

In the Environment Court of New Zealand
Christchurch Registry

I Te Koti Taiao o Aotearoa
Ōtautahi Rohe

ENV-2018-CHC-000078

Under	the Resource Management Act 1991 (RMA)
In the matter of	An appeal under clause 14(1) of Schedule 1 of the RMA in relation to the proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan
Between	Department of Conservation Appellant
And	Queenstown Lakes District Council Respondent

Notice of Cardrona Alpine Resort Limited wish to be party to proceedings pursuant to section 274 of the Resource Management Act 1991

10 July 2018

Contact details for the party wishing to be party to the proceedings:

Ben Farrell
C/- John Edmonds & Associates
Level 2, 36 Shotover Street
PO Box 95, Queenstown 9300
Phone: +6421767622
ben@jea.co.nz

To: The Registrar
Environment Court
Level 1, District Court Building
282 Durham Street
PO Box 2069
Christchurch 8013

1. Cardrona Alpine Resort Limited (**CARL**) wish to be a party to the following proceedings:
Department of Conservation v Queenstown Lakes District Council [ENV-2018-CHC-000078]
2. CARL made a submission about the subject matter of the proceedings.
3. CARL is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the Resource Management Act 1991.
4. CARL is interested in all the proceedings.
5. CARL generally opposes the relief because vegetation clearance is appropriate in the Cardrona Ski Area Sub Zone¹ and the relief seeks to introduce provisions which are not the most appropriate in respect of implementing the purpose of the RMA or applicable higher order provisions.
6. CARL agrees to participate in mediation or other alternative dispute resolution of the proceedings.

Dated this 10th Day of July 2018



Signature of person authorised to sign on behalf of person wishing to be a party
[Ben Farrell]

Address for service of person wishing to be a party:

Contact: Ben Farrell - Planner

Address: C/- John Edmonds + Associates
Level 2, 36 Shotover Street
PO Box 95
Queenstown 9300

Email: ben@jea.co.nz

Telephone: 021 767 622

¹ The extent of the zone as per the decision and as sought to be extended by appellants