

## Julia Chalmers

---

**From:** Blair Devlin <Blair.Devlin@qldc.govt.nz>  
**Sent:** Monday, 2 December 2013 3:15 PM  
**To:** Nigel Bryce  
**Subject:** FW: Plan Change 46

---

**From:** Oliver Brown [<mailto:Oliver.G.Brown@mwhglobal.com>]  
**Sent:** Monday, 26 August 2013 4:00 p.m.  
**To:** Blair Devlin  
**Cc:** Mike Smith; Andy Carr  
**Subject:** FW: Plan Change 46

Blair

Further to my earlier email, below is the response from Andy. It is unclear from this whether Abley agree that further information is required to confirm a suitable intersection location and land requirement footprint.

To address this today, MWH would like the following noted in respect to the letter:

With reference to Item 1.6 of the Abley letter to Council (Blair Devlin) dated 26 August 2013, MWH agree that the indicative land should be shown (as per Item 1.3 of the letter) but still require that this be based on achieving a compliant roundabout design. A prelim/concept design and confirmation that required sight distances can be achieved will be required to demonstrate that a compliant design can be achieved. As presented to date, and discussed at the meeting, it is not clear that a roundabout is actually a feasible mitigation measure.

We are comfortable that the reminder of the letter accurately records our meeting and agreed actions.

Regards,

Oliver

---

**From:** Andy Carr [<mailto:andy.carr@abley.com>]  
**Sent:** Monday, 26 August 2013 3:42 p.m.  
**To:** Oliver Brown  
**Subject:** Re: Plan Change 46

Hi Olly - might be best for you to liaise with Blair directly - I can't get onto it as I'm in a meeting for the rest of the day

Andy

Andy Carr | Associate Principal  
Abley Transportation Consultants  
DDI: 03 3679009 M: 027 5611967  
--- sent from my iPhone ---

On 26/08/2013, at 3:23 PM, "Oliver Brown" <[Oliver.G.Brown@mwhglobal.com](mailto:Oliver.G.Brown@mwhglobal.com)> wrote:

Andy

For Item 1.6, we agree that indicative land should be shown (as per Item 1.3) but still require that this be based on achieving a compliant roundabout design, and this will require a prelim/concept design and confirmation that required sight distances can be achieved. This point does not come across in the letter. As discussed at the meeting, its not clear that a roundabout is actually a feasible mitigation measure.

Are you able to amend your letter to reflect this, and if you do not agree, as least identify this is MWH's opinion.

Thanks

Olly

---

**From:** Andy Carr [<mailto:andy.carr@abley.com>]  
**Sent:** Monday, 26 August 2013 1:23 p.m.  
**To:** [Blair.Devlin@qldc.govt.nz](mailto:Blair.Devlin@qldc.govt.nz)  
**Cc:** Oliver Brown  
**Subject:** Plan Change 46

Hi Blair

Further to my meeting with MWH last Friday, please find attached a letter which summarises our discussions and which addresses the outstanding matters.

Cheers

Andy

**Andy Carr | Associate Principal**  
DDI: 03 367 9009 | M: 027 561 1967  
30a Carlyle Street | PO Box 25350 | Christchurch 8144  
[Abley Transportation Consultants Ltd](#) | Christchurch | Auckland | Queenstown