

**IN THE ENVIRONMENT COURT  
Christchurch Registry**

---

**ENV-2018-CHC-183**

**IN THE MATTER** of the Resource Management Act  
1991 ("RMA")

**AND**

**IN THE MATTER** of an appeal under Clause 14(1)  
Schedule 1 of the RMA

**AND**

**IN THE MATTER** of s274 of the RMA

**BETWEEN** **REMARKABLES PARK LIMITED**  
**Appellant**

**AND** **QUEENSTOWN AIRPORT  
CORPORATION LIMITED**  
**Respondent**

**AND** **QUEENSTOWN LAKES  
DISTRICT COUNCIL**  
**Local Authority**

---

**NOTICE BY BOARD OF AIRLINE REPRESENTATIVES NEW  
ZEALAND INC OF WISH TO BE PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS  
PURSUANT TO S274 OF THE RMA**

**11 September 2018**

---

**Counsel:**  
G K Chappell  
Vulcan Building Chambers  
P O Box 3320  
Shortland Street, Auckland 1140  
[gkchappell@xtra.co.nz](mailto:gkchappell@xtra.co.nz)  
Tel: 09 3001259

**NOTICE OF WISH BY BOARD OF AIRLINE REPRESENTATIVES NEW ZEALAND INC TO BE PARTY TO PROCEEDINGS**

**To:           The Registrar  
                  Environment Court  
                  Christchurch**

1. Take notice that the BOARD OF AIRLINE REPRESENTATIVES NEW ZEALAND INC ("BARNZ") wishes, pursuant to s274 of the RMA, to be a party to the following proceedings: Remarkables Park Limited v Queenstown Airport Corporation and Queenstown Lakes District Council (ENV-2018-CHC-183).

**Nature of Interest**

2. BARNZ is an entity that has an interest in the proceedings that is greater than the general public has. BARNZ is the body that represents the commercial airlines that utilise Queenstown Airport. As users of the Airport the airlines are directly affected by operational constraints associated with airport approach and land use controls.

**Extent of interest**

3. BARNZ is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308CA of the Resource Management Act 1991.
4. BARNZ is interested in the parts of the notice of appeal that refer to amendments to strip width (D.1).

**Opposition to Relief Sought**

5. BARNZ opposes the relief sought on the grounds that the Appellant has no scope to seek to alter Designation 2 to provide a maximum Strip Width of 75 metres: Strip widths are managed in Designation 4.
6. To the extent that there is scope to amend Designation 2, BARNZ opposes the relief sought on the following grounds:
  - (a) The inclusion of the strip width in Designation 4 is not a material change and in any event is necessary to ensure consistency with the Figure 1 Queenstown Airport: Airport Approach and Protection Measures;
  - (b) The Respondent's change to the strip width from 75m to 150m:

- i. is appropriate to ensure continued operational safety of aircraft;
  - ii. will have no practical effect as it reflects current operational management.
  - iii. will promote the sustainable management of a physical resource (the Airports);
  - iv. is consistent with Part 2 and other provisions of the RMA.
7. BARNZ seeks that the relief sought be declined.

**Alternative Dispute Resolution**

8. BARNZ agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.



---

**G K Chappell**  
Counsel for BARNZ

**Dated** this 11<sup>th</sup> day of September 2018

**Address for service of BARNZ**

Counsel:  
Gillian Chappell  
Barrister  
Vulcan Building Chambers  
P O Box 3320  
Shortland Street  
Auckland 1140

Telephone: 09 3001259  
Email: [gkchappell@xtra.co.nz](mailto:gkchappell@xtra.co.nz)

TO: The Registrar, Environment Court

AND TO: The Appellant  
The Respondent  
The Local Authority

**Advice**

If you have any questions about this notice, contact the Environment Court Unit of the Department for Courts in Auckland, Wellington or Christchurch.