

Minutes of a Community & Services Committee Workshop

Tuesday 16 September 2025 at 11.30am Council Chambers, 10 Gorge Road, Queenstown

| Membership: | Councillor Craig Ferguson | Councillor Esther Whitehead |
|----------------|---|-----------------------------|
| | Councillor Barry Bruce | Councillor Lisa Guy |
| | Councillor Cody Tucker | Councillor Matt Wong |
| | | |
| Apologies: | None. | |
| In attendance: | Ken Bailey | Matthew Judd |
| | Briana Pringle | Sophie Craig |
| | Jeannie Galavazi | Kat Banyard |
| | Marie Day | Dave Winterburn |
| Media: | No members of the media in attendance. | |
| Public: | Approximately five members of the public in attendance. | |

| No. | Agenda Item | Actions |
|-----|--|---------|
| 1. | Sunshine Bay, Frankton, Kelvin Heights Foreshore Management Plan review options | None. |
| | The purpose of this item was to seek direction as to the timing and scale of the review of the Sunshine Bay, Frankton, Kelvin Heights Foreshore Management Plan 1991 (RMP). | |
| | Matthew Judd (Parks Property Planner) introduced the item and spoke to a PowerPoint presentation (Attachment A), alongside Jeannie Glavazi (Principal Parks Planner). | |
| | It was noted that: | |
| | The current RMP is over 30 years old and due for a future review, however, remains operational. The RMP states that commercial operations at the jetties should cease once the Frankton Marina is upgraded and complete, which has now occurred. The KJet licence expires at the end of January 2026. An interim month-to-month agreement is in place until the RMP review is completed. | |



| No. | Agenda Item | Actions | |
|-----|---|---------|--|
| | Three options for progressing the review were presented in the Powerpoint presentation for elected members to discuss and provide feedback: Comprehensive RMP (full or partial) review when work programme allows Commence immediate comprehensive RMP (full or partial) review Review of specific policies in response to commercial request prior to comprehensive review | | |
| | The following points were discussed: | | |
| | There was general support for a review that enables broad public engagement and reflects the evolving needs of the community. A full review would potentially enable broader perspectives although would be more time consuming. A partial review could be quicker and more responsive to specific areas, such as Frankton Beach, which experiences high visitor demand and may warrant focused attention. Segmenting the review could allow tailored responses to individual community needs but may reduce efficiency and lead to duplicated work if further partial reviews are required. The month-to-month lease arrangement was noted as challenging for commercial certainty and planning. Public transport (PT) considerations were raised, including the design of a jetty that could support public transport services. There was interest in aligning the RMP review with broader strategic planning, including an integrated transport plan. The importance of balancing reserve protection with enabling community and commercial opportunities was emphasised. It was noted that previous amendments to the RMP were made in collaboration with the Planning & Infrastructure team, particularly regarding the PT jetty. The term "immediate" was clarified to mean initiating the process for bringing the item back to a formal committee meeting, with consideration of its priority relative to other work programmes. It was noted that KJet currently operates from the Frankton Marina but is seeking a second operational site. | | |
| | A full review is estimated to take approximately 12 months – 18 months to get underway. | | |
| | There was general support amongst committee members for option 2 with an immediate partial review of the RMP, although some support also for option 1, with an added condition of starting the | | |



| Agenda Item | Actions |
|--|--|
| review within the next 12 months. Some members remained undecided. | |
| It was noted that the discussion and feedback would be taken on board by the team. | |
| Attachments: | |
| 77 | |
| | review within the next 12 months. Some members remained undecided. It was noted that the discussion and feedback would be taken on board by the team. |

The workshop concluded at 12.13pm