

BEFORE THE ENVIRONMENT COURT

Decision No. [2013] NZEnvC 29

IN THE MATTER of the Resource Management Act 1991 (**the Act**) and appeals pursuant to clause 14 of the First Schedule and a designation under section 174 of the Act

BETWEEN AIR NEW ZEALAND LTD

(ENV-2011-WLG-001)

QUEENSTOWN AIRPORT
CORPORATION LTD

(ENV-2011-WLG-003)

REMARKABLES PARK LTD AND
SHOTOVER PARK LTD

(ENV-2011-WLG-004)

Appellants

AND QUEENSTOWN LAKES DISTRICT
COUNCIL

Respondent

BETWEEN AIR NEW ZEALAND LTD

(ENV-2011-WLG-014)

REMARKABLES PARK LTD AND
SHOTOVER PARK LTD

(ENV-2011-WLG-016)

Appellants

AND QUEENSTOWN AIRPORT
CORPORATION LTD

Respondent



Hearing: In Chambers at Christchurch
Court: Environment Judge J E Borthwick
Date of Decision: 6 March 2013
Date of Issue: 6 March 2013

ERRATUM

- A: Under section 278 of the Resource Management Act 1991 and Rule 1.15 District Court Rules 2009, I direct the Registrar to:
- (i) correct the paragraph references found in [19] and [21] of the original second interim decision; and
 - (ii) attach a copy of this Erratum to that decision.

REASONS

[1] It has come to my attention that the second interim decision issued on 5 March 2013,¹ on pages 7 & 8, at paragraphs [19] and [21] frustratingly contained incorrect paragraph references.

[2] Paragraph [19] referenced an objective found at paragraph [20] where this objective is actually found at paragraph [23]. The correct wording for paragraph [19] is:

“We have amended the objective at paragraph [23] below to bring it in line with the higher order provisions of plan change 19, as proposed to be amended by the court, and set out in Part 16 of *Queenstown Airport Corporation Ltd and ors v Queenstown Lakes District Council* [2013] NZEnvC 14.

[3] Paragraph [21] referenced amendments found at paragraph [17] where these amendments are in fact found at paragraph [20]. The correct wording for paragraph [21] is:


¹ Decision No. [2013] NZEnvC 28



“If the court is not correct in its understanding that the 60 dB AANC and not 2037 60 dB Noise Contour applies, then the parties are to file a joint memorandum by **15 March 2013** explaining the reason for the difference. If no memorandum is filed, the court approves the implementation methods subject to the amendments at [20].”

[4] In all other respects the decision remains unchanged.

For the Court:


J E Borthwick
Environment Judge

