

Good afternoon my name is Megan Davies and I am the chairperson of the Hidden Hills Residents Association.

Being neighbours of the Mt Iron ONF I submitted on behalf of our residents primarily due to our concerns of car parking within the Mt Iron Reserve above Hidden Hills. This subject was raised in an email between one of our residents and the director of APL Property who was employed by QLDC with regards to the acquisition of Mt Iron. (Aug 22)

Our submission point 56.2 therefore requested that the landscape capacity, point 5, "earthworks" be amended from "very limited" to "no landscape capacity". I note that although this submission point was rejected we do strongly support the recommendation that the landscape capacity be amended to "very limited to no" as seen in the comments in Janice Hughes submission number 25.4.

We also thank you for accepting our submission number 56.3 that "no landscape capacity" be retained for "transport infrastructure" which we hope will ensure that no new carpark will be created within this Outstanding Natural Feature.

We have noted that although our submission number 56.1, a submission to amend the landscape capacity of visitor accomodation from "very limited" to "no", was rejected, a submission by Janice Hughes (#25.2), requesting the same amendment was accepted.

The comment in submission 35.1 to which we were referred, considers there is "a very small tolerance for such development". As there is currently one building platform on the eastern flank of Mt Iron which would allow for development of visitor accomodation I would like to reiterate our submission that there is no landscape capacity for such development. This is due to the extreme wildfire risk of this landscape and the huge potential for loss of life.

I would like to point out to the panel that there are a number of reports in the public domain that would strongly support the submission for 'no capacity for visitor accomodation'.

This wildfire threat was reported by SCION with their report "Risk perception and preparedness in a high wildfire risk community : case study of Northern Wanaka/Albert Town, Otago". QLDC commissioned their own report , a "Wildfire Threat assessment Report" of QLDC Reserves. FENZ has also made available wildfire risk mitigation actions and we believe that FENZ would strongly recommend that no visitor accomodation be built on Mt Iron.

Therefore we believe that our submission point 56.1 should be accepted, as 56.1B has been, that there is no landscape capacity for structures, visitor accomodation or ~~others~~ included.

In conclusion I thank you for your time, for your acceptance of our submission points that I have mentioned, and hope that you will reconsider that visitor accomodation have no landscape capacity.