

Richard Powell for QLDC: Summary of Evidence, Stream 17 and 18 29/6/2020 - Infrastructure

1. I have provided infrastructure (Three Waters) evidence (including two statements of rebuttal) on rezoning submissions for GIZ, RVZ and the Settlement Zone.
2. For the majority of the submissions I do not oppose the rezoning from an infrastructure perspective.
3. I continue to oppose the following two rezoning:
 - (a) Universal Developments Hawea Limited (3248); and
 - (b) Corbridge Estate Limited Partnership (31021).

UNIVERSAL DEVELOPMENTS HAWEA LIMITED (3248)

4. The key reasons I oppose the Universal Developments submission are:
 - (a) The existing infrastructure already has constraints at the source for water and at the treatment plant for wastewater, there is no existing capacity, for the increased demand that would result if the rezoning was granted.
 - (b) The programmed future upgrades (Project Pure) to remove the existing constraints do not account for the increased demand that would result from the granting of the rezoning, only for future development in the current growth boundary.
 - (c) There are no funds allocated within the Long Term Plan to fund upgrades required for the increased demand.

CORBRIDGE ESTATE LIMITED PARTNERSHIP (31021)

5. The key reasons I oppose the Corbridge Estate submission are:
 - (a) The existing infrastructure does not have sufficient capacity for the increased demand that would result if the rezoning was granted.
 - (b) The programmed future upgrades for the existing infrastructure does not account for the increased demand that would result from the granting of the rezoning.
 - (c) There are no funds allocated within the Long Term Plan to fund upgrades required for the increased demand.