional regulation in the Wahi Tupuna chapter on activities affecting: water quality, subdivision and development, earthworks, buildings and structures, energy and utility activities, activities affecting the ridgeline and upper slopes, quarrying, exotic species and commercial recreation and commercial activities be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3204	Temple Peak Station	That the intent of the Wahi Tupuna chapter be met within the existing resource consent process by adding Wahi Tupuna as a matter of discretion to existing Restricted Discretionary Rules within the Plan, or in any other effective and efficient manner.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
204	Temple Peak Station	That the Wahi Tupuna mapping around the head of Lake Wakatipu be amended to ensure it is fair and consistent irrespective of land ownership.	Reject	Section 4.2
3204	Temple Peak Station	That the variation to Rule 25.5.2 restricting the maximum permitted earthworks volume to 10m <sup>3</sup> be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3204	Temple Peak Station	That the proposed variation to Rule 30.4.1.4 that requires small scale alternative energy projects within Wahi Tupuna areas to get a consent be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.13
3205	Annette Dalziel	That clarification be provided on Kingston's inclusion into Schedule 6, on how heritage and historical events will be identified in the Kingston area, and on how staff will be obtained to process applications generated by the proposed provisions.	Reject	Section 4.5
3206	Christopher Barker	That the boundary of Wahi Tupuna #2 be amended to align with the eastern extent of Wahi Tupuna #3.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3207	Ewen & Heather Rendel	That all references and provisions in relation to Wahi Tupuna, sites of significance and effects on cultural values of Manawhenua be deleted from all Proposed District Plan Stage 1 and 2 provisions.	Reject	Section 2
3207	Ewen & Heather Rendel	That Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna be rejected.	Reject	Section 4.5

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3207	Ewen & Heather Rendel	That Wahi Tupuna objectives and schedules be incorporated into Chapter 5 and existing Proposed District Plan chapters.	Reject	Section 4.1
3207	Ewen & Heather Rendel	That a protocol for Iwi related archaeological sites (including new discoveries) and geographical features of high significance be incorporated within Chapter 5 Tangata Whenua.	Reject	Section 5.12
3207	Ewen & Heather Rendel	That "Effects on the Cultural Values of Manawhenua," both tangible and intangible be identified and clearly defined.	Accept in part	Section 5.7
3207	Ewen & Heather Rendel	That 'Recognised Threats' be more clearly defined with benchmarks set.	Reject	Section 4.5
3207	Ewen & Heather Rendel	That benchmarks be set to define the degree of significance a property must meet for inclusion as a site warranting Wahi Tupuna provisions.	Reject	Section 4.5
3207	Ewen & Heather Rendel	That robust evidence be provided to support the inclusion of a proportion of land or entire property within a Wahi Tupuna Site.	Reject	Section 4.5
3207	Ewen & Heather Rendel	That Wahi Tupuna Schedule 39.6 is amended to reflect to relief sought in the submission relating to mapping of Wahi Tupuna sites.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3207	Ewen & Heather Rendel	That resource consent applications that comply with underlying zone standards would not require the applicant to consult with Iwi.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3207	Ewen & Heather Rendel	That resource consents for non-complying activities require consultation with Iwi, with a timeframe for consultation.	Reject	Section 3.4
3207	Ewen & Heather Rendel	That the Proposed District Plan outline the process for consultation with Iwi.	Reject	Section 3.4
3207	Ewen & Heather Rendel	That a more comprehensive descriptions for Policy 39.2.1.2.c Buildings and Structures be provided, including adding an exclusion for any building or structure that complies with the underlying zone standards.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3207	Ewen & Heather Rendel	That provisions outlined in Rule 39.4.1 (farm buildings in Wahi Tupuna areas) be incorporated into Chapter 21 Rural, on the basis that discretion is restricted to activities over a maximum allowable size and in sensitive locations where buildings may not be located without Resource Consent.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3207	Ewen & Heather Rendel	That the setbacks for buildings from water bodies in 39.5 Rules - Standards be included in the other zone Chapters with discretion restricted to Wahi Tupuna areas. Alternatively, delete the working "shall be setback a minimum of 7m, 20m, or 30m from a water body" within Rules 39.5.1, 39.5.2 and 39.5.3 and replace with "the maximum setback of any building from the bed of a river, lake or wetland shall be 7m, 20m, 30m respectively".	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3207	Ewen & Heather Rendel	That Wahi Tupuna mapping be revised to include only specific sites within individual properties.	Reject	Section 3.4
3207	Ewen & Heather Rendel	That Wahi Tupuna sites be identified by ring fencing or use of icon pins with legends providing specific information.	Reject	Section 3.4

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3207	Ewen & Heather Rendel	That Lots 1 and 3 DP 509575 (CT 783007 and 783009) be removed from the mapped Wahi Tupuna (#16).	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3207	Ewen & Heather Rendel	That the GIS aerials underlying the Wahi Tupuna mapping are kept current at all times.	Reject	Section 5.8
3207	Ewen & Heather Rendel	That the Wahi Tupuna variation to Rule 25.4.5 be amended to remove "whether identified on the Planning Maps or not."	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3207	Ewen & Heather Rendel	That the rules for earthworks within Wahi Tupuna areas are included in zone chapters.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3207	Ewen & Heather Rendel	That the maximum permitted volume of earthworks in an identified Wahi Tupuna area is the same volume allowance as for the underlying zone, and the activity status for exceeding the volume be restricted discretionary.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3207	Ewen & Heather Rendel	That Wahi Tupuna variation to Chapter 26 to delete reference and provisions relating to 'sites of significance to Maori' be retained as notified.	Accept in part	Section 5.12
3207	Ewen & Heather Rendel	That subdivision of land within a Wahi Tupuna overlay that complies with underlying zone standards be treated as a Controlled or Restricted Discretionary activity, with no discretion to Wahi Tupuna or the effects on cultural values of Manawhenua.	Accept in part	Section 5.13
3207	Ewen & Heather Rendel	That non-complying subdivisions based on underlying zone standards within a Wahi Tupuna, trigger Iwi consultation and/or a Cultural Impact Assessment at the expense of the applicant.	Accept in part	Section 5.13
3207	Ewen & Heather Rendel	That Rule 30.4.1.4 be amended to delete "(vii) Wahi Tupuna identified in Schedule 39.6 where energy activities are a recognised threat". Alternatively, that Rule 30.4.1.4 (vii) be amended to add an exclusion, as follows: "excluding within a Residential Unit."	Accept in part	Section 5.15
3208	Minaret Station Ltd	That Chapter 39, associated mapping and variations be rejected until the mapping of Wahi Tupuna areas and scheduling of their values and recognised threats is carried out in a fair and consistent manner, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 4.2
3208	Minaret Station Ltd	That the mapping of Wahi Tupuna should have a level of detail that takes into account the specifics of individual properties, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 4.5
3208	Minaret Station Ltd	That the mapping of Wahi Tupuna includes meaningful direction to landowners and Council as to the extent of the Wahi Tupuna areas and the associated values and threats, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 3.4
3208	Minaret Station Ltd	That the mapping and scheduling of values and recognised threats be supported by a clear methodology and an appropriate level of detail, with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.7
3208	Minaret Station Ltd	That a Policy be included to "recognise and provide for the ongoing operation of existing farming activities within Wahi Tupuna areas",	Reject	Section 5.3

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		with any consequential changes.		
3208	Minaret Station Ltd	That Rule 39.4.1 (farm buildings in Wahi Tupuna areas) is deleted, with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3208	Minaret Station Ltd	That Rule 39.5.2 (setbacks from waterbodies in Wahi Tupuna areas) is deleted or reworded to remove reference to structures, with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3208	Minaret Station Ltd	That Rule 25.4.5.1 (earthworks in a Wahi Tupuna) is deleted, with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3208	Minaret Station Ltd	That Rule 25.5.2 (maximum volume of earthworks) is deleted or reworded to exclude earthworks associated with farming activities through the inclusion of the wording 'with the exception of earthworks associated with farming activities', with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3208	Minaret Station Ltd	That the mapping of Wahi Tupuna #34, in so far as it excludes the majority of Minaret Station, be retained as notified.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3210	The Matukituki Trust	That the Wahi Tupuna provisions be rejected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3210	The Matukituki Trust	That if the relief sought in submission 3210.2 is rejected, then the provisions be modified to meet the relief sought in the submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3211	Ken Muir	That the Wahi Tupuna provisions be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3211	Ken Muir	That the Wahi Tupuna #33 overlay be removed from 819, 823, 825, 827, 829, 831, 833, and 835 Frankton Road and instead follow the road reserve of Sugar Lane.	Reject	Section 5.8
3212	The Rata Street Family Trust	That Chapter 39 as drafted be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3212	The Rata Street Family Trust	That Chapter 39 be reconsidered in its entirety.	Reject	Section 4.5
3212	The Rata Street Family Trust	That public feedback on a revised Chapter 39 be sought.	Reject	Section 4.5
3212	The Rata Street Family Trust	That all landscape effects be removed from Chapter 39.	Reject	Section 2.1
3212	The Rata Street Family Trust	That Rule 25.5.7 be rejected as it applies to all residential zones.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3212	The Rata Street Family Trust	That all residential zoned areas be excluded from the Wahi Tupuna overlay.	Accept in part	Section 5.4
3212	The Rata Street Family Trust	That all roads be excluded from earthworks Rule 25.5.7.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3212	The Rata Street Family Trust	That clarification on the application of Rule 29.3.2.1b be provided.	Reject	Section 5.14

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3212	The Rata Street Family Trust	That the variation to subdivision rules for Wahi Tupuna areas be rejected for areas within residential zones.	Accept in part	Section 5.13
3213	Contact Energy	That Chapter 39 is retained as notified.	Accept in part	Section 4.5
3213	Contact Energy	That Policy 39.2.1.5 is supported	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3213	Contact Energy	That Policy 39.2.1.6 is supported	Reject	Section 5.3
3214	Scott L'Oste-Brown	That the intent of the Wahi Tupuna provisions be retained as notified.	Accept in part	Section 4.1
3214	Scott L'Oste-Brown	That the Wahi Tupuna GIS layer be aligned spatially with the base cadastre, particularly in built up areas.	Reject	Section 4.5
3216	Barnhill Trust Ltd as representative of the Bunn Family	That Chapter 39 be rejected to allow further opportunity to meet with Kai Tahu as Manawhenua of the district for better understanding.	Reject	Section 4.5
3217	DE Bunn & Co as representative of the Bunn Family	That Chapter 39 be rejected to allow further opportunity to meet with Kai Tahu as Manawhenua of the district for better understanding.	Reject	Section 4.5
3219	Alpha Properties NZ Ltd	That Wahi Tupuna #20 be rejected, with any consequential amendments.	Reject	Section 5.8
3219	Alpha Properties NZ Ltd	That a cultural impact assessment be completed by QLDC to offer a more concise mapping overlay for Wahi Tupuna #20 with reference to items of cultural significance, with any consequential amendments, or the mapping of Wahi Tupuna #20 is amended so the southern boundary excludes residential properties Highlands Close and Potters Hill Drive, Queenstown, with any consequential amendments.	Reject	Section 4.5
3219	Alpha Properties NZ Ltd	That the permitted volume of earthworks for Wahi Tupuna zoned Lower Density Suburban Residential be 300 cubic metres the same as Rule 25.5.3, with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3223	Christine and David Benjamin	That the identification of Wahi Tupuna sites in relation to Rule 25.4.5 (earthworks) is retained as notified.	Reject	Section 5.8
3223	Christine and David Benjamin	That Rule 25.4.5 be clarified so that it is clear what 'modify' a Wahi Tupuna site means.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3223	Christine and David Benjamin	That in relation to Wahi Tupuna #14, delete the requirement for resource consent for any earthworks within the Glenorchy Settlement, or amend the planning maps to exclude the Wahi Tupuna site including Glenorchy Settlement.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3223	Christine and David Benjamin	That Rule 25.5.2 be amended to clarify that the maximum total volume applies to a site, not the Wahi Tupuna area.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3223	Christine and David Benjamin	That Rule 25.5.2 be amended to exclude the Glenorchy Settlement Zone, or amend the planning maps to exclude the Wahi Tupuna	Accept in part	Section 5.11



No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		area from the Glenorchy Settlement.		
3223	Christine and David Benjamin	That "Effects on cultural values on Manawhenua" be added as a matter of discretion to Rule 25.7.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3223	Christine and David Benjamin	That the maps be clarified so it is clear which Wahi Tupuna site is overlaid on Glenorchy Settlement. A cross-reference to the schedule needs to be added to the planning maps.	Reject	Section 5.8
3225	J and J Family Trust	That a procedure or a mechanism to enable affected property owners to review and/or challenge the inclusion of a property in the Wahi Tupuna overlay at an appropriate time for the property owner be included.	Reject	Section 4.5
3226	Lindsay Williams	That the Wahi Tupuna #33 overlay be removed from the property at 289 Peninsula Road.	Reject	Section 5.8
3227	West Wanaka Station	That Chapter 39, associated mapping and variations be rejected until the mapping of Wahi Tupuna areas and scheduling of their values and recognised threats is carried out in a fair and consistent manner, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 4.2
3227	West Wanaka Station	That the mapping of Wahi Tupuna should have a level of detail that takes into account the specifics of individual properties, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 4.2
3227	West Wanaka Station	That the mapping of Wahi Tupuna includes meaningful direction to landowners and Council as to the extent of the Wahi Tupuna areas and the associated values and threats, with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.7
3227	West Wanaka Station	That the mapping and scheduling of values and recognised threats be supported by a clear methodology and an appropriate level of detail, with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.7
3227	West Wanaka Station	That a Policy be included that states: "Recognise and provide for the ongoing operation of existing farming activities within Wahi Tupuna areas", with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 5.3
3227	West Wanaka Station	That Rule 39.4.1 is deleted, with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3227	West Wanaka Station	That Rule 39.5.2 is deleted or reworded to remove reference to structures, with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3227	West Wanaka Station	That Rule 25.4.5.1 is deleted, with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3227	West Wanaka Station	That Rule 25.5.2 is deleted or reworded to exclude earthworks associated with farming activities through the addition of the wording 'with the exception of earthworks associated with farming activities', with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3227	West Wanaka Station	That the mapping of Wahi Tupuna #7 and #31 be retained as notified, to the extent that the majority of the submitter's property	Accept in part	Section 5.8

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		at West Wanaka Station is not identified as Wahi Tupuna.		
3230	Eco Sustainability Development Ltd	That a new policy be included in Chapter 39 that states: "Recognise that development within approved residential building platforms will require a level of earthworks that is likely to exceed 10m3 to enable the use of the building platform that has otherwise being approved and provided for."	Reject	Section 5.11
3230	Eco Sustainability Development Ltd	That Rule 25.5.2 be amended as follows: "25.5.2. - Wahi Tupuna Area - with the exception that earthworks associated with buildings, access, servicing and landscaping activities within an approved residential building platform or supplying services and access to an approved building platform are not captured by this rule.	Reject	Section 5.11
3230	Eco Sustainability Development Ltd	That the spatial extent of the Wahi Tupuna mapping is redefined following a robust and comprehensive Section 32 analysis.	Accept in part	Section 3.2
3230	Eco Sustainability Development Ltd	That any further or consequential or alternative amendments be made that are necessary to give effect to the submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3233	Marovid Trust	That the residential area of Hawea be removed from Wahi Tupuna #2, while noting that the area remains highly significant.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3236	Run 505 Limited	That Chapter 39, associated mapping and variations be rejected until the mapping of Wahi Tupuna areas and scheduling of their values and recognised threats is carried out in a fair and consistent manner, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 4.2
3236	Run 505 Limited	That the mapping of Wahi Tupuna should have a level of detail that takes into account the specifics of individual properties, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 4.2
3236	Run 505 Limited	That the mapping of Wahi Tupuna includes meaningful direction to landowners and Council as to the extent of the Wahi Tupuna areas and the associated values and threats, with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.7
3236	Run 505 Limited	That the mapping and scheduling of values and recognised threats be supported by a clear methodology and an appropriate level of detail, with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.7
3236	Run 505 Limited	That a Policy be included to "Recognise and provide for the ongoing operation of existing farming activities within Wahi Tupuna areas", with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 5.3
3236	Run 505 Limited	That Rule 39.4.1 is deleted, with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3236	Run 505 Limited	That Rule 39.5.2 is deleted or reworded to remove reference to structures, with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3236	Run 505 Limited	That Rule 25.4.5.1 is deleted, with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.6

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3236	Run 505 Limited	That Rule 25.5.2 is deleted or reworded to exclude earthworks associated with farming activities through the inclusion of the wording 'with the exception of earthworks associated with farming activities', with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3236	Run 505 Limited	That the mapping of Wahi Tupuna #11 be retained as notified, to the extent that the majority of the submitter's property in the Cardrona Valley (Run 505) is not identified as Wahi Tupuna.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3238	F Mackenzie	That historical and environmental areas important to citizens of New Zealand and the local district be protected.	Accept in part	Section 4.2
3238	F Mackenzie	That changes are made to the Wahi Tupuna provisions so that no citizens or ratepayers are discriminated against in the plan.	Reject	Section 4.2
3238	F Mackenzie	That changes are made to the Wahi Tupuna provisions so that the opportunity for conflicts of interest and corruption in the plan as notified are negated.	Reject	Section 4.6
3238	F Mackenzie	That changes are made to the Wahi Tupuna provisions so that QLDC work to first world, best practice governmental standards.	Reject	Section 4.2
3239	Loch Linnhe Station	That Chapter 39 be rejected until the Wahi Tupuna areas are mapped in a fair and consistent manner across the District, taking into account all relevant information such as the Land Tenure Review process that have already addressed cultural impacts.	Reject	Section 3.4
3239	Loch Linnhe Station	That better ways to integrate Wahi Tupuna into the Proposed District Plan in a more effective and efficient manner be investigated.	Accept in part	Section 4.1
3239	Loch Linnhe Station	That all of the proposed Variations to Chapters 2, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 25, 26, 27, 29 and 30 of the Proposed District Plan in relation to Wahi Tupuna are rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3239	Loch Linnhe Station	That any requirement for affected persons approval or cultural impact assessments be removed in relation to activities in a Wahi Tupuna area, particularly where values have been considered as part of the Land Tenure Review process.	Reject	Section 3.4
3240	Lakes Marina Projects Limited	That Wahi Tupuna #33 be amended to exclude the reclaimed land area associated with Frankton Marina, with any consequential changes, or decline Chapter 39 and all associated variations to the Proposed District Plan in their entirety.	Reject	Section 5.8
3240	Lakes Marina Projects Limited	That all the Statutory Acknowledgement Areas be deleted in Chapter SA of the Operative District Plan or decline Chapter 39 and all associated variations to the Proposed District Plan in their entirety, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 3.4
3242	Gertrude Saddlery Limited	That the boundary of Wahi Tupuna #29 be moved to exclude 111 Atley Road, Arthurs Point, or decline Chapter 39 and all associated variations to the Proposed District Plan, with any consequential	Reject	Section 5.8

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		changes.		
3243	Cabo Limited	That Chapter 39 and all associated variation chapters are declined until the Wahi Tupuna areas are mapped fairly and consistently; particularly Wahi Tupuna #14 (Tahuna) located in Glenorchy.	Reject	Section 4.5
3243	Cabo Limited	That Chapter 39 is rejected until further investigation into how Wahi Tupuna could be more efficiently and effectively integrated into the Proposed District Plan is undertaken.	Reject	Section 4.5
3243	Cabo Limited	That all variations associated with the Wahi Tupuna provisions are rejected until submission points 3243.1 and 3243.2 have been completed.	Reject	Section 4.5
3243	Cabo Limited	That Chapter 39.7.25 is rejected where the proposed rule has the effect of changing the status of an activity.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3243	Cabo Limited	That Chapter 39.7.27 is rejected where the proposed rule has the effect of changing the status of an activity.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3243	Cabo Limited	That the requirement for affected persons approvals or cultural impact assessments are removed from activities within the Wahi Tupuna areas.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3243	Cabo Limited	That the mapping of Site 14 Tahuna and Chapter 39 provisions are amended to ensure all high-country stations at the head of the lake are treated consistently.	Reject	Section 5.8
3243	Cabo Limited	That the mapping of Site 14 Tahuna is amended to be less arbitrary and follow cadastral boundaries.	Reject	Section 5.7
3245	Ben Hohneck	That Chapter 39 be rejected with all associated variations to the Proposed District Plan until the Wahi Tupuna areas are mapped in a fair and consistent manner across the District.	Reject	Section 4.5
3245	Ben Hohneck	That better ways to integrate Wahi Tupuna into the Proposed District Plan in a more effective and efficient manner are investigated.	Accept in part	Section 4.1
3245	Ben Hohneck	That all Wahi Tupuna variations to Chapters 2, 112, 13, 14, 15, 16, 25, 26, 27, 29 and 30 of the Proposed District Plan be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 4.1
3245	Ben Hohneck	That any requirement for affected persons approval or cultural impact assessments in relation to activities within Wahi Tupuna areas be removed.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3246	Ian Carswell, Rama Geeves & Beth Lawson	That Schedule 39.6 and the Wahi Tupuna Overlay be rejected until an adequate section 32 assessment has been undertaken.	Reject	Section 3.2
3246	Ian Carswell, Rama Geeves & Beth Lawson	That the Wahi Tupuna proposal be rejected until an evidential basis, consultation with landowners and a well understood process for any resource consent requirements is undertaken for any proposed mapping and associated rules.	Reject	Section 4.5
3247	QMTBC	That earthworks should be removed as a recognised threat from Wahi Tupuna #27 as it relates to mountain bike trail development	Reject	Section 4.5

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		within the Skyline, Fernhill/Wynyard, Seven Mile and Queenstown Hill bike parks, or that Chapter 39 and all associated variations to the Proposed District Plan be rejected in its entirety, with any consequential changes to achieve the this.		
3247	QMTBC	That earthworks should be removed as a recognised threat from Wahi Tupuna #16 as it relates to mountain bike trail development within the Skyline, Fernhill/Wynyard, Seven Mile and Queenstown Hill bike parks, or that Chapter 39 and all associated variations to the Proposed District Plan be rejected in its entirety, with any consequential changes to achieve the this.	Reject	Section 4.5
3247	QMTBC	That earthworks should be removed as a recognised threat from Wahi Tupuna #20 as it relates to mountain bike trail development within the Skyline, Fernhill/Wynyard, Seven Mile and Queenstown Hill bike parks, or that Chapter 39 and all associated variations to the Proposed District Plan be rejected in its entirety, with any consequential changes to achieve the this.	Reject	Section 4.5
3249	JF Investments NZ Limited	That Chapter 39 and all associated variations to the Proposed District Plan associated with Wahi Tupuna be rejected until they are mapped in a fair and consistent manner across the District, taking into account all relevant information such as the Land Tenure Review processes that have already addressed cultural impacts.	Reject	Section 4.5
3249	JF Investments NZ Limited	That better ways to integrate Wahi Tupuna more effectively and efficiently into the Proposed District Plan be investigated.	Accept in part	Section 4.1
3249	JF Investments NZ Limited	That the variations to Chapters 2, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 25, 26, 27, 29 and 30 of the Proposed District Plan associated with Wahi Tupuna be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 4.1
3249	JF Investments NZ Limited	That the variations to Chapter 27 be rejected where the proposed rules have the effect of changing the activity status, including changes by default to Chapters 21 and Chapters 22.	Accept in part	Section 5.13
3249	JF Investments NZ Limited	That the requirement for affected persons approval or cultural impact assessments in relation to activities within Wahi Tupuna areas, particularly where such values have been considered as part of the Land Tenure Review process in the past.	Reject	Section 3.4
3250	Amy Barker	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3250	Amy Barker	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3250	Amy Barker	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3250	Amy Barker	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2

<b>No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Submission Summary</b>	<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>Section where addressed</b>
3250	Amy Barker	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3250	Amy Barker	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3250	Amy Barker	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3250	Amy Barker	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3250	Amy Barker	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3250	Amy Barker	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3251	Ben Hohneck	That Chapter 39 and all associated variations to the Proposed District Plan are rejected until the Wahi Tupuna areas are mapped in a fair and consistent manner across the District.	Reject	Section 4.5
3251	Ben Hohneck	That better ways to integrate the concept of Wahi Tupuna into the Proposed District Plan in a more effective and efficient manner are investigated.	Accept in part	Section 4.1
3251	Ben Hohneck	That the variations to Chapters 2, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 25, 26, 27, 29, and 30 of the Proposed District Plan associated with Wahi Tupuna are rejected.	Accept in part	Section 4.1
3251	Ben Hohneck	That the requirement for affected person approval or cultural impact assessments in relation to activities within Wahi Tupuna areas be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3252	Craig Hoffman	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3252	Craig Hoffman	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3252	Craig Hoffman	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3252	Craig Hoffman	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3252	Craig Hoffman	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3252	Craig Hoffman	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston	Accept in part	Section 5.11

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.		
3252	Craig Hoffman	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3252	Craig Hoffman	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3252	Craig Hoffman	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3252	Craig Hoffman	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3256	Upper Clutha Transport Limited	That Chapter 39 and associated variations are declined until the mapping of Wahi Tupuna areas and their scheduling of values and recognised threats is carried out in a fair and consistent manner, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 4.5
3256	Upper Clutha Transport Limited	That the mapping and scheduling take account of specifics of individual properties, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 5.8
3256	Upper Clutha Transport Limited	That the mapping of Wahi Tupuna provide meaningful direction to landowners and Council as to extent of the Wahi Tupuna areas and the associated values and threats, with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.7
3256	Upper Clutha Transport Limited	That the mapping and scheduling of values and recognised threats requires support of a clear methodology and an appropriate level of detail, with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.7
3256	Upper Clutha Transport Limited	That proposed Rule 25.4.5.1 is deleted, with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3256	Upper Clutha Transport Limited	That proposed Rule 25.2 is deleted, with any consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3257	Rebecca and Jimmy Cotter	That better consultation process be undertaken on the Wahi Tupuna proposal.	Reject	Section 3.1
3257	Rebecca and Jimmy Cotter	That the mapping of the Wahi Tupuna areas be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.8
3257	Rebecca and Jimmy Cotter	That the earthworks threshold of 10m <sup>3</sup> within Wahi Tupuna areas on rural properties be deleted.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3258	Heather Fleming	That the Wahi Tupuna proposal be withdrawn.	Reject	Section 4.5
3265	Anne Oliver	That the Wahi Tupuna mapping be rejected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3268	Alister McRae	That the intent of the Wahi Tupuna rules be retained as notified.	Accept	Section 4.1
3268	Alister McRae	That the Wahi Tupuna Rules relating to earthworks be amended as necessary to ensure that a dwelling and buildings remain	Accept in part	Section 5.11

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		permitted activities for 275 Routeburn Road, Glenorchy (Lot 1 DP 15345).		
3268	Alister McRae	That the Wahi Tupuna Rules relating to buildings be amended as necessary to ensure that a dwelling and buildings remain permitted activities for 275 Routeburn Road, Glenorchy (Lot 1 DP 15345).	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3273	Edward, William and Judith Aubrey	That proposed Chapter 39 and its associated mapping and variation be rejected until such time as the mapping of wahi tupuna areas and the scheduling of their values and recognised threats is carried out in a fair and consistent manner and to a level of detail that takes into account the specifics of individual properties and provides meaningful direction to landowners and Council as to the extents of the wahi tupuna areas and the associated values and threats.	Reject	Section 4.5
3273	Edward, William and Judith Aubrey	That the mapping and scheduling of values and recognised threats is supported by a clear methodology and an appropriate level of detail.	Accept in part	Section 5.7
3273	Edward, William and Judith Aubrey	That unless it can be demonstrated that the parts of the site identified as wahi tupuna actually include values of significance, the Wahi Tupuna #32 is removed from the site ( 220 hectares of farm land immediately to the east of Luggate and located between State Highway 6 and the southern banks of the Clutha River/Mata Au).	Reject	Section 5.8
3273	Edward, William and Judith Aubrey	That if/when Chapter 39 is adopted a new policy be included in Chapter 39 that states: Recognise and provide for the ongoing operation of existing farming activities within wahi tupuna areas.	Reject	Section 5.3
3273	Edward, William and Judith Aubrey	That proposed Rule 39.4.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3273	Edward, William and Judith Aubrey	That Rule -Standard 39.5.2 be rejected or reworded as shown to remove the reference to structures.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3273	Edward, William and Judith Aubrey	That proposed Rule 25.4.5.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3273	Edward, William and Judith Aubrey	That proposed Rule 25.5.2 be rejected or reworded as outlined to exclude earthworks associated with farming activities.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3273	Edward, William and Judith Aubrey	That such further or consequential or alternative amendments necessary to give effect to submission 3273 and to achieve the matters listed be granted.	Accept in part	Section 5.4
3273	Edward, William and Judith Aubrey	That it is retained as notified that the majority of the submitter's property is not identified and mapped as Wahi Tupuna.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3274	Camp Hill Road Limited	That majority of the submitter's property at Camp Hill Road (Lot 2 DP 484226 and Part Lot 2 DP 22419) not being identified and mapped as a Wahi Tupuna is supported.	Accept in part	Section 5.8



No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3274	Camp Hill Road Limited	That unless it can be demonstrated that the parts of the submitter's site identified as wahi tupuna include the values associated with the Hawea River, the identified Wahi Tupuna #3 be removed from the site (Lot 2 DP 484226 and Part Lot 2 DP 22419).	Reject	Section 4.5
3274	Camp Hill Road Limited	That propose Chapter 39 and its associated mapping and variation be rejected until such time as the mapping of wahi tupuna areas and the scheduling of their values and recognised threats is carried out in a fair and consistent manner and to a level of detail that takes into account the specifics of individual properties and provides meaningful direction to landowners and Council as to the extents of the wahi tupuna areas and the associated values and threats.	Reject	Section 4.5
3274	Camp Hill Road Limited	That the mapping and scheduling of values and recognised threats is supported by a clear methodology and an appropriate level of detail.	Accept in part	Section 5.7
3274	Camp Hill Road Limited	That Rule 25.4.5.1 be rejected or amended to allow for a volume of earthworks that provides for practical use and development of affected properties.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3274	Camp Hill Road Limited	That such further or consequential or alternative amendments necessary to give effect to this submission and to achieve the matters listed be granted.	Accept in part	Section 5.4
3274	Camp Hill Road Limited	That Rule 25.5.2 be rejected or amended to allow for a volume of earthworks that provides for practical use and development of affected properties.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3275	Cardrona Valley Farms Limited	That proposed Chapter 39 and its associated mapping and variation be rejected until such time as the mapping of wahi tupuna areas and the scheduling of their values and recognised threats is carried out in a fair and consistent manner and to a level of detail that takes into account the specifics of individual properties and provides meaningful direction to landowners and Council as to the extents of the wahi tupuna areas and the associated values and threats.	Reject	Section 4.5
3275	Cardrona Valley Farms Limited	That the mapping and scheduling of values and recognised threats is supported by a clear methodology and an appropriate level of detail.	Accept in part	Section 5.7
3275	Cardrona Valley Farms Limited	That majority of the submitter's Cardrona Valley Farms property located to the south-east of the Cardrona River/Orau, is not identified or mapped as Wahi Tupuna	Reject	Section 4.5
3275	Cardrona Valley Farms Limited	That if/when Chapter 39 is adopted a new policy be included in Chapter 39 that states: Recognise and provide for the ongoing operation of existing farming activities within wahi tupuna areas.	Reject	Section 5.3

<b>No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Submission Summary</b>	<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>Section where addressed</b>
3275	Cardrona Valley Farms Limited	That proposed Rule 39.4.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3275	Cardrona Valley Farms Limited	That Rule - Standard 39.5.2 be rejected or reworded to remove the reference to structures.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3275	Cardrona Valley Farms Limited	That proposed Rule 25.4.5.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3275	Cardrona Valley Farms Limited	That proposed Rule 25.5.2 be rejected or reworded to exclude earthworks associated with farming activities and the construction, access and servicing of buildings on consented building platforms.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3275	Cardrona Valley Farms Limited	That such further or consequential or alternative amendments necessary to give effect to this submission and to achieve the matters listed be granted.	Accept in part	Section 5.4
3276	Craig Jolly, Maree Shaw and Lindsey Dey	That the majority of the submitter's property is not identified and mapped as Wahi Tupuna is supported.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3276	Craig Jolly, Maree Shaw and Lindsey Dey	That unless it can be demonstrated that the parts of the site identified as wahi tupuna include the values associated with the Cardrona River, that Wahi Tupuna #11 is removed from the submitter's property at 41 Riverbank Road, Wanaka (Lot 1 DP 300422).	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3276	Craig Jolly, Maree Shaw and Lindsey Dey	That proposed Chapter 39 and its associated mapping and variation be rejected until such time as the mapping of wahi tupuna areas and the scheduling of their values and recognised threats is carried out in a fair and consistent manner and to a level of detail that takes into account the specifics of individual properties and provides meaningful direction to landowners and Council as to the extents of the wahi tupuna areas and the associated values and threats.	Reject	Section 4.5
3276	Craig Jolly, Maree Shaw and Lindsey Dey	That the mapping and scheduling of values and recognised threats is supported by a clear methodology and an appropriate level of detail.	Accept in part	Section 5.7
3276	Craig Jolly, Maree Shaw and Lindsey Dey	That Rule 25.4.5.1 is rejected or amended to allow for a volume of earthworks that provides for practical use and development of affected properties.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3276	Craig Jolly, Maree Shaw and Lindsey Dey	That such further or consequential or alternative amendments necessary to give effect to submission 3276 and to achieve the matters listed be granted.	Accept in part	Section 5.4
3276	Craig Jolly, Maree Shaw and Lindsey Dey	That Rule 25.5.2 is rejected or amended to allow for a volume of earthworks that provides for practical use and development of affected properties.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3277	I & C Trustees Limited and Judith Muir	That the majority of the submitter's property is not identified and mapped as Wahi Tupuna is supported.	Accept in part	Section 5.8

<b>No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Submission Summary</b>	<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>Section where addressed</b>
3277	I & C Trustees Limited and Judith Muir	That unless it can be demonstrated that the parts of the site identified as Wahi Tupuna actually include the values of significant, that Wahi Tupuna #2 is removed from the site (281 Cemetery Road, Section 5 and 6 Blk I Lower Hawea SD).	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3277	I & C Trustees Limited and Judith Muir	That proposed Chapter 39 and its associated mapping and variation be rejected until such time as the mapping of Wahi Tupuna areas and the scheduling of their values and recognised threats is carried out in a fair and consistent manner and to a level of detail that takes into account the specifics of individual properties and provides meaningful direction to landowners and Council as to the extents of the Wahi Tupuna areas and the associated values and threats.	Reject	Section 4.5
3277	I & C Trustees Limited and Judith Muir	That the mapping and scheduling of values and recognised threats is supported by a clear methodology and an appropriate level of detail.	Accept in part	Section 5.7
3277	I & C Trustees Limited and Judith Muir	That if/when Chapter 39 is adopted a new policy be included that states: Recognise and provide for the ongoing operation of existing farming activities within wahi tupuna areas.	Reject	Section 5.3
3277	I & C Trustees Limited and Judith Muir	That proposed Rule 39.4.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3277	I & C Trustees Limited and Judith Muir	That proposed Rule 25.4.5.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3277	I & C Trustees Limited and Judith Muir	That proposed Rule 25.5.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3277	I & C Trustees Limited and Judith Muir	That such further or consequential or alternative amendments necessary to give effect to submission 3277 and to achieve the matters listed be granted.	Accept in part	Section 5.4
3278	Rex and Vicky Sandford and Wade Enright	That the majority of the submitter's property is not identified and mapped as Wahi Tupuna is supported.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3278	Rex and Vicky Sandford and Wade Enright	That propose Chapter 39 and its associated mapping and variation be rejected until such time as the mapping of wahi tupuna areas and the scheduling of their values and recognised threats is carried out in a fair and consistent manner and to a level of detail that takes into account the specifics of individual properties and provides meaningful direction to landowners and Council as to the extents of the wahi tupuna areas and the associated values and threats.	Reject	Section 4.5
3278	Rex and Vicky Sandford and Wade Enright	That the mapping and scheduling of values and recognised threats is supported by a clear methodology and an appropriate level of detail.	Accept in part	Section 5.7
3278	Rex and Vicky Sandford and Wade Enright	That Rule 25.4.5.1 is rejected or amended to allow for a volume of earthworks that provides for practical use and development of	Accept in part	Section 5.11

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		affected properties.		
3278	Rex and Vicky Sandford and Wade Enright	That such further or consequential or alternative amendments necessary to give effect to this submission and to achieve the matters listed be granted.	Accept in part	Section 5.4
3278	Rex and Vicky Sandford and Wade Enright	That Rule 25.5.2 is rejected or amended to allow for a volume of earthworks that provides for practical use and development of affected properties.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3279	Zozzy Limited	That propose Chapter 39 and its associated mapping and variation be rejected until such time as the mapping of wahi tupuna areas and the scheduling of their values and recognised threats is carried out in a fair and consistent manner and to a level of detail that takes into account the specifics of individual properties and provides meaningful direction to landowners and Council as to the extents of the wahi tupuna areas and the associated values and threats.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3279	Zozzy Limited	That the mapping and scheduling of values and recognised threats is supported by a clear methodology and an appropriate level of detail.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3279	Zozzy Limited	That Rule 25.4.5.1 is rejected or amended to allow for a volume of earthworks that provides for practical use and development of affected properties.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3279	Zozzy Limited	That such further or consequential or alternative amendments necessary to give effect to this submission and to achieve the matters listed be granted.	Accept in part	Section 5.7
3279	Zozzy Limited	That Rule 25.5.2 is rejected or amended to allow for a volume of earthworks that provides for practical use and development of affected properties.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3281	Mount Cardrona Station Limited	That the intent of Chapter 39 to give effect to the strategic direction in Chapter 5 (Tangata Whenua) is retained as notified.	Accept	Section 4.1
3281	Mount Cardrona Station Limited	That any land within the Mount Cardrona Station Special Zone be excluded from inclusion within mapped Wahi Tupuna areas, in particular, the area of land covered by Wahi Tupuna area #11 (Orau) over Sections 6 and 8 SO 459975.	Withdrawn	
3281	Mount Cardrona Station Limited	That further information be provided in regard to the methodology used to identify the extent of the notified Wahi Tupuna areas.	Accept in part	Section 5.7
3281	Mount Cardrona Station Limited	That further guidance be provided on the effects that the recognised threats could give rise to and how these influence the values of Wahi Tupuna areas.	Accept in part	Section 4.5
3281	Mount Cardrona Station Limited	That Rule 39.5.1 be reworded or reformatted to remove any potential ambiguity in regard to the interpretation of limbs a, b	Accept in part	Section 5.6

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		and c.		
3281	Mount Cardrona Station Limited	That Rule 39.5.2 be reworded or reformatted to remove any potential ambiguity in regard to the interpretation of limbs a, b and c.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3281	Mount Cardrona Station Limited	That rule 39.5.3 be reworded or reformatted to remove any potential ambiguity in regard to the interpretation of limbs a, b and c.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
381	Mount Cardrona Station Limited	That further clarification be provided in regard to the rationale of the proposed 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks limit in Rule 25.5.2.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3281	Mount Cardrona Station Limited	That an evaluation be undertaken in regard to the costs and benefits of a higher earthworks volume for Wahi Tupuna areas.	Accept in part	Section 3.2
3281	Mount Cardrona Station Limited	That the earthworks volume within Wahi Tupuna areas be increased.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3281	Mount Cardrona Station Limited	That clarification is provided if Rule 25.4.5 applies to earthworks over the volume identified in Table 25.2.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3281	Mount Cardrona Station Limited	That clarification is provided if the exemptions for particular activities and areas from the maximum volumes in Table 25.2 (set out in 25.3.2) will also apply to areas of Wahi Tupuna.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3281	Mount Cardrona Station Limited	That clarification is provided if Wahi Tupuna areas will be considered archaeological sites for the purpose of the application of section 42 of the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014.	Reject	Section 3.4
3281	Mount Cardrona Station Limited	That if wahi tupuna sites are considered archaeological sites under section 42 of the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014, the proposed rules for wahi tupuna in Chapter 25 (earthworks) be deleted.	Reject	Section 3.4
3281	Mount Cardrona Station Limited	That the language used in Chapter 5 (Tangata Whenua) and Chapter 39 (Wahi Tupuna) be reviewed to ensure consistent use of Te Reo Maori terms and spelling.	Accept in part	Section 5.9
3281	Mount Cardrona Station Limited	That all of the values identified in Schedule 39.6 be included in the glossary in Chapter 5 (Tangata Whenua).	Accept in part	Section 5.9
3281	Mount Cardrona Station Limited	That alternative, additional or consequential relief is sought which is necessary and appropriate to address the matters raised in the submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.4
3284	April Mackenzie and Ian Ball	That Chapter 39 be deleted.	Reject	Section 4.5
3284	April Mackenzie and Ian Ball	That 39 Muir Road, Lake Hawea, Section 2, SO24028, certificate of title OT15C/357 be omitted from Wahi Tupuna #2 overlay.	Reject	Section 5.8
3287	Hawea Community Association Inc	That confusion surrounding the relevance of national level planning instruments to the proposal, including the National Policy Statement for Freshwater Management and the National	Reject	Refer Report 20.1

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		Environmental Standards for Freshwater, be clarified.		
3287	Hawea Community Association Inc	That clarification should be provided on the range of land use impacts and values intended to be protected by the proposed Chapter 39 (Wahi Tupuna).	Accept in part	Section 5.7
3287	Hawea Community Association Inc	That duplication of regulations concerning outstanding natural landscapes, freshwater bodies, earthworks etc should be avoided.	Reject	Section 3.4
3287	Hawea Community Association Inc	That the Hawea cemetery be removed from the Wahi Tupuna #2 (Paetarariki and Timaru) overlay.	Reject	Section 5.7
3287	Hawea Community Association Inc	That Hawea be treated the same as the urban areas of Queenstown, Frankton and Wanaka in regard to the exclusion of areas of urban development due to significant modification.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3287	Hawea Community Association Inc	That the western portion of Hawea proposed to be zoned Lower Density Suburban Residential Zone be removed from Wahi Tupuna area #2 (Paetarariki and Timaru).	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3287	Hawea Community Association Inc	That the larger wahi tupuna areas around Lake Hawea be more closely aligned to the specific values they are trying to address.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3287	Hawea Community Association Inc	That more specific recognised threats be outlined within Chapter 39.	Reject	Section 4.5
3287	Hawea Community Association Inc	That greater clarity be provided in regard to the purpose, rules and recognised threats contained within Chapter 39.	Reject	Section 4.5
3287	Hawea Community Association Inc	That more workable provisions be applied in regard to earthworks activities located within wahi tupuna areas.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3287	Hawea Community Association Inc	That the Dunedin City Council wahi tupuna model of zoning be applied to provide greater specificity and clarity.	Reject	Section 3.2
3287	Hawea Community Association Inc	That the wahi tupuna areas around the southern shores of Lake Hawea be reduced to a 20m setback to better reflect the pathway around the lake.	Reject	Section 5.6
3287	Hawea Community Association Inc	That the setback around the Hawea lake shore be amended to 20 metres to be consistent with the rules for the Rural Zone.	Reject	Section 5.6
3287	Hawea Community Association Inc	That the Hawea River setbacks be reduced to 20m.	Reject	Section 5.6
3289	Ka Runaka	That the Wahi Tupuna provisions be retained as notified.	Reject	Section 5.3
3289	Ka Runaka	That the Wahi Tupuna mapping be retained as notified.	Reject	Section 5.8
3289	Ka Runaka	That the council ensure that provisions and tables within Chapter 39 and the remainder of the plan are linked and consistent.	Accept	Section 5.11
3289	Ka Runaka	That Council consider amendments throughout the remainder of the plan to include reference to Wahi Tupuna as a matter of discretion.	Accept	Section 5.6

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3290	Suzanne Farry	That Rule 39.5.2 does not apply to the consented development or earthworks associated with subdivision at 1113 Glenorchy Road, Queenstown (Lot 1 DP 489550).	Reject	Section 5.11
3290	Suzanne Farry	That Rule 39.5.2 does not apply to existing consents or variations associated with subdivision at 1113 Glenorchy Road, Queenstown (Lot 1 DP 489550).	Reject	Section 5.11
3290	Suzanne Farry	That Rule 25.5.2 does not apply to the consented development or earthworks associated with subdivision at 1113 Glenorchy Road, Queenstown (Lot 1 DP 489550).	Reject	Section 5.11
3290	Suzanne Farry	That Rule 25.5.2 does not apply to existing consents or variations associated with subdivision at 1113 Glenorchy Road, Queenstown (Lot 1 DP 489550).	Reject	Section 5.11
3290	Suzanne Farry	That Rule 25.5.7 does not apply to the consented development or earthworks associated with subdivision at 1113 Glenorchy Road, Queenstown (Lot 1 DP 489550).	Reject	Section 5.11
3290	Suzanne Farry	That Rule 25.5.7 does not apply to existing consents or variations associated with subdivision at 1113 Glenorchy Road, Queenstown (Lot 1 DP 489550).	Reject	Section 5.11
3290	Suzanne Farry	That Rule 25.4.5 does not apply to the consented development or earthworks associated with subdivision at 1113 Glenorchy Road, Queenstown (Lot 1 DP 489550).	Reject	Section 5.11
3290	Suzanne Farry	That Rule 25.4.5 does not apply to existing consents or variations associated with subdivision at 1113 Glenorchy Road, Queenstown (Lot 1 DP 489550).	Reject	Section 5.11
3291	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That the Wahi Tupuna provisions be re-drafted to capture threats to the cultural landscape, whilst providing a suite of exclusions that would better align with the intent of s32(1)(a) & (b).	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3291	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That the Wahi Tupuna overlay is redrawn to incorporate discrete locations rather than expansive areas which includes established farms.	Reject	Section 2.3
3291	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That Council recognises the issue of trade competition in relation to the proposed provisions.	Reject	Section 4.6
3291	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That the recognised threats in Schedule 39.6 be condensed to remove all trade or commercial related threats including subdivision and development, energy and utility activities, commercial and commercial recreational activities.	Reject	Section 4.6
3291	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That rule 39.5.1 be rejected.	Accept	Section 5.6
3291	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That the effects of setback provisions for buildings and structures are managed by the performance standards relevant to each respective zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.6

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3291	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That farm buildings are assessed under the Rural Zone standards and be excluded from Wahi Tupuna.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3291	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That the earthworks provisions in the Wahi Tupuna chapter be rejected and earthworks is managed through the underlying zone provisions.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3291	Federated Farmers of New Zealand	That objectives and policies to identify and protect significant sites are supported where these relate to specific defined areas, as outlined in the submission.	Reject	Section 4.5
3292	Tony Flight	That the Wahi Tupuna chapter be rejected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3293	Graeme Harold Rodwell	That Chapter 39 be rejected in its entirety, or alternatively that 107 and 108 Spence Road (Lots 2 & 3 DP 502589) be removed from Wahi Tupuna #29.	Reject	Section 4.5
3295	Hansen Family	That Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna and the corresponding Wahi Tupuna overlay identified on the PDP planning maps be deleted.	Reject	Section 4.5
3295	Partnership	That Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna be amended to remove additional resource consent and Cultural Impact Assessment requirements, while still appropriately providing for Manawhenua values.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3295	Hansen Family	That any duplication / overlap of provisions existing in Chapter 5 Tangata Whenua be removed, as a result of Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna being introduced.	Reject	Section 4.1
3295	Partnership	That any alternative, consequential, or necessary additional relief be undertaken to give effect to the matters raised generally in submission 3295.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3296	Marovid Trust	That Wahi Tupuna #2 and #3 being Hawea, Paetarariki and Timaru, Proposed District Plan Stage 3 is modified so that the urbanised area in the Hawea settlement zone is removed from the Wahi Tupuna overlay.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3296	Marovid Trust	That the aims of Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna in assisting the Council to develop a partnership with Aukahu to facilitate Kai Tahu engagement in resource consent and plan change processes in Otago on behalf of Manawhenua and to fulfill the Council's statutory functions and responsibilities under the Resources Management Act 1991 are retained.	Accept	Section 2.1
3297	Kingston Lifestyle Properties Ltd	That Wahi Tupuna #23 be removed from Kingston Flyer land, or that the Wahi Tupuna provisions be modified to meet the concerns of the submitter and achieve the purpose of the Act.	Accept in part	Section 5.13
3297	Kingston Lifestyle Properties Ltd	That the Wahi Tupuna proposal as notified be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.13
3299	Chard Farm Limited	That clarification is sought on what consultation is required and what process and form consultation should follow.	Reject	Section 3.4



<b>No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Submission Summary</b>	<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>Section where addressed</b>
3299	Chard Farm Limited	That Chapter 39 and the corresponding mapping overlay be deleted.	Reject	Section 4.5
3299	Chard Farm Limited	That should Chapter 39 be introduced any duplication or overlap of provisions in Chapter 5 Tangata Whenua be removed	Reject	Section 4.1
3299	Chard Farm Limited	That alternative relief is sought to give effect to the matters raised in this submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3302	Glendhu Bay Trustees Limited	That clarification is sought on what consultation is required and what process and form consultation should follow.	Reject	Section 3.4
3302	Glendhu Bay Trustees Limited	That Chapter 39 and the corresponding mapping overlay be deleted.	Reject	Section 4.5
3302	Glendhu Bay Trustees Limited	That should Chapter 39 be introduced any duplication or overlap of provisions in Chapter 5 Tangata Whenua be removed	Reject	Section 4.1
3302	Glendhu Bay Trustees Limited	That alternative relief is sought to give effect to the matters raised in this submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3302	Glendhu Bay Trustees Limited	That any alternative, consequential or necessary additional relief to meet the matters raised in submission 3302.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3303	Mt Christina Limited	That clarification is sought on what consultation is required and what process and form consultation should follow.	Reject	Section 3.4
3303	Mt Christina Limited	That Chapter 39 and the corresponding mapping overlay be deleted.	Reject	Section 4.5
3303	Mt Christina Limited	That should Chapter 39 be introduced any duplication or overlap of provisions in Chapter 5 Tangata Whenua be removed	Reject	Section 4.1
3303	Mt Christina Limited	That alternative relief is sought to give effect to the matters raised in this submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3303	Mt Christina Limited	That any alternative, consequential or necessary additional relief to meet the matters raised in submission 3303.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3304	Tim Burdon	That mapping of Wahi Tupuna areas need to accurately match the specific sites of cultural significance with clear explanations of their specific values.	Accept in part	Section 5.7
3304	Tim Burdon	That better consideration for landowners and how the restrictions effect their activities is required, specifically for farmers.	Accept	Section 5.8
3305	Soho Ski Area Limited and Blackmans Creek No.1 LP	That clarification is sought on what consultation is required and what process and form consultation should follow.	Reject	Section 3.4
3305	Soho Ski Area Limited and Blackmans Creek No. 1 LP	That Chapter 39 and the corresponding mapping overlay be deleted.	Reject	Section 4.5
3305	Soho Ski Area Limited and Blackmans Creek No.1 LP	That should Chapter 39 be introduced any duplication or overlap of provisions in Chapter 5 Tangata Whenua be removed.	Reject	Section 4.1
3305	Soho Ski Area Limited and Blackmans Creek No.1 LP	That alternative relief is sought to give effect to the matters raised in this submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3305	Soho Ski Area Limited and Blackmans Creek No.1 LP	That any alternative, consequential or necessary additional relief to meet the matters raised in submission 3305.	Accept in part	Section 5.5

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3306	Kingston Village Ltd	That Policy 39.2.1.2.c be amended by deletion of 'buildings and structures' and replaced with: c) Farm Buildings.	Reject	Section 5.3
3306	Kingston Village Ltd	That Policy 39.2.1.4 be rejected, or greater clarity be provided within the policy as to which activities are inappropriate (as reflected by the prohibited activity status).	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3306	Kingston Village Ltd	That Policy 39.2.1.7 be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.3
3306	Kingston Village Ltd	That Policy 39.2.1.7 be included as an 'interpretation note' or a notification guidance parameter at the end of the chapter.	Reject	Section 5.3
3306	Kingston Village Ltd	That Schedule 39.6 recognised threats in relation to roads be amended as follows: 'New roads or additions/alterations to existing roads, vehicle tracks and driveways, excluding roads developed or to be developed as part of a subdivision.'	Reject	Section 5.7
3306	Kingston Village Ltd	That the Recognised Threats within Schedule 39.6 for Wahi Tupuna #23 exclude clause c. Buildings and Structures.	Reject	Section 5.3
3306	Kingston Village Ltd	That Rule 39.5.1 be amended as follows: Any buildings or structures: a. within a wahi tupuna area (identified in Schedule 39.6); and b. where activities affecting water quality are a recognised threat; and c. Within the following zones ...	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3306	Kingston Village Ltd	That Rule 39.5.2 be amended as follows: Any buildings or structures: a. within a wahi tupuna area (identified in Schedule 39.6); and b. Where activities affecting water quality are a recognised threat; and c. Within the following zones ...	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3306	Kingston Village Ltd	That Rule 39.5.3 be amended as follows: Any buildings or structures: a. within a wahi tupuna area (identified in Schedule 39.6); and b. Where activities affecting water quality are a recognised threat; and c. Within the following zones ...	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3306	Kingston Village Ltd	That if Kingston Village Special Zone (KVSZ) is subject to Chapter 39, amend Rule 27.5.12A to include an additional rule that relates directed to the KVSZ with an activity status of 'Controlled Activity' that includes the following matter of control: Effects on cultural values of Manawhenua.	Withdrawn	
3306	Kingston Village Ltd	That if/when the Kingston Village Special Zone is subject to Chapter 39, amend Rule 25.5.2 as follows: Wahi Tupuna areas (excluding earthworks associated with a Permitted Activity on a site with a subdivision consented under Rule 27.5.12A). or, a similar relief that recognises that Rule 25.5.2 need not apply if the site has been developed in accordance with a bulk earthworks or subdivision consent obtained prior PDP Stage 3 notification.	Withdrawn	
3306	Kingston Village Ltd	That any consequential, or necessary amendments be made to achieve the relief sought in submission 3306.	Accept in part	Section 5.13

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3309	Landowners Rights Protection for Alistair Angus,Tsz Yan Mak-Angus,John Richards,Kay richards,Ruth Rivet-Cuthbertson,Vernon Affleck,Isabelle Affleck,Gavin Affleck, Mike Clark,Reuben Bryant,Neville Bryant,Leigh Carppe, Lucie Machovcova	That the intent of Wahi Tupuna, the protection of cultural values, landscapes and water and the recognition of the rights of Tangata Whenua under Te Tiriti be supported.	Accept	Section 4.1
3309	Landowners Rights Protection for Alistair Angus,Tsz Yan Mak-Angus,John Richards,Kay richards,Ruth Rivet-Cuthbertson,Vernon Affleck,Isabelle Affleck,Gavin Affleck, Mike Clark,Reuben Bryant,Neville Bryant,Leigh Carppe, Lucie Machovcova	That Council considers alternative methods to achieve similar outcomes for Wahi Tupuna in a fairer, more efficient and more transparent manner.	Accept in part	Section 5.1
3309	Landowners Rights Protection for Alistair Angus,Tsz Yan Mak-Angus,John Richards,Kay richards,Ruth Rivet-Cuthbertson,Vernon Affleck,Isabelle Affleck,Gavin Affleck, Mike Clark,Reuben Bryant,Neville Bryant,Leigh Carppe, Lucie Machovcova	That the Wahi Tupuna chapter be revised to put some limits in place for greater clarity.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3310	Glenorchy Trustee Limited	That the provision for the kaitiakitanga of Kai Tahu as Manawhenua in the District according to Chapter 5 Tangata Whenua, and the need for implementation of that Chapter.	Accept	Section 4.1
3310	Glenorchy Trustee Limited	That the need to set out objectives and policies relating to subdivision, use and development within the wahi tupuna areas where those provisions implement Chapter 5 as it relates to wahi tupuna areas, and where those provisions are necessary to manage the effects and are appropriately refined to not cause unnecessary burdens on land owners and the Council as a	Accept	Section 4.1

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		consenting authority be supported.		
3310	Glenorchy Trustee Limited	That the framework for Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna to manage wahi tupuna areas and to recognise cultural values of Manawhenua be rejected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3310	Glenorchy Trustee Limited	That Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna be rejected and that Wahi Tupuna matters and mana whenua values be addressed in Chapter 5 and other existing provisions of the District Plan.	Reject	Section 4.1
3310	Glenorchy Trustee Limited	That the Wahi Tupuna mapping be refined to where there is ground truthed evidence of values to mana whenua.	Reject	Section 5.8
3311	Skyline Enterprises Limited	That the Wahi Tupuna chapter be rejected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3311	Skyline Enterprises Limited	That the Wahi Tupuna mapping be refined to where there is ground truthed evidence of values of mana whenua.	Reject	Section 5.8
3311	Skyline Enterprises Limited	That any similar, alternative, consequential and/or other relief as necessary to address the issues raised in this submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.13
3312	Lesley and Jerry Burdon	That Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna and the corresponding Wahi Tupuna map overlay be rejected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3312	Lesley and Jerry Burdon	That the Paetarariki and Timaru Wahi Tupuna overlay be removed from the Submitter's property at 1576 Makarora-Lake Hawea Road (Lot 1 DP 396356).	Reject	Section 5.7
3312	Lesley and Jerry Burdon	That alternative, consequential, or necessary additional relief to give effect to the matters raised generally in this submission be provided.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3313	Awarua Runaka, Te Runanga o Oraka Aparima and Waihopai Runaka	That Chapter 39 is retained as notified.	Reject	Section 5.3
3313	Awarua Runaka, Te Runanga o Oraka Aparima and Waihopai Runaka	That the Wahi Tupuna provisions are retained as notified.	Reject	Section 5.8
3313	Awarua Runaka, Te Runanga o Oraka Aparima and Waihopai Runaka	That the mapped Wahi Tupuna areas are retained as notified.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3313	Awarua Runaka, Te Runanga o Oraka Aparima and Waihopai Runaka	That the integration between Chapter 39 and the other Chapters in the Proposed District Plan is retained as notified.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3313	Awarua Runaka, Te Runanga o Oraka Aparima and Waihopai Runaka	That references to Wahi Tupuna require appropriate referencing throughout the entire District Plan.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3313	Awarua Runaka, Te Runanga o Oraka Aparima and Waihopai Runaka	That the Wahi Tupuna tables and provisions be referenced throughout the entire District Plan.	Accept in part	Section 5.6

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3314	John Taylor	That the mapping of Wahi Tupuna in the western area of Lake Hawea township and the area eastward from Muir Road be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3315	D.M. & M.E. Bryce Limited	That the purpose of Wahi Tupuna and the identification of specific Wahi Tupuna areas is supported.	Accept	Section 5.1
3315	D.M. & M.E. Bryce Limited	That the inclusion of the existing settlement of Kingston in the Wahi Tupuna mapping is rejected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3315	D.M. & M.E. Bryce Limited	That the southern boundary of the Kingston Wahi Tupuna mapping is amended to only include the Kingston Reserve (marginal strip).	Reject	Section 5.8
3315	D.M. & M.E. Bryce Limited	That Table 23 Takerahaka is rejected against the existing Kingston settlement and amended to refer to the Kingston Reserve. (marginal strip).	Reject	Section 5.7
3315	D.M. & M.E. Bryce Limited	That Rule 25.5.2 be amended to provide for a maximum of 100m <sup>3</sup> of earthworks within Wahi Tupuna areas.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3316	Queenstown Airport Corporation	That further clarification is provided around the application of provisions to the "not mapped" areas and that "the not mapped" areas are deleted from Schedule 39.6."	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3316	Queenstown Airport Corporation	That Rule 25.4.5.1 is amended to state mapped Wahi Tupuna areas.	Reject	Section 5.11
3316	Queenstown Airport Corporation	That Rule 25.5.2 is amended to state mapped Wahi Tupuna areas.	Reject	Section 5.11
3317	Remarkables Park Limited	That the intent of proposed Chapter 39 to implement the strategic direction set out in Chapter 5 of the Proposed District Plan and to provide for the kaitiakitanga of Kai Tahu as Mana Whenua in the Queenstown District be retained.	Accept	Section 4.1
3317	Remarkables Park Limited	That proposed Chapter 39 is rejected as currently drafted.	Accept in part	Section 4.5
3317	Remarkables Park Limited	That Schedule 39.6 and the Wahi Tupuna Overlay be rejected, until an adequate section 32 assessment has been undertaken (including adequate consultation with landowners) and a proper evidential basis is established for any proposed scheduling / mapping; ALTERNATIVELY remove the Wahi Tupuna Overlay at 24 Kawarau River and 36 Kawarau (The Remarkables) in all areas except specific identified sites of significance to Kai Tahu and delete the Wahi Tupuna Overlay from land zoned RPZ.	Reject	Section 5.7
3317	Remarkables Park Limited	That Objective 39.2.1 be amended to read as follows: 39.2.1 Objective – the values held by Manawhenua, within identified wahi tupuna sites are recognised and provided for, and considered as part of decision making.	Accept in part	Section 5.2
3317	Remarkables Park Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.1 be amended to read as follows: Recognise that the following activities may be incompatible with the values held by Manawhenua where they occur within identified wahi tupuna	Accept in part	Section 5.3

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		sites.		
3317	Remarkables Park Limited	That policy 39.2.1.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3317	Remarkables Park Limited	That policy 39.2.1.3 be amended to read as follows: Avoid where practicable significant adverse effects on values within identified wahi tupuna sites and where significant adverse effects cannot be practicably avoided, require them to be remedied or mitigated.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3317	Remarkables Park Limited	That policy 39.2.1.4 be amended to read as follows: Recognise that certain activities, when undertaken in wahi tupuna sites, can have such significant adverse effects on manawhenua values they are culturally inappropriate and should be avoided.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3317	Remarkables Park Limited	That policy 39.2.1.5 be amended to read as follows: Encourage consultation with Manawhenua as the most appropriate way for obtaining understanding of the impact of any activity on an identified wahi tupuna site.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3317	Remarkables Park Limited	That policy 39.2.1.6 be amended to read as follows: Recognise that an application for an activity within an identified wahi tupuna site that does not include detail of consultation undertaken with mana whenua may require a cultural impact assessment as part of an Assessment of Environment Effects so that any adverse effects that an activity may have on a wahi tupuna can be understood.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3317	Remarkables Park Limited	That policy 39.2.1.7 be rejected.	Accept	Section 5.3
3317	Remarkables Park Limited	That Activity table 39.4 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3317	Remarkables Park Limited	That Standards 39.5 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3317	Remarkables Park Limited	That the proposed variations to Chapter 25 (Earthworks) be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3317	Remarkables Park Limited	That the proposed variations to Chapter 27 (Subdivision and Development) be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.13
3317	Remarkables Park Limited	That Chapter 39 be amended to: Clearly define (mapped) Identified Wahi Tupuna Sites and (unmapped) Unidentified Wahi Tupuna using capitalised definitions. Consistently use these capitalised definitions throughout Chapter 39. Clarify that Activity Table 39.4 and Standards 39.5 apply only to Identified Wahi Tupuna Sites.	Accept in part	Section 5.2
3317	Remarkables Park Limited	That any consequential relief or alternative amendments to the provisions required to give effect to the matters raised in this submission be provided.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
317	Remarkables Park Limited	That the proposed Wahi Tupuna Overlay over the land zoned Remarkables Park Zone be rejected (in the alternative to accepting	Withdrawn	

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		submission point 3317.3).		
3318	Queenstown Park Limited	That the intent of proposed Chapter 39 to implement the strategic direction set out in Chapter 5 of the Proposed District Plan and to provide for the kaitiakitanga of Kai Tahu as Mana Whenua in the Queenstown District be retained.	Accept	Section 4.1
3318	Queenstown Park Limited	That proposed Chapter 39 is rejected as currently drafted.	Accept in part	Section 4.5
3318	Queenstown Park Limited	That Schedule 39.6 and the Wahi Tupuna Overlay be rejected, until an adequate section 32 assessment has been undertaken (including adequate consultation with landowners) and a proper evidential basis is established for any proposed scheduling / mapping; alternatively remove the Wahi Tupuna Overlay at 24 Kawarau River and 36 Kawarau (The Remarkables) in all areas except specific identified sites of significance to Kai Tahu.	Reject	Section 5.7
3318	Queenstown Park Limited	That Objective 39.2.1 be amended to read as follows: 39.2.1 Objective – the values held by Manawhenua within identified wahi tupuna sites are recognised and provided for, and considered as part of decision making.	Accept in part	Section 5.2
3318	Queenstown Park Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.1 be amended to read as follows: Recognise that the following activities may be incompatible with the values held by Manawhenua where they occur within identified wahi tupuna sites.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3318	Queenstown Park Limited	That policy 39.2.1.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3318	Queenstown Park Limited	That policy 39.2.1.3 be amended to read as follows: Avoid where practicable significant adverse effects on values within identified wahi tupuna sites and where significant adverse effects cannot be practicably avoided, require them to be remedied or mitigated.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3318	Queenstown Park Limited	That policy 39.2.1.4 be amended to read as follows: Recognise that certain activities, when undertaken in wahi tupuna sites can have such significant adverse effects on manawhenua values they are culturally inappropriate and should be avoided.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3318	Queenstown Park Limited	That policy 39.2.1.5 be amended to read as follows: Encourage consultation with Manawhenua as the most appropriate way for obtaining understanding of the impact of any activity on an identified wahi tupuna site.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3318	Queenstown Park Limited	That policy 39.2.1.6 be amended to read as follows: Recognise that an application for an activity within an identified wahi tupuna site that does not include detail of consultation undertaken with mana whenua may require a cultural impact assessment as part of an Assessment of Environment Effects so that any adverse effects that	Accept in part	Section 5.3

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		an activity may have on a wahi tupuna can be understood.		
3318	Queenstown Park Limited	That policy 39.2.1.7 be rejected.	Accept	Section 5.3
3318	Queenstown Park Limited	That Activity table 39.4 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3318	Queenstown Park Limited	That Standards 39.5 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3318	Queenstown Park Limited	That the proposed variations to Chapter 25 (Earthworks) be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3318	Queenstown Park Limited	That the proposed variations to Chapter 27 (Subdivision and Development) be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.13
3318	Queenstown Park Limited	That Chapter 39 be amended to: Clearly define (mapped) Identified Wahi Tupuna Sites and (unmapped) Unidentified Wahi Tupuna using capitalised definitions. Consistently use these capitalised definitions throughout Chapter 39. Clarify that Activity Table 39.4 and Standards 39.5 apply only to Identified Wahi Tupuna Sites.	Accept in part	Section 5.2
3318	Queenstown Park Limited	That any consequential relief or alternative amendments to the provisions required to give effect to the matters raised in this submission be provided.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3320	ZJV (NZ) Ltd	That the intent of Chapter 39 to implement the strategic direction set out in Chapter 5 of the Proposed District Plan and to provide for the kaitiakitanga of Kai Tahu as Mana Whenua in the Queenstown District be retained.	Accept	Section 4.1
3320	ZJV (NZ) Ltd	That Chapter 39 be rejected as the submitter generally opposes it as it is currently drafted.	Accept in part	Section 4.5
3320	ZJV (NZ) Ltd	That the Wahi Tupuna overlay on the Ben Lomond Reserve (Te Taumata o Hakitekura (Site number 27) be rejected, except where specific sites of significance are identified and evaluated and adequate consultation has been undertaken.	Reject	Section 5.7
3320	ZJV (NZ) Ltd	That proposed variation to Chapter 25 (Earthworks), Rule 25.5.2 be rejected and amended to increase the maximum earthworks volume from 10m <sup>3</sup> to 100m <sup>3</sup> .	Reject	Section 5.11
3320	ZJV (NZ) Ltd	That Chapter 39 be amended to: Clearly define (mapped) Identified Wahi Tupuna Sites and (unmapped) unidentified Wahi Tupuna using capitalised definitions; Consistently use these capitalised definitions throughout Chapter 39; and Clarify that Activity Table 39.4 and Standards 39.5 apply only to Identified Wahi Tupuna Sites.	Accept in part	Section 5.2
3320	ZJV (NZ) Ltd	That any consequential relief or alternative amendments to the provisions required to give effect to the matters raised in this submission be provided.	Accept in part	Section 5.11



No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3321	Skyline Investments Limited (48-50 Beach Street)	That Chapter 39 be deleted in its entirety, or that 48-50 Beach Street (Sections 4-5 Blk XV Queenstown) be removed as a Wahi Tupuna area.	Reject	Section 5.8
3322	Skyline Investments Limited (48-50 Beach Street)	That Chapter 39 be deleted in its entirety, or alternatively, that 2 Rees Street (Lot 1 DP 20357) be removed as a Wahi Tupuna area.	Reject	Section 5.8
3323	Lane Neve	That Chapter 39 be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.2
3323	Closeburn Station Management	That Chapter 5 and other existing provisions of the Proposed District Plan should be relied upon to achieve the purpose of the Wahi Tupuna proposal, with matters of discretion added in relation to Manawhenua values where necessary, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 4.1
3323	Closeburn Station Management	That the mapping of Wahi Tupuna be refined through ground truthed evidence of values to Manawhenua, with any consequential changes.	Reject	Section 5.8
3323	Closeburn Station Management	That the council consider any similar alternative or consequential relief to give effect to the matters raised in the submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3323	Closeburn Station Management	That Objective 39.2.1 and Policy 39.2.1.1 be reviewed for duplication with Chapter 5.	Reject	Section 4.1
3323	Closeburn Station Management	That Policy 39.2.1.2 be amended to be more specific and certain.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3323	Closeburn Station Management	That Policy 39.2.1.3 be reviewed and amended to be more specific and certain.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3323	Closeburn Station Management	That Policy 39.2.1.6 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3323	Closeburn Station Management	That policy 39.2.1.7 be rejected.	Accept	Section 5.3
3323	Closeburn Station Management	That Rule 39.4.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3323	Closeburn Station Management	That Rule 25.4.5 and standards 25.5.2 and 25.5.7 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3323	Closeburn Station Management	That variations to chapter 26 including the deletion of Rule 26.1, 26.2.1 and 26.5 be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.12
3323	Closeburn Station Management	That Rule 27.5.12A be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.13
3324	New Zealand Tungsten Mining Limited	That Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.2
3324	New Zealand Tungsten Mining Limited	That proposed Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna be amended such as to remove additional resource consent and Cultural Impact Assessment requirements, while still appropriately providing for Manawhenua values.	Accept in part	Section 5.3

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3324	New Zealand Tungsten Mining Limited	That any duplication / overlap of provisions existing in Chapter 5 Tangata Whenua be removed, as a result of Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna being introduced.	Reject	Section 4.1
3324	New Zealand Tungsten Mining Limited	That alternative, consequential, or necessary additional relief to give effect to the matters raised generally in this submission be provided.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3325	Rock Supplies NZ Limited	That Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna and the corresponding Wahi Tupuna map overlay be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.2
3325	Rock Supplies NZ Limited	That proposed Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna be amended such as to remove additional resource consent and Cultural Impact Assessment requirements, while still appropriately providing for Manawhenua values.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3325	Rock Supplies NZ Limited	That any duplication / overlap of provisions existing in Chapter 5 Tangata Whenua be removed, as a result of Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna being introduced.	Reject	Section 4.1
3325	Rock Supplies NZ Limited	That alternative, consequential, or necessary additional relief i to give effect to the matters raised generally in this submission be provided.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3326	Beech Cottage Trustees Limited	That Chapter 39 be deleted in its entirety, or alternatively, that 350 Mount Aspiring Road (Sec 1 & 2 SO 24934) be removed as a Wahi Tupuna area.	Reject	Section 5.2
3327	Owners of 27, 29 and 31 Waimana Place, Wanaka	That clarification and any necessary amendment be made to remove 27, 29 and 31 Waimana Place, Wanaka (Lot 15 DP 24576, Lot 14 DP 24576 & Lot 13 DP 24576 respectively) as an area of Wahi Tupuna, or that the Wahi Tupuna boundary be moved to the legal boundary between these properties and the adjacent reserve; with any necessary consequential changes.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3328	Quartz Commercial Group Limited	That the submitter's Capell Avenue, Lake Hawea property (Lot 1 DP 27336) be removed as a Wahi Tupuna area.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3329	Kopuwai Investments Limited	That Chapter 39 be deleted in its entirety, or alternatively, that 88 Beach Street, Queenstown (Lot 1 DP 489550) be removed as a Wahi Tupuna.	Reject	Section 5.8
3330	Benjamin Gordon	That Wahi Tupuna #11 Orau - Cardrona River be amended to be confined to the river bed and a 20 metre strip either side.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3330	Benjamin Gordon	That proposed Rule 25.4.5.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3330	Benjamin Gordon	That proposed Rule 25.4.5.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3331	Lake Hawea Holdings Limited	That the identification of the Lake Hawea Holdings Limited property (Lots 233, 234 and 235 DP 6712 and Lot 195 DP 8675) as a Wahi Tupuna area be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.8

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3332	Barnhill Trust Limited	That Chapter 39 and the corresponding Wahi Tupuna overlay identified in the Proposed district Plan maps be deleted.	Reject	Section 5.2
3332	Barnhill Trust Limited	That, in the alternative to submission point 3332.1, the Wahi Tupuna #28 Haehaenui - Arrow River and Wahi Tupuna #24 Kawarau River be removed from the submitter's property on Morven Ferry Road, Arrow Junction.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3332	Barnhill Trust Limited	That, in the alternative to submission point 3332.1, the QLDC and Kai Tahu engage in meaningful discussion and consultation with the submitter regarding Chapter 39 provisions with amendments made to Chapter 39 as a result of that discussion.	Reject	Section 4.5
3332	Barnhill Trust Limited	That the Council consider any alternative or consequential relief to give effect to the matters raised in the submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3333	DE Bunn & Co as representative of the Bunn Family	That Chapter 39 and the corresponding Wahi Tupuna overlay identified in the Proposed district Plan maps be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.2
3333	DE Bunn & Co as representative of the Bunn Family	That, in the alternative to submission point 3333.1, the Wahi Tupuna #28 Haehaenui - Arrow River and Wahi Tupuna #24 Kawarau River be removed from the submitter's property between the Kawarau River, Arrow River, and Morven Ferry Road.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3333	DE Bunn & Co as representative of the Bunn Family	That, in the alternative to submission point 3333.1, QLDC and Kai Tahu engage in meaningful discussion and consultation with the submitter regarding Chapter 39 provisions with amendments made to Chapter 39 as a result of that discussion.	Reject	Section 4.5
3333	DE Bunn & Co as representative of the Bunn Family	That the council consider any other alternative or consequential relief to give effect to matters raised in the submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3334	Hutton Nolan Family Trust	That Chapter 39 be rejected, or alternatively, that 5075 Makarora-Lake Hawea Road be excluded as a Wahi Tupuna.	Reject	Section 5.2
3335	Yates Family Trust	That Chapter 39 be rejected in its entirety, or alternatively, that 3283 Makarora- Lake Hawea Road be removed as a Wahi Tupuna.	Reject	Section 5.2
3336	Ballantyne Barker Holdings Limited	That Chapter 39 (Wahi Tupuna and the corresponding Wahi Tupuna map overlay be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.2
3336	Ballantyne Barker Holdings Limited	That proposed Chapter 39 (Wahi Tupuna) be amended to remove additional resource consent and Cultural Impact Assessment requirements, while still appropriately providing for Manawhenua values.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3336	Ballantyne Barker Holdings Limited	That any duplication/overlap of provisions existing in Chapter 5 (Tangata Whenua) be removed, as a result of Chapter 39 (Wahi Tupuna) being introduced.	Reject	Section 4.1
3336	Ballantyne Barker Holdings Limited	That alternative, consequential, or necessary additional relief to give effect to the matters raised generally in this submission be	Accept in part	Section 5.5

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		provided.		
3337	Criffel Deer Limited	That Chapter 39 (Wahi Tupuna) and the corresponding Wahi Tupuna map overlay be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.2
3337	Criffel Deer Limited	That proposed Chapter 39 (Wahi Tupuna) be amended to remove additional resource consent and Cultural Impact Assessment requirements, while still appropriately providing for Manawhenua values.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3337	Criffel Deer Limited	That any duplication/overlap of provisions existing in Chapter 5 (Tangata Whenua) be removed, as a result of Chapter 39 (Wahi Tupuna) being introduced.	Reject	Section 4.1
3337	Criffel Deer Limited	That alternative, consequential, or necessary additional relief to give effect to the matters raised generally in this submission be provided.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3339	Blackthorn Limited	That Chapter 39 (Wahi Tupuna) be deleted in its entirety.	Reject	Section 5.2
3339	Blackthorn Limited	That Chapter 5 (Tangata Whenua) and other existing provisions of the District Plan be relied upon to achieve the purpose of the Wahi Tupuna proposal with additional matters of discretion related to Manawheuna values added to existing provisions where necessary.	Reject	Section 4.1
3341	Alpha Burn Station Limited	That Chapter 39 (Wahi Tupuna) be deleted in its entirety, or that Alpha Burn Station, Wanaka-Mount Aspiring Road (contained in Record of Titles 825758 and 825759) be removed from the Wahi Tupuna area.	Reject	Section 5.2
3342	Otago Regional Council	That Objective 39.2.1 be retained as notified.	Accept in part	Section 5.2
3342	Otago Regional Council	That greater clarity is provided in regard to Policy 39.2.1.1 as to what buildings or structures affecting water quality are a recognised threat.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3342	Otago Regional Council	That greater clarity is provided in regard to Policy 39.2.1.2 as to what buildings or structures affecting water quality are a recognised threat.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3342	Otago Regional Council	That greater clarity is provided in regard to rule 39.5.1 as to what buildings or structures affecting water quality are a recognised threat.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3342	Otago Regional Council	That greater clarity is provided in regard to rule 39.5.2 as to what buildings or structures affecting water quality are a recognised threat.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3342	Otago Regional Council	That greater clarity is provided in regard to rule 39.5.3 as to what buildings or structures affecting water quality are a recognised threat.	Accept in part	Section 5.6

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3342	Otago Regional Council	That Policy 39.2.1.3 be amended to read as follows: Avoid, remedy, or mitigate any non-significant adverse effects on the identified wahi tupuna areas.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3342	Otago Regional Council	That Policy 39.2.1.4 be amended as follows: Recognise that certain activities, when undertaken in wahi tupuna areas, can have significant adverse effects on manawhenua values that are culturally inappropriate and must be avoided.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3342	Otago Regional Council	That the policy managing significant adverse effects on wahi tupuna areas should be labelled as Policy 39.2.1.3.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3342	Otago Regional Council	That the policy managing other adverse effects on wahi tupuna areas should be labelled as Policy 39.2.1.4.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3342	Otago Regional Council	That Policy 39.2.1.5 be retained as notified.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3342	Otago Regional Council	That Policy 39.2.1.6 be retained as notified.	Reject	Section 5.3
3342	Otago Regional Council	That Policy 39.2.1.7 be retained as notified.	Reject	Section 5.3
3343	WAYFARE GROUP LIMITED	That Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna is deleted as notified	Reject	Section 5.2
3343	WAYFARE GROUP LIMITED	That in place of Chapter 39, the District Plan should instead rely on existing provisions to achieve the purpose/intent of the plan change, and where necessary, add matters of discretion related to mana whenua values to those existing provisions.	Reject	Section 4.1
3343	WAYFARE GROUP LIMITED	That new or alternative provisions (including rules) are inserted, which allow mana whenua values to be recognised and provided for without providing resource consents or cultural impact statements.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3344	MJGR Semple Trustee Limited, J.C Semple and M.B Semple	That Chapter 39, it's related mapping overlay and its associated variations are rejected in their current form; in the alternative, the provisions be modified by removing Wahi Tupuna #29 from 13 Watties Track, Arthurs Point contained within Lot 2 DP 27686 and Lot 2 DP 23301, generally located between Gorge Road and south of the Shotover River.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3344	MJGR Semple Trustee Limited, J.C Semple and M.B Semple	That any other or additional relief (on top of deleting Chapter 39 or removing the Wahi Tupuna layer from the property) to the Proposed District Plan is sought, including but not limited to, the maps, issues, objectives, policies, rules, discretions, assessment criteria and explanations that will fully give effect to the matters raised in submission 3344.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3345	K.F and T.S Dery	That Chapter 39, it's related mapping overlay and its associated variations are rejected in their current form; in the alternative, the	Accept in part	Section 5.8

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		provisions be modified by removing by removing Wahi Tupuna #29 from 3 Watties Track, Arthurs Point contained within Lot 1 DP 27686 located at the intersection of Watties Track and Gorge Road, Arthurs Point.		
3345	K.F and T.S Dery	That any other or additional relief (on top of deleting Chapter 39 or removing the Wahi Tupuna layer from the property) to the Proposed District Plan is sought, including but not limited to, the maps, issues, objectives, policies, rules, discretions, assessment criteria and explanations that will fully give effect to the matters raised in the submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3346	Tomanovich Investments Limited	That Chapter 39, it's related mapping overlay and its associated variations are rejected in their current form; in the alternative the provisions be modified by removing Wahi Tupuna #24 from the property Sec 1-3 SO 24038 Blk V Kawarau SD, on the southern side of Kawarau River accessed off Rafter Road off Gibbston Valley Highway with the boundary moved to meet with the marginal reserve of the Kawarau River.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3346	Tomanovich Investments Limited	That the Council undertake further work to identify more concisely those values and sites that they are seeking to protect, the interrelationship with zoned and developed land, and re-notify a more informed proposal.	Reject	Section 4.5
3346	Tomanovich Investments Limited	That any other or additional relief (on top of rejecting Chapter 39, undertaking further work, or removing the Wahi Tupuna layer from the property) to the Proposed District Plan is sought, including but not limited to, the maps, issues, objectives, policies, rules, discretions, assessment criteria and explanations that will fully give effect to the matters raised in the submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3347	Silver Creek Limited	That Chapter 39, it's related mapping overlay and its associated variations are rejected in their current form; alternatively, that the provisions be modified by removing Wahi Tupuna #20 from Lot 2 DP 409336, located on the upper slopes of Queenstown Hill, above the developed area of Goldfield Heights.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3347	Silver Creek Limited	That the Council undertake further work to identify more concisely those values and sites that they are seeking to protect, the interrelationship with zoned and developed land, and re-notify a more informed proposal.	Reject	Section 4.5
3347	Silver Creek Limited	That any other or additional relief (on top of rejecting Chapter 39, undertaking further work, or removing the Wahi Tupuna layer from the property) to the Proposed District Plan is sought, including but not limited to, the maps, issues, objectives, policies, rules, discretions, assessment criteria and explanations that will fully give effect to the matters raised in the submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.5

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3350	Gibbston Valley Station Limited	That Chapter 39, it's related mapping overlay and its associated variations are rejected in their current form; in the alternative, the provisions be modified by removing Wahi Tupuna #24 from the submitter's property known as Gibbston Valley Station on the southern side of the Gibbston Highway, accessed from Resta Road, with the boundary realigning along the Kawarau River to match the marginal strip.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3350	Gibbston Valley Station Limited	That the Council undertake further work to identify more concisely those values and sites that they are seeking to protect, the interrelationship with zoned and developed land, and re-notify a more informed proposal; in the alternative, the provisions be modified so as to meet the various concerns of the Submitter as raised in its submission by removing the layer from its property and realigning the layer boundary along the Kawarau River to match the marginal strip, and to otherwise achieve the purpose of the Act.	Reject	Section 4.5
3350	Gibbston Valley Station Limited	That any other or additional relief (on top of rejecting Chapter 39, undertaking further work, or removing the Wahi Tupuna layer from the property) to the Proposed District Plan is sought, including but not limited to, the maps, issues, objectives, policies, rules, discretions, assessment criteria and explanations that will fully give effect to the matters raised in the submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3351	The Station at Waitiri Limited	That Chapter 39, it's related mapping overlay and its associated variations are rejected in their current form; in the alternative, the provisions be modified by removing Wahi Tupuna #24 from the submitters property along Gibbston Valley Highway, being Section 3 SO 24743 and Lot 4 DP 27395, located on the southern side of the Kawarau River.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3351	The Station at Waitiri Limited	That the Council undertake further work to identify more concisely those values and sites that they are seeking to protect, the interrelationship with zoned and developed land, and re-notify a more informed proposal; alternatively, that the provisions be modified so as to meet the various concerns of the Submitter as raised in its submission by removing the layer from its property , and to otherwise achieve the purpose of the RMA.	Reject	Section 4.5
3351	The Station at Waitiri Limited	That any other or additional relief (on top of rejecting Chapter 39, undertaking further work, or removing the Wahi Tupuna layer from the property) to the Proposed District Plan is sought, including but not limited to, the maps, issues, objectives, policies, rules, discretions, assessment criteria and explanations that will fully give effect to the matters raised in the submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.5

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3356	Cath Gilmour	That the Wahi Tupuna mapping be revised to include only specific sites that meet high-level criteria of significance to Kai Tahu, signed off as accurate by both runaka and local kaumatua.	Reject	Section 4.5
3356	Cath Gilmour	That the maximum permitted earthworks be the same volume as allowed under the underlying zone (unless specific exceptions required by Kai Tahu).	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3356	Cath Gilmour	That the discretionary activity status of small community scale distributed electricity generation and solar water heating be removed and that it reflects the activity level of its underlying zoning, most of which will be permitted.	Accept in part	Section 5.15
3356	Cath Gilmour	That it is clarified that Kai Tahu commercial/business interests are to play no part in assessments under this chapter, as with any other potential conflict of interest.	Reject	Section 4.6
3358	Columb Family	That wahi tupuna site #20 (Te Tapunui) be removed from the submitter's land, being 229 Gorge Road and 250 ha of adjoining land over which they have land tenure.	Reject	Section 5.2
3358	Columb Family	That Chapter 39 be withdrawn or deleted or amended to the extent that Stage 3 of the Proposed District Plan does not impose any development restrictions on the submitter's land (being 229 Gorge Road and the adjoining 250 ha over which they have land tenure).	Accept in part	
3358	Columb Family	That any further, more refined, additional, other or alternative relief be provided that might give effect to the submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3359	Go Jets Wanaka Limited	That the requirement for Wahi Tupuna is supported.	Accept	Section 4.1
3359	Go Jets Wanaka Limited	That the definition of waterbody should be limited in the context of Wahi Tupuna to the edge of the Wahi Tupuna area and not extend beyond.	Reject	Section 4.5
3359	Go Jets Wanaka Limited s	That man-made water holding structures or formations should not be held to have values to be protected.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3359	Go Jets Wanaka Limited	That Chapter 39 is rejected.	Reject	Section 5.2
3359	Go Jets Wanaka Limited	That the high level strategic chapters, district wide chapter and zone specific chapters are amended to include the Wahi Tupuna provisions.	Reject	Section 4.1
3359	Go Jets Wanaka Limited	That 39.2.1.2 is amended to remove the word incompatible.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3359	Go Jets Wanaka Limited	That the mapping boundaries of Mata-Au Wahi Tupuna are amended to align with the Clutha River cadastral boundaries.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3359	Go Jets Wanaka Limited	That any similar, alternative, additional or consequential relief be undertaken to fully give effect to what is sought in submission	Accept in part	Section 5.8



No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		3359.		
3360	The Winton Family Trust	That wahi tupuna site # 2 (Paetarariki and Timaru) be removed from the submitter's land 16 Flora Dora Parade, Hawea.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3360	The Winton Family Trust	That any threats to wahi tupuna identified on the submitter's land at 16 Flora Dora Parade, Hawea be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3360	The Winton Family Trust	That the requirement to seek discretionary resource consent for activities within wahi tupuna areas be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3361	Lakeland Adventures Limited	That the requirement for Wahi Tupuna is supported.	Accept	Section 4.1
3361	Lakeland Adventures Limited	That the definition of waterbody should be limited in the context of Wahi Tupuna to the edge of the Wahi Tupuna area and not extend beyond.	Reject	Section 4.5
3361	Lakeland Adventures Limited	That man-made water holding structures or formations should not be held to have values to be protected.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3361	Lakeland Adventures Limited	That Chapter 39 is rejected.	Reject	Section 5.2
3361	Lakeland Adventures Limited	That the high level strategic chapters, district wide chapter and zone specific chapters are amended to include the Wahi Tupuna provisions.	Reject	Section 4.1
3361	Lakeland Adventures Limited	That 39.2.1.2 is amended to remove the word incompatible.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3361	Lakeland Adventures Limited	That the mapping boundaries of Mata-Au Wahi Tupuna are amended to align with the Clutha River cadastral boundaries.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3361	Lakeland Adventures Limited	That any alternative, additional or consequential changes as required be undertaken to meet submission 3361.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3362	Glenorchy Community Association	That a larger earthworks volume threshold be provided for under proposed Rule 25.5.2 or exemptions be provided for areas such as Glenorchy that are already modified.	Accept in part	Section 5.13
3362	Glenorchy Community Association	That any threats to iwi values be managed through property covenants.	Reject	Section 5.13
3362	Glenorchy Community Association	That proposed Rule 30.4.1.4 vii be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.15
3362	Glenorchy Community Association	That any installations considered 'small and community scale distributed electricity generation and solar water heating including any structures and associated buildings' at residential properties throughout the District and other buildings within the proposed Settlement Zone be exempt from proposed Rule 30.4.1.4 vii.	Accept in part	Section 5.15
3363	Gemma & Mark Murray	That Queenstown Lakes District Council notifies and conducts appropriate consultation with affected and interested parties then readdress Chapter 39 (wahi tupuna) provisions and mapping then call for submissions.	Reject	Section 3.1

<b>No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Submission Summary</b>	<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>Section where addressed</b>
3364	100WPS Ltd	That Objective 39.2.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.2
3364	100WPS Ltd	That Policy 39.2.1.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3364	100WPS Ltd	That Policy 39.2.1.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3364	100WPS Ltd	That Policy 39.2.1.3 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3364	100WPS Ltd	That Policy 39.2.1.4 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3364	100WPS Ltd	That Policy 39.2.1.6 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3364	100WPS Ltd	That Policy 39.2.1.7 be rejected.	Accept	Section 5.3
3364	100WPS Ltd	That Wahi Tupuna areas could be protected through the reserve strips along the edges of the lake and therefore not extend onto private land beyond the reserve strips.	Reject	Section 4.2
3364	100WPS Ltd	That the waterbody definition in Rule-Standard 39.5.1 be limited in the context of Wahi Tupuna to the edge of the Wahi Tupuna area and not extend beyond to create more certainty on how far the values of Manawhenua extend; and so that man-made water-holding structures or formations not be held to have values to be protected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3364	100WPS Ltd	That Rule 25.4.5 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3364	100WPS Ltd	That the Rule- Standard 25.5.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3364	100WPS Ltd	That Rule-Standard 25.5.7 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3364	100WPS Ltd	That Rule 27.5.12A be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.13
3364	100WPS Ltd	That Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.2
3364	100WPS Ltd	That the existing provisions in the high level strategic chapters, district wide chapter and zone specific chapters be amended or added to, to achieve the intent of the currently drafted Wahi Tupuna provisions.	Reject	Section 4.1
3364	100WPS Ltd	That the proposed planning maps be amended so that the boundaries of the Whakatipu-Wai-Maori Wahi Tupuna area aligns with to cadastral boundaries adjacent to the Lake Wakatipu.	Reject	Section 5.8
3364	100WPS Ltd	That any other similar, alternative, additional or consequential relief to the Proposed Plan, including but not limited to: the maps, issues, objectives, policies, rules, discretion, assessment criteria and explanations that will fully give effect to the matters raised in	Accept in part	Section 5.6

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		the submission be provided.		
3364	100WPS Ltd	That the intent of protecting and enhancing the ancestral connection and interests in the District through objectives and policies relating to subdivision, use and development within the wahi tupuna areas be retained.	Accept	Section 4.1
3365	Con Tech Building Limited	That Objective 39.2.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.2
3365	Con Tech Building Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3365	Con Tech Building Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3365	Con Tech Building Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.3 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3365	Con Tech Building Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.4 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3365	Con Tech Building Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.6 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3365	Con Tech Building Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.7 be rejected.	Accept	Section 5.3
3365	Con Tech Building Limited	That Wahi Tupuna areas could be protected through the reserve strips along the edges of the lake and therefore not extend onto private land beyond the reserve strips.	Reject	Section 4.2
3365	Con Tech Building Limited	That the waterbody definition in Rule-Standard 39.5.1 be limited in the context of Wahi Tupuna to the edge of the Wahi Tupuna area and not extend beyond to create more certainty on how far the values of Manawhenua extend; and so that man-made water-holding structures or formations not be held to have values to be protected.	Reject	Section 4.2
3365	Con Tech Building Limited	That the waterbody definition in Rule-Standard 39.5.2 be limited in the context of Wahi Tupuna to the edge of the Wahi Tupuna area and not extend beyond to create more certainty on how far the values of Manawhenua extend; and so that man-made water-holding structures or formations not be held to have values to be protected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3365	Con Tech Building Limited	That Rule 25.4.5 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3365	Con Tech Building Limited	That the Rule- Standard 25.5.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3365	Con Tech Building Limited	That Rule-Standard 25.5.7 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3365	Con Tech Building Limited	That Rule 27.5.12A be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.13

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3365	Con Tech Building Limited	That Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.2
3365	Con Tech Building Limited	That the existing provisions in the high level strategic chapters, district wide chapter and zone specific chapters be amended or added to, to achieve the intent of the currently drafted Wahi Tupuna provisions.	Reject	Section 4.1
3365	Con Tech Building Limited	That the proposed planning maps be amended so that the boundaries of the Whakatipu-Wai-Maori Wahi Tupuna area aligns with to cadastral boundaries along the edge of Council reserve adjacent to Lake Wakatipu rather than extending over private land adjacent to the Lake Wakatipu.	Reject	Section 5.8
3365	Con Tech Building Limited	That any other similar, alternative, additional or consequential relief to the Proposed Plan, including but not limited to: the maps, issues, objectives, policies, rules, discretion, assessment criteria and explanations that will fully give effect to the matters raised in the submission be provided.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3365	Con Tech Building Limited	That the intent of protecting and enhancing the ancestral connection and interests in the District through objectives and policies relating to subdivision, use and development within the wahi tupuna areas be retained.	Accept	Section 4.1
3366	Haven Found Pty Limited and Stinger Investments Pty Limited	That Objective 39.2.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.2
3366	Haven Found Pty Limited and Stinger Investments Pty Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3366	Haven Found Pty Limited and Stinger Investments Pty Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3366	Haven Found Pty Limited and Stinger Investments Pty Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.3 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3366	Haven Found Pty Limited and Stinger Investments Pty Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.4 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3366	Haven Found Pty Limited and Stinger Investments Pty Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.6 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3366	Haven Found Pty Limited and Stinger Investments Pty Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.7 be rejected.	Accept	Section 5.3

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3366	Haven Found Pty Limited and Stinger Investments Pty Limited	That Wahi Tupuna areas could be protected through the reserve strips along the edges of the lake and therefore not extend onto private land beyond the reserve strips.	Reject	Section 4.2
3366	Haven Found Pty Limited and Stinger Investments Pty Limited	That the waterbody definition in Rule-Standard 39.5.1 be limited in the context of Wahi Tupuna to the edge of the Wahi Tupuna area and not extend beyond to create more certainty on how far the values of Manawhenua extend; and so that man-made water-holding structures or formations not be held to have values to be protected.	Reject	Section 4.2
3366	Haven Found Pty Limited and Stinger Investments Pty Limited	That the waterbody definition in Rule-Standard 39.5.2 be limited in the context of Wahi Tupuna to the edge of the Wahi Tupuna area and not extend beyond to create more certainty on how far the values of Manawhenua extend; and so that man-made water-holding structures or formations not be held to have values to be protected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3366	Haven Found Pty Limited and Stinger Investments Pty Limited	That Rule 25.4.5 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3366	Haven Found Pty Limited and Stinger Investments Pty Limited	That the Rule- Standard 25.5.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3366	Haven Found Pty Limited and Stinger Investments Pty Limited	That Rule-Standard 25.5.7 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3366	Haven Found Pty Limited and Stinger Investments Pty Limited	That Rule 27.5.12A be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.13
3366	Haven Found Pty Limited and Stinger Investments Pty Limited	That Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.2
3366	Haven Found Pty Limited and Stinger Investments Pty Limited	That the existing provisions in the high level strategic chapters, district wide chapter and zone specific chapters be amended or added to, to achieve the intent of the currently drafted Wahi Tupuna provisions.	Reject	Section 4.1
3366	Haven Found Pty Limited and Stinger Investments Pty Limited	That the proposed planning maps be amended so that the boundaries of the Whakatipu-Wai-Maori Wahi Tupuna area aligns with to cadastral boundaries adjacent to the Lake Wakatipu.	Reject	Section 5.8
3366	Haven Found Pty Limited and Stinger Investments Pty Limited	That any other similar, alternative, additional or consequential relief to the Proposed Plan, including but not limited to: the maps, issues, objectives, policies, rules, discretion, assessment criteria and explanations that will fully give effect to the matters raised in the submission be provided.	Accept in part	Section 5.6

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3366	John Edmonds and Associates	That the intent of protecting and enhancing the ancestral connection and interests in the District through objectives and policies relating to subdivision, use and development within the wahi tupuna areas be retained.	Accept	Section 4.1
3367	John Edmonds and Associates	That Objective 39.2.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.2
3367	John Edmonds and Associates	That Policy 39.2.1.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3367	John Edmonds and Associates	That Policy 39.2.1.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3367	LKR Holdings Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.3 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3367	LKR Holdings Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.4 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3367	LKR Holdings Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.6 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3367	LKR Holdings Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.7 be rejected.	Accept	Section 5.3
3367	LKR Holdings Limited	That Wahi Tupuna areas could be protected through the reserve strips along the edges of the lake and therefore not extend onto private land beyond the reserve strips.	Reject	Section 4.2
3367	LKR Holdings Limited	That the waterbody definition in Rule-Standard 39.5.1 be limited in the context of Wahi Tupuna to the edge of the Wahi Tupuna area and not extend beyond to create more certainty on how far the values of Manawhenua extend; and so that man-made water-holding structures or formations not be held to have values to be protected.	Reject	Section 4.2
3367	LKR Holdings Limited	That the waterbody definition in Rule-Standard 39.5.2 be limited in the context of Wahi Tupuna to the edge of the Wahi Tupuna area and not extend beyond to create more certainty on how far the values of Manawhenua extend; and so that man-made water-holding structures or formations not be held to have values to be protected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3367	LKR Holdings Limited	That Rule 25.4.5 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3367	LKR Holdings Limited	That the Rule- Standard 25.5.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3367	LKR Holdings Limited	That Rule-Standard 25.5.7 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3367	LKR Holdings Limited	That Rule 27.5.12A be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.13
3367	LKR Holdings Limited	That Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.2

<b>No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Submission Summary</b>	<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>Section where addressed</b>
3367	LKR Holdings Limited	That the existing provisions in the high level strategic chapters, district wide chapter and zone specific chapters be amended or added to, to achieve the intent of the currently drafted Wahi Tupuna provisions.	Reject	Section 4.1
3367	LKR Holdings Limited	That the proposed planning maps be amended at 191 Frankton Road so that the boundaries of the Whakatipu-Wai-Maori and Kawarau River tupuna area align with the cadastral boundaries of the Council reserve adjacent to the lake and the river.	Reject	Section 5.8
3367	LKR Holdings Limited	That any other similar, alternative, additional or consequential relief to the Proposed Plan, including but not limited to: the maps, issues, objectives, policies, rules, discretion, assessment criteria and explanations that will fully give effect to the matters raised in the submission be provided.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3367	LKR Holdings Limited	That the intent of protecting and enhancing the ancestral connection and interests in the District through objectives and policies relating to subdivision, use and development within the wahi tupuna areas be retained.	Accept	Section 4.1
3367	LKR Holdings Limited	That the proposed planning maps be amended at 5 Old Homestead Place so that the boundaries of the Whakatipu-Wai-Maori and Kawarau River tupuna area align with the cadastral boundaries of the Council reserve adjacent to the lake and the river.	Reject	Section 5.8
3368	Lot One KF Limited	That Objective 39.2.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.2
3368	Lot One KF Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3368	Lot One KF Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3368	Lot One KF Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.3 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3368	Lot One KF Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.4 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3368	Lot One KF Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.6 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3368	Lot One KF Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.7 be rejected.	Accept	Section 5.3
3368	Lot One KF Limited	That Wahi Tupuna areas could be protected through the reserve strips along the edges of the lake and therefore not extend onto private land beyond the reserve strips.	Reject	Section 4.2
3368	Lot One KF Limited	That the waterbody definition in Rule-Standard 39.5.1 be limited in the context of Wahi Tupuna to the edge of the Wahi Tupuna area and not extend beyond to create more certainty on how far the values of Manawhenua extend; and so that man-made water-	Reject	Section 4.2

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		holding structures or formations not be held to have values to be protected.		
3368	Lot One KF Limited	That the waterbody definition in Rule-Standard 39.5.2 be limited in the context of Wahi Tupuna to the edge of the Wahi Tupuna area and not extend beyond to create more certainty on how far the values of Manawhenua extend; and so that man-made water-holding structures or formations not be held to have values to be protected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3368	Lot One KF Limited	That Rule 25.4.5 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3368	Lot One KF Limited	The Rule- Standard 25.5.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3368	Lot One KF Limited	That Rule-Standard 25.5.7 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3368	Lot One KF Limited	That Rule 27.5.12A be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.13
3368	Lot One KF Limited	That Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.2
3368	Lot One KF Limited	That the existing provisions in the high level strategic chapters, district wide chapter and zone specific chapters be amended or added to, to achieve the intent of the currently drafted Wahi Tupuna provisions.	Reject	Section 4.1
3368	Lot One KF Limited	That the proposed planning maps be amended so that the boundaries of the Whakatipu-Wai-Maori Wahi Tupuna area aligns with to cadastral boundaries of the Council reserve adjacent to the river.	Reject	Section 5.8
3368	Lot One KF Limited	That any other similar, alternative, additional or consequential relief to the Proposed Plan, including but not limited to: the maps, issues, objectives, policies, rules, discretion, assessment criteria and explanations that will fully give effect to the matters raised in the submission be provided.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3368	Lot One KF Limited	That the intent of protecting and enhancing the ancestral connection and interests in the District through objectives and policies relating to subdivision, use and development within the wahi tupuna areas be retained.	Accept	Section 4.1
3369	LTK Holdings Limited	That Objective 39.2.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.2
3369	LTK Holdings Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.1 be rejected	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3369	LTK Holdings Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3369	LTK Holdings Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.3 be rejected	Accept in part	Section 5.3



<b>No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Submission Summary</b>	<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>Section where addressed</b>
3369	LTK Holdings Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.4 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3369	LTK Holdings Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.6 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3369	LTK Holdings Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.7 be rejected	Accept	Section 5.3
3369	LTK Holdings Limited	That Wahi Tupuna areas could be protected through the reserve strips along the edges of the lake and therefore not extend onto private land beyond the reserve strips.	Reject	Section 4.2
3369	LTK Holdings Limited	That the waterbody definition in Rule-Standard 39.5.1 be limited in the context of Wahi Tupuna to the edge of the Wahi Tupuna area and not extend beyond to create more certainty on how far the values of Manawhenua extend; and so that man-made water-holding structures or formations not be held to have values to be protected.	Reject	Section 4.2
3369	LTK Holdings Limited	That the waterbody definition in Rule-Standard 39.5.2 be limited in the context of Wahi Tupuna to the edge of the Wahi Tupuna area and not extend beyond to create more certainty on how far the values of Manawhenua extend; and so that man-made water-holding structures or formations not be held to have values to be protected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3369	LTK Holdings Limited	That Rule 25.4.5 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3369	LTK Holdings Limited	The Rule- Standard 25.5.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3369	LTK Holdings Limited	That Rule-Standard 25.5.7 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3369	LTK Holdings Limited	That Rule 27.5.12A be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.13
3369	LTK Holdings Limited	That Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.2
3369	LTK Holdings Limited	That the existing provisions in the high level strategic chapters, district wide chapter and zone specific chapters be amended or added to, to achieve the intent of the currently drafted Wahi Tupuna provisions.	Reject	Section 4.1
3369	LTK Holdings Limited	That the proposed planning maps at 187 and 191 Frankton Road be amended so that the boundaries of the Whakatipu-Wai-Maori wahi tupuna area aligns with to cadastral boundaries along the edge of Council reserve adjacent to Lake Wakatipu rather than extending over private land.	Reject	Section 5.8
3369	LTK Holdings Limited	That any other similar, alternative, additional or consequential relief to the Proposed Plan, including but not limited to: the maps,	Accept in part	Section 5.6

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		issues, objectives, policies, rules, discretion, assessment criteria and explanations that will fully give effect to the matters raised in the submission be provided.		
3369	LTK Holdings Limited	That the intent of protecting and enhancing the ancestral connection and interests in the District through objectives and policies relating to subdivision, use and development within the wahi tupuna areas be retained.	Accept	Section 4.1
3370	Millbrook Country Club	That Objective 39.2.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.2
3370	Millbrook Country Club	That Policy 39.2.1.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3370	Millbrook Country Club	That Policy 39.2.1.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3370	Millbrook Country Club	That Policy 39.2.1.3 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3370	Millbrook Country Club	That Policy 39.2.1.4 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3370	Millbrook Country Club	That Policy 39.2.1.6 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3370	Millbrook Country Club	That Policy 39.2.1.7 be rejected.	Accept	Section 5.3
3370	Millbrook Country Club	That Wahi Tupuna areas could be protected through the reserve strips along the edges of the lake and therefore not extend onto private land beyond the reserve strips.	Reject	Section 4.2
3370	Millbrook Country Club	That the waterbody definition in Rule-Standard 39.5.1 be limited in the context of Wahi Tupuna to the edge of the Wahi Tupuna area and not extend beyond to create more certainty on how far the values of Manawhenua extend; and so that man-made water-holding structures or formations not be held to have values to be protected.	Reject	Section 4.2
3370	Millbrook Country Club	That the waterbody definition in Rule-Standard 39.5.2 be limited in the context of Wahi Tupuna to the edge of the Wahi Tupuna area and not extend beyond to create more certainty on how far the values of Manawhenua extend; and so that man-made water-holding structures or formations not be held to have values to be protected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3370	Millbrook Country Club	That Rule 25.4.5 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3370	Millbrook Country Club	The Rule- Standard 25.5.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3370	Millbrook Country Club	That Rule-Standard 25.5.7 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3370	Millbrook Country Club	That Rule 27.5.12A be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.13

<b>No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Submission Summary</b>	<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>Section where addressed</b>
3370	Millbrook Country Club	That Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.2
3370	Millbrook Country Club	That the existing provisions in the high-level strategic chapters, district wide chapter and zone specific chapters be amended or added to, to achieve the intent of the currently drafted Wahi Tupuna provisions.	Reject	Section 4.1
3370	Millbrook Country Club	That the proposed planning maps at Lot 1 DP 405264 be amended so that the boundaries of the Haehaenui Wahi Tupuna #28 area aligns with to cadastral boundaries adjacent to the Arrow River.	Reject	Section 5.8
3370	Millbrook Country Club	That any other similar, alternative, additional or consequential relief to the Proposed Plan, including but not limited to: the maps, issues, objectives, policies, rules, discretion, assessment criteria and explanations that will fully give effect to the matters raised in the submission be provided.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3370	Millbrook Country Club	That the intent of protecting and enhancing the ancestral connection and interests in the District through objectives and policies relating to subdivision, use and development within the wahi tupuna areas be retained.	Accept	Section 4.1
3371	982 Holding Trustee Limited	That Objective 39.2.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.2
3371	982 Holding Trustee Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3371	982 Holding Trustee Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3371	982 Holding Trustee Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.3 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3371	982 Holding Trustee Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.4 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3371	982 Holding Trustee Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.6 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3371	982 Holding Trustee Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.7 be rejected.	Accept	Section 5.3
3371	982 Holding Trustee Limited	That Wahi Tupuna areas could be protected through the reserve strips along the edges of the lake and therefore not extend onto private land beyond the reserve strips.	Reject	Section 4.2
3371	982 Holding Trustee Limited	That the waterbody definition in Rule-Standard 39.5.1 be limited in the context of Wahi Tupuna to the edge of the Wahi Tupuna area and not extend beyond to create more certainty on how far the values of Manawhenua extend; and so that man-made water-holding structures or formations not be held to have values to be protected.	Reject	Section 4.2
3371	982 Holding Trustee Limited	That the waterbody definition in Rule-Standard 39.5.2 be limited in the context of Wahi Tupuna to the edge of the Wahi Tupuna area	Reject	Section 4.5

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		and not extend beyond to create more certainty on how far the values of Manawhenua extend; and so that man-made water-holding structures or formations not be held to have values to be protected.		
3371	982 Holding Trustee Limited	That Rule 25.4.5 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3371	982 Holding Trustee Limited	That the Rule- Standard 25.5.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3371	982 Holding Trustee Limited	That Rule-Standard 25.5.7 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3371	982 Holding Trustee Limited	That Rule 27.5.12A be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.13
3371	982 Holding Trustee Limited	That Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.2
3371	982 Holding Trustee Limited	That the existing provisions in the high level strategic chapters, district wide chapter and zone specific chapters be amended or added to, to achieve the intent of the currently drafted Wahi Tupuna provisions.	Reject	Section 4.1
3371	982 Holding Trustee Limited	That the proposed planning maps at 982 Frankton Road (Lot 2 DP 18857) be amended so that the boundaries of the Te Tapunui Wahi Tupuna #20 area aligns with the edge of the cadastral boundaries for residential land at the base of Te Tapunui.	Reject	Section 5.8
3371	982 Holding Trustee Limited	That any other similar, alternative, additional or consequential relief to the Proposed Plan, including but not limited to: the maps, issues, objectives, policies, rules, discretion, assessment criteria and explanations that will fully give effect to the matters raised in the submission be provided.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3371	982 Holding Trustee Limited	That the intent of protecting and enhancing the ancestral connection and interests in the District through objectives and policies relating to subdivision, use and development within the wahi tupuna areas be retained.	Accept	Section 4.1
3372	Havoc Farms Limited	That Objective 39.2.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.2
3372	Havoc Farms Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3372	Havoc Farms Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3372	Havoc Farms Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.3 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3372	Havoc Farms Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.4 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3372	Havoc Farms Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.6 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3372	Havoc Farms Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.7 be rejected.	Accept	Section 5.3
3372	Havoc Farms Limited	That Wahi Tupuna areas could be protected through the reserve strips along the edges of the lake and therefore not extend onto private land beyond the reserve strips.	Reject	Section 4.2
3372	Havoc Farms Limited	That the waterbody definition in Rule-Standard 39.5.1 be limited in the context of Wahi Tupuna to the edge of the Wahi Tupuna area and not extend beyond to create more certainty on how far the values of Manawhenua extend; and so that man-made water-holding structures or formations not be held to have values to be protected.	Reject	Section 4.2
3372	Havoc Farms Limited	That the waterbody definition in Rule-Standard 39.5.2 be limited in the context of Wahi Tupuna to the edge of the Wahi Tupuna area and not extend beyond to create more certainty on how far the values of Manawhenua extend; and so that man-made water-holding structures or formations not be held to have values to be protected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3372	Havoc Farms Limited	That Rule 25.4.5 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3372	Havoc Farms Limited	That the Rule- Standard 25.5.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3372	Havoc Farms Limited	That Rule-Standard 25.5.7 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3372	Havoc Farms Limited	That Rule 27.5.12A be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.13
3372	Havoc Farms Limited	That Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.2
3372	Havoc Farms Limited	That the existing provisions in the high level strategic chapters, district wide chapter and zone specific chapters be amended or added to, to achieve the intent of the currently drafted Wahi Tupuna provisions.	Reject	Section 4.1
3372	Havoc Farms Limited	That the proposed planning maps be amended so that the boundaries of the Whakatipu-Wai-Maori Wahi Tupuna #33 area aligns with to cadastral boundaries adjacent to the Lake Wakatipu.	Reject	Section 5.8
3372	Havoc Farms Limited	That any other similar, alternative, additional or consequential relief to the Proposed Plan, including but not limited to: the maps, issues, objectives, policies, rules, discretion, assessment criteria and explanations that will fully give effect to the matters raised in the submission be provided.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3372	Havoc Farms Limited	That the intent of protecting and enhancing the ancestral connection and interests in the District through objectives and policies relating to subdivision, use and development within the wahi tupuna areas be retained.	Accept	Section 4.1

<b>No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Submission Summary</b>	<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>Section where addressed</b>
3373	Halfway Bay Lands Limited	That Objective 39.2.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.2
3373	Halfway Bay Lands Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3373	Halfway Bay Lands Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3373	Halfway Bay Lands Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.3 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3373	Halfway Bay Lands Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.4 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3373	Halfway Bay Lands Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.6 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3373	Halfway Bay Lands Limited	That Policy 39.2.1.7 be rejected.	Accept	Section 5.3
3373	Halfway Bay Lands Limited	That Wahi Tupuna areas could be protected through the reserve strips along the edges of the lake and therefore not extend onto private land beyond the reserve strips.	Reject	Section 4.2
3373	Halfway Bay Lands Limited	That the waterbody definition in Rule-Standard 39.5.1 be limited in the context of Wahi Tupuna to the edge of the Wahi Tupuna area and not extend beyond to create more certainty on how far the values of Manawhenua extend; and so that man-made water-holding structures or formations not be held to have values to be protected.	Reject	Section 4.2
3373	Halfway Bay Lands Limited	That the waterbody definition in Rule-Standard 39.5.2 be limited in the context of Wahi Tupuna to the edge of the Wahi Tupuna area and not extend beyond to create more certainty on how far the values of Manawhenua extend; and so that man-made water-holding structures or formations not be held to have values to be protected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3373	Halfway Bay Lands Limited	That Rule 25.4.5 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3373	Halfway Bay Lands Limited	That the Rule- Standard 25.5.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3373	Halfway Bay Lands Limited	That Rule-Standard 25.5.7 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3373	Halfway Bay Lands Limited	That Rule 27.5.12A be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.13
3373	Halfway Bay Lands Limited	That Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.2
3373	Halfway Bay Lands Limited	That the existing provisions in the high level strategic chapters, district wide chapter and zone specific chapters be amended or added to, to achieve the intent of the currently drafted Wahi Tupuna provisions.	Reject	Section 4.1

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3373	Halfway Bay Lands Limited	That the proposed planning maps at Halfway Bay Station be amended so that the boundaries of the Whakatipu-Wai-Maori Wahi Tupuna #33 area aligns with to cadastral boundaries adjacent to the Lake Wakatipu.	Reject	Section 5.8
3373	Halfway Bay Lands Limited	That any other similar, alternative, additional or consequential relief to the Proposed Plan, including but not limited to: the maps, issues, objectives, policies, rules, discretion, assessment criteria and explanations that will fully give effect to the matters raised in the submission be provided.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3373	Halfway Bay Lands Limited	That the intent of protecting and enhancing the ancestral connection and interests in the District through objectives and policies relating to subdivision, use and development within the wahi tupuna areas be retained.	Accept	Section 4.1
3374	Kaye Eden	That the Wahi Tupuna being applied over the submitter's property at Skippers Road (Sec 32 Block XI Skippers Creek SD, Valuation 29073-00901) be rejected and that it is explained why the property is considered significant.	Reject	Section 5.8
3374	Kaye Eden	That the Wahi Tupuna being applied over the submitter's property at 123 Morven Ferry Road/ 88 Arrow Junction (Lot 2 DP 23630, Valuation 29071-31901) be rejected and that it is explained why the property is considered significant.	Reject	Section 5.8
3375	Justin and Patricia Reid	That the Wahi Tupuna chapter is rejected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3376	Emma Tonkin	That the Wahi Tupuna chapter be rejected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3376	Emma Tonkin	That the Wahi Tupuna variations to the earthworks chapter is rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3376	Emma Tonkin	That the Wahi Tupuna is removed from the submitter's property at 375 Rees Valley Road and if not possible that it is explained why it is of particular significant to iwi.	Reject	Section 5.8
3376	Emma Tonkin	That the Wahi Tupuna is removed from the submitter's property at Lot 5 and 6 DP 358382 on Wilsons Way and if not possible that it is explained why it is of particular significant to iwi.	Reject	Section 5.8
3377	Lake Hawea Station	That Objective 39.2.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.2
3377	Lake Hawea Station	That Policy 39.2.1.1 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3377	Lake Hawea Station	That Policy 39.2.1.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3377	Lake Hawea Station	That Policy 39.2.1.3 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3377	Lake Hawea Station	That Policy 39.2.1.4 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3

<b>No.</b>	<b>Submitter</b>	<b>Submission Summary</b>	<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>Section where addressed</b>
3377	Lake Hawea Station	That Policy 39.2.1.6 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3377	Lake Hawea Station	That Policy 39.2.1.7 be rejected.	Accept	Section 5.3
3377	Lake Hawea Station	That the currently mapped Hawea, Paetarariki and Timaru areas which extends onto and over Lake Hawea Station without justification of the reasons why or evidence of the values that require these overlays be rejected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3377	Lake Hawea Station	That the waterbody definition in Rule-Standard 39.5.1 be limited in the context of Wahi Tupuna to the edge of the Wahi Tupuna area and not extend beyond to create more certainty on how far the values of Manawhenua extend; and so that man-made water-holding structures or formations not be held to have values to be protected.	Reject	Section 4.2
3377	Lake Hawea Station	That the waterbody definition in Rule-Standard 39.5.2 be limited in the context of Wahi Tupuna to the edge of the Wahi Tupuna area and not extend beyond to create more certainty on how far the values of Manawhenua extend; and so that man-made water-holding structures or formations not be held to have values to be protected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3377	Lake Hawea Station	That Rule 25.4.5 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3377	Lake Hawea Station	That the Rule- Standard 25.5.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3377	Lake Hawea Station	That Rule-Standard 25.5.7 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3377	Lake Hawea Station	That Rule 27.5.12A be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.13
3377	Lake Hawea Station	That Chapter 39 Wahi Tupuna be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.2
3377	Lake Hawea Station	That the existing provisions in the high level strategic chapters, district wide chapter and zone specific chapters be amended or added to, to achieve the intent of the currently drafted Wahi Tupuna provisions.	Reject	Section 4.1
3377	Lake Hawea Station	That the Wahi Tupuna mapping over the Submitter's site (Lake Hawea Station) be refined to where there is factual evidence and/or justification of values to Manawhenua.	Reject	Section 5.2
3377	Lake Hawea Station	That any other similar, alternative, additional or consequential relief to the Proposed Plan, including but not limited to: the maps, issues, objectives, policies, rules, discretion, assessment criteria and explanations that will fully give effect to the matters raised in the submission be provided.	Accept in part	Section 5.6



No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3377	Lake Hawea Station	That evidence and/or further reasoning be provided as to the application of this this value (Wahi taoka) to specific areas when testing the Wahi Tupuna chapter against section 32 of the Resource Management Act 1991.	Accept in part	Section 4.5
3377	Lake Hawea Station	That the fact that the lake level rose by 20 meters in 1958 be taken into account when justification is provided for the extent of the Wahi Tupuna areas.	Reject	Section 5.7
3377	Lake Hawea Station	That the intent of protecting and enhancing the ancestral connection and interests in the District through objectives and policies relating to subdivision, use and development within the wahi tupuna areas be retained.	Accept	Section 4.1
3378	Stewart Mahon	That the Wahi Tupuna #28 Haehaenui overlay is removed from the submitter's property, 351 Morvan Ferry Road, Lot 7-8 DP 22550, and instead be depicted outside their property along the legal boundary between their property and the adjacent reserve land.	Reject	Section 4.2
3380	Dave Neilson	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3380	Dave Neilson	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3380	Dave Neilson	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3380	Dave Neilson	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3380	Dave Neilson	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3380	Dave Neilson	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3380	Dave Neilson	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3380	Dave Neilson	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3380	Dave Neilson	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3380	Dave Neilson	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4
3382	Simone Flight	That the standard be rejected and the process halted until proper consultation is carried out.	Reject	Section 3.1

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3382	Simone Flight	That the proposed variations Rule 25.5.2 be rejected and the process be halted until proper consultation is carried out.	Reject	Section 4.5
3382	Simone Flight	That the proposed variations Rule 25.5.7 be rejected and the process be halted until proper consultation is carried out.	Reject	Section 4.5
3382	Simone Flight	That the proposed variations Rule 25.4.5 be rejected and the process be halted until proper consultation is carried out.	Reject	Section 4.5
3383	Z Energy Limited, BP Oil NZ Limited & Mobil Oil NZ Limited	That the mapping of the Wahi Tupuna sites be retained as notified, to the extent that none of these affect the submitter's existing assets.	Reject	Section 5.8
3383	Z Energy Limited, BP Oil NZ Limited & Mobil Oil NZ Limited	That the proposed amendments to Rule 25.4.5.1 be retained insofar as they delete the text " whether identified on the Planning Maps or not" and amend the rule as follows: 'that modify, damage or destroy a wahi tapu, wahi tupuna or other site of significance to Maori identified on the Planning Maps'.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3383	Z Energy Limited, BP Oil NZ Limited & Mobil Oil NZ Limited	That Rules -Standards 39.5.1 be amended so that they clearly refer to Wahi Tupuna as identified on the Planning maps with amendments as suggested.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3383	Z Energy Limited, BP Oil NZ Limited & Mobil Oil NZ Limited	That Rules 25.5.2 be amended to specifically refer to Wahi Tupuna areas identified on the planning maps.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3383	Z Energy Limited, BP Oil NZ Limited & Mobil Oil NZ Limited	That Rules 25.5.7.2 be amended to specifically refer to the Wahi Tupuna areas identified on the Planning maps.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3383	Z Energy Limited, BP Oil NZ Limited & Mobil Oil NZ Limited	That Rule 30.4.1.4 be amended as suggested so that they specifically refer to the Wahi Tupuna areas as identified on the Planning maps.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3383	Z Energy Limited, BP Oil NZ Limited & Mobil Oil NZ Limited	That Rules -Standards 39.5.2 be amended so that they clearly refer to Wahi Tupuna as identified on the Planning maps with amendments as suggested.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3383	Z Energy Limited, BP Oil NZ Limited & Mobil Oil NZ Limited	That Rules -Standards 39.5.3 be amended so that they clearly refer to Wahi Tupuna as identified on the Planning maps with amendments as suggested.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3384	Paterson Pitts Group (Wanaka)	That the extend of the Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed and the location within individual sites be confirmed, preferably following boundaries of public land, cadastral boundaries or recognisable geographic features and not applied to urban zones.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3384	Paterson Pitts Group (Wanaka)	That the threshold for earthworks in the Rural General Zone be raised.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3385	Arne Gawn	That the Wahi Tupuna provisions and the mapped extents of the areas be rejected.	Reject	Section 5.8
3385	Arne Gawn	That the change in activity status for farm buildings be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.5

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3385	Arne Gawn	That the very low threshold for earthworks be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3385	Arne Gawn	That classifying the waterways as Wahi Tupuna be rejected.	Reject	Section 4.5
3386	Larches Station Trust	That the Wahi Tupuna areas be reduced to the river and adjacent public land.	Reject	Section 4.2
3386	Larches Station Trust	That the threshold for earthworks (Rule 25.2) be increased so as to not impact normal farming operations.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3386	Larches Station Trust	That the rules of Chapter 39 (Wahi Tupuna) be amended so as to not create additional restrictions for farm buildings.	Accept in part	Section 5.5
3386	Larches Station Trust	That those Wahi Tupuna areas identified on either side of the Cardrona River within the Larches Station be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3388	Di Williams	That Chapter 39 (Wahi Tupuna) be rejected in its entirety.	Reject	Section 4.1
3388	Di Williams	That Queenstown Lakes District Council seek to decrease barriers to housing people in the area, not increase them.	Reject	Section 4.3
3389	Colin & Norma Anderson	That the urban area of Kingston settlement be removed from Wahi Tupuna #23 and maps.	Reject	Section 4.2
3389	Colin & Norma Anderson	That the publicly managed lands such as reserves within Kingston within Wahi Tupuna #23 be retained.	Reject	Section 4.2
3389	Colin & Norma Anderson	That specifically identified and publicly disclosed sites of significance within Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3389	Colin & Norma Anderson	That land outside of the current developed urban area of Kingston be retained within Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 4.2
3389	Colin & Norma Anderson	That the identified threats of 'subdivision and development' and 'building and structures' be deleted from Schedule 39.6 Wahi Tupuna #23.	Reject	Section 5.13
3389	Colin & Norma Anderson	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna be removed from the existing Kingston urban area and be replaced with the permitted 300m <sup>3</sup> of the notified Settlement Zone.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3389	Colin & Norma Anderson	That the maximum 10m <sup>3</sup> earthworks volume threshold in Rule 25.5.2 for Wahi Tupuna areas be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3389	Colin & Norma Anderson	That for Wahi Tupuna #23 consultation only be required with Te Ao Marama Inc.	Reject	Section 5.3
3389	Colin & Norma Anderson	That Council review the Dunedin City Council Wahi Tupuna policy and consultation process and recommended improvements be given consideration.	Reject	Section 3.2
3389	Colin & Norma Anderson	That further consideration is given to preventing ongoing and indefinite need to consult with Runaka over the same piece of land.	Reject	Section 3.4

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3390	Penelope Young	That Chapter 39 (Wahi Tupuna) be rejected in its entirety.	Reject	Section 4.1
3392	Eileen & Roman Stewart	That the wahi tupuna layer be removed from the submitter's property at 503 Frankton Road, Queenstown.	Reject	Section 4.2
3392	Eileen & Roman Stewart	That wahi tupuna layer be removed from the land along Frankton Road, Queenstown.	Reject	Section 5.8
3393	Murray Scott and Joy McDonald	That the wahi tupuna overlay be removed from the submitter's property at 1218 Gibbston Highway (Lot 1 and Lot 2 DP 405264) and instead be depicted outside of their property along the legal boundary between their property and the reserve land.	Reject	Section 4.2
3393	Murray Scott and Joy McDonald	That the wahi tupuna overlay be confined to the Arrow River cadastral boundaries, including the reserve land.	Reject	Section 4.2
3394	Dynamic Guest House Limited	That Chapter 39 be renamed "Tapuwae Whaiora" to reflect the Maori heritage of the District grounded in kaitiakitanga, Maori aspirations, and informed by New Zealand's colonial past, present, and future together with the legal and political context of the Treaty of Waitangi in the constitution of New Zealand.	Reject	Section 4.2
3394	Dynamic Guest House Limited	That the application of the variation to Rule 25.4.2 to (1) areas currently indicated as falling within Wahi Tupuna #33 and/or on properties whose title fall within and outside of the current mapped site 33 (Wakatipu-Wai-Maori) overlay and (2) properties adjacent to lake (edge) reserve and any statutory acknowledgment area be rejected.	Reject	Section 3.4
3394	Dynamic Guest House Limited	That the high policy and mid-level policy Chapters (3-6) of the Proposed District Plan be re-notified as they relate to a revised Chapter 39 (Wahi Tupuna).	Reject	Section 2.2
3394	Dynamic Guest House Limited	That the objectives and policies in 39.2 be deleted.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3394	Dynamic Guest House Limited	That Rule 39.5.1 be deleted.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3394	Dynamic Guest House Limited	That a revised re-notified Chapter 39 (Wahi Tupuna) make provision for discrete Wahi Tupuna sites for inclusion on a Wahi Tupuna heritage list for places of outstanding national heritage value after appropriate consultation has been had with Heritage New Zealand and any affected property owners.	Reject	Section 3.4
3394	Dynamic Guest House Limited	That all parties affected by Wahi Tupuna # 33 (Wakatipu-Wai-Maori) and/or statutory acknowledgement area (including moorings and jettys) be notified.	Reject	Section 3.1
3394	Dynamic Guest House Limited	That wahi tupuna #33 (Wakatipu-Wai-Maori) be reclassified (with appropriate boundary) as a statutory acknowledgement area (SAA) within Chapter 39.	Reject	Section 3.4

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
3394	Dynamic Guest House Limited	That Chapter 39 (Wahi Tupuna) be rejected.	Reject	Section 4.1
3394	Dynamic Guest House Limited	That the variation to Rule 25.4.2 be rejected.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3394	Dynamic Guest House Limited	That a revised Chapter 39 (Wahi Tupuna) be re-notified.	Reject	Section 4.5
3395	R. Buckham	That Chapter 39 (Wahi Tupuna), it's related mapping overlay and its associated variations are rejected in their current form; alternatively, that the provisions be modified so as to meet the various concerns of the submitter as raised in its submission by removing the layer from its property, and to otherwise achieve the purpose of the Act.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3395	R. Buckham	That the Council undertake further work to identify more concisely those values and sites that they are seeking to protect, the interrelationship with zoned and developed land, and re-notify a more informed proposal; alternatively, that the provisions be modified so as to meet the various concerns of the submitter as raised in its submission by removing the layer from its property, and to otherwise achieve the purpose of the Act.	Reject	Section 4.5
3395	R. Buckham	That any other or additional or consequential relief (on top of rejecting Chapter 39, undertaking further work, or removing the Wahi Tupuna layer from the property) to the Proposed District Plan is sought, including but not limited to, the maps, issues, objectives, policies, rules, discretions, assessment criteria and explanations that will fully give effect to the matters raised in the submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3396	New Zermatt Properties Ltd	That Chapter 39, its related mapping overlay and its associated variations are rejected in their current form; in the alternative, the provisions be modified so as to meet the various concerns of the submitter as raised in its submission by removing the layer from its property, and to otherwise achieve the purpose of the Act.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3396	New Zermatt Properties Ltd	That the Council undertake further work to identify more concisely those values and sites that they are seeking to protect, the interrelationship with zoned and developed land, and re-notify a more informed proposal, alternatively, that the provisions be modified so as to meet the various concerns of the submitter as raised in its submission by removing the layer from its property, and to otherwise achieve the purpose of the Act.	Reject	Section 4.5
3396	New Zermatt Properties Ltd	That any other additional or consequential relief (on top of rejecting Chapter 39, undertaking further work, or removing the Wahi Tupuna layer from the property) to the Proposed District Plan is sought, including but not limited to, the maps, issues,	Accept in part	Section 5.8

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		objectives, policies, rules, discretions, assessment criteria and explanations that will fully give effect to the matters raised in the submission.		
3397	Luke Charles Hasselman	That Proposed Chapter 39 (Wahi Tupuna) be deleted in it's entirety or Temple Peak (contained in Records of Title 25359, 623350, OT7C/626, OT7/627, OT8A/271) be removed as a Wahi Tupuna area.	Reject	Section 4.5
3398	Chris Willett	That the Wahi Tupuna map overlay be amended to remove the overlay from being shown on the submitter's property (352 Morven Ferry Road). Instead, it should be depicted along the legal boundary between their property and the adjacent reserve land where the land is far less modified.	Reject	Section 5.8
3399	Cattle Flat Station and Aspiring Helicopters Ltd	That Chapter 39, associated mapping and variations be rejected until the mapping of Wahi Tupuna areas and scheduling of their values and recognised threats is carried out in a fair and consistent manner.	Reject	Section 4.1
3399	Cattle Flat Station and Aspiring Helicopters Ltd	That the mapping of Wahi Tupuna should have a level of detail that takes into account the specifics of individual properties.	Reject	Section 5.7
3399	Cattle Flat Station and Aspiring Helicopters Ltd	That the mapping of Wahi Tupuna includes meaningful direction to landowners and Council as to the extent of the Wahi Tupuna areas and the associated values and threats.	Accept in part	Section 4.5
3399	Cattle Flat Station and Aspiring Helicopters Ltd	That the mapping and scheduling of values and recognised threats be supported by a clear methodology and an appropriate level of detail.	Accept in part	Section 4.5
3399	Cattle Flat Station and Aspiring Helicopters Ltd	That the following policy be included within Chapter 39: 'Recognise and provide for the ongoing operation of existing farming and/or commercial activities within Wahi Tupuna areas'.	Reject	Section 5.3
3399	Cattle Flat Station and Aspiring Helicopters Ltd	That Rule 39.4.1 be deleted.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3399	Cattle Flat Station and Aspiring Helicopters Ltd	That Rule 39.5.2 is deleted or amended to remove reference to 'structures'.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3399	Cattle Flat Station and Aspiring Helicopters Ltd	That Rule 25.4.5.1 be deleted.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3399	Cattle Flat Station and Aspiring Helicopters Ltd	That Rule 25.5.2 be deleted or amended to exclude earthworks associated with farming activities through the inclusion of the wording 'with the exception of earthworks associated with farming activities'.	Accept in part	Section 5.11
3399	Cattle Flat Station and Aspiring Helicopters Ltd	That any such further or consequential or alternative amendments necessary to give effect to this submission be provided.	Accept in part	Section 5.6
3399	Cattle Flat Station and Aspiring Helicopters Ltd	That the areas of the submitter's property (Cattle Flat station legally described as Lots 3 and 4 DP 438304 and Part Section 1	Accept in part	Section 5.8

No.	Submitter	Submission Summary	Recommendation	Section where addressed
		Block VI Motatapu Survey District and Section 2, 1561R Block VI Motatapu Survey District and Sections 1, 3, 5 to 8, 14, 19 to 23 and 25 to 30 Survey Office Plan 367599 held on Record of Title 541972) which have not been identified as wahi tupuna be retained as notified.		
3400	Orange Lakes (NZ) Limited	That Chapter 39 be deleted in its entirety, or that Hunter Valley Station, 1008 Meads Road, Hunter Valley (contained in Record of Title OTA2/1310) be removed as a Wahi Tupuna area.	Reject	Section 4.2
3401	Glen Dene Ltd & Glen Dene Holdings	That the Chapter 39 (Wahi Tupuna) proposal be rejected in it's entirety.	Reject	Section 4.1
3401	Glen Dene Ltd & Glen Dene Holdings	That further clarification be provided in regard to the application of Policy 39.2.1.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3401	Glen Dene Ltd & Glen Dene Holdings	That further clarification be provided in regard to the application of Policy 39.2.1.2.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3401	Glen Dene Ltd & Glen Dene Holdings	That further clarification be provided in regard to the application of Policy 39.2.1.3.	Accept in part	Section 5.3
3401	Glen Dene Ltd & Glen Dene Holdings	That further clarification be provided in regard to the identification of specific wahi tupuna areas within an overlay on the planning maps.	Accept in part	Section 5.7
3402	Keri Hewitt	That the proposed Wahi Tupuna boundary along the Hawea River be reviewed.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3402	Keri Hewitt	That the boundaries of Wahi Tupuna in Hawea are amended to follow the water race that runs along both sides of the river.	Accept in part	Section 5.8
3404	Cardrona Village Ltd	That the wahi tupuna overlay be removed from the submitter's land at Cardrona (Lot 4 DP 507227, Lots 7-17 DP 440230, Lot 1 DP 310692, and Section 47 Block I Cardrona SD), or any other similar or alternative relief that is necessary to provide for the general outcome sought by the submission.	Accept in part	Section 5.6