



# FORM 5: SUBMISSION

ON NOTIFIED PROPOSED DISTRICT PLAN OR PLAN CHANGE OR VARIATION OR POLICY STATEMENT



QLDC Wanaka

02 OCT 2023

Clause 6 of Schedule 1, Resource Management Act 1991

**TO //** Queenstown Lakes District Council

Name of submitter [full name] *Amelia Grace Allpress*

This is a submission on the following proposed policy statement (or on the following proposed plan or on a change proposed to the following policy statement or plan or on the following proposed variation to a proposed policy statement or on the following proposed variation to a proposed plan or on the following proposed variation to a change to an existing policy statement or plan) (the **proposal**):

**NAME OF //** Proposed or existing policy statement or plan and (where applicable) change or variation

*8.5.1 + 13.5.10*

I  could /  could not\*\*

gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

\*I  am /  am not\*\*

directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission:

- (a) adversely affects the environment; and
- (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

\* Delete entire paragraph if you could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.  
\*\* Select one.

**SPECIFIC PROVISIONS //** Of the proposal that my submission relates to are:

[give details]

*- Intensification and increases in height limits.*

**MY SUBMISSION**

[Include: whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended; and reasons for your view]

*The ~~lack~~ lack of consulting within the existing community and the effect on wanaka as a town in future.*

\*If your submission relates to a proposed policy statement or plan prepared or changed using the collaborative planning process, you must indicate the following:

- > whether you consider that the proposed plan or policy statement or change falls to give effect to a consensus position and therefore how it should be modified; or
- > in the case that your submission addresses a point on which the collaborative group did not reach a consensus position, how that provision in the plan or policy statement should be modified.

\* This paragraph may be deleted if the proposal is not subject to a collaborative planning process.

✓ **I SEEK THE FOLLOWING DECISION // From the local authority**

[give precise details]

- That these variations be rejected and the existing rules be maintained.

\*I  wish /  do not wish\*\* to be heard in support of my submission.

I  will /  will not\*\* consider presenting a joint case with others presenting similar submissions.

\* In the case of a submission made on a proposed planning instrument that is subject to a streamlined planning process, you need only indicate whether you wish to be heard if the direction specifies that a hearing will be held.

\*\* Select one.

 **SIGNATURE**


\*\*Signature

[or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter]



Date 30/09/23

\*\* A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.

 **YOUR DETAILS // Our preferred methods of corresponding with you are by email and phone.**

Electronic address for service of submitter [email]

Telephone [work]

[home]


[mobile]

Postal Address

[or alternative method of service under section 352 of the Act]

Post code

Contact person [name and designation, if applicable]

 **NOTE // To person making submission**

If you are a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission, your right to make a submission may be limited by clause 6(4) of Part 1 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- It is frivolous or vexatious:
- It discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
- It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
- It contains offensive language:
- It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

