

**In the Environment Court
at Christchurch**

ENV-2018-CHC-195

In the Matter

of the Resource Management Act
1991

And

In the Matter

of an appeal under Clause 14(1),
Schedule 1 of the Act

Between

KENNETH MUIR

Appellant

And

**QUEENSTOWN LAKES DISTRICT
COUNCIL**

Respondent

**Notice of Queenstown Airport
Corporation Limited's wish to be Party
to Proceedings**

Dated: 12 October 2018

Lane Neave
Level 1, 2 Memorial Street
PO Box 701
Queenstown
Solicitor Acting: Rebecca Wolt
Email: Rebecca.wolt@laneneave.co.nz
Phone: 03 450 1365

lane neave.

To: The Registrar
Environment Court
Christchurch

1. Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited (**QAC**) wishes to be party to the following appeal on the Proposed Queenstown Lakes District Plan – Stage 1 (**Proposed Plan**):

Kenneth Muir v Queenstown Lakes District Council (ENV-2018-CHC-195)
(Appeal)

2. QAC made a further submission about the subject matter of the Appeal.¹ QAC also has an interest in the Appeal that is greater than the interest that the general public has as the land that is the subject of the Appeal (**Land**) is located near Queenstown Airport and the activities sought to be enabled on the Land by the Appeal have the potential to impact the Airport's operations.
3. QAC is not a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308C or 308CA of the Resource Management Act 1991 (**Act**).
4. QAC is interested in all of the Appeal.
5. QAC is interested in the following particular issues:
 - (a) The zoning of land in proximity to Queenstown Airport that would enable the establishment of activities sensitive to aircraft noise (**ASAN**) including any zoning that would enable the intensification of ASAN for the Airport, and any reverse sensitivity or amenity effects that may arise as a result of such zoning.
6. QAC **conditionally opposes** the relief sought in the Appeal to the extent that it would enable the intensification of ASAN beyond that provided for under the operative zoning. QAC generally considers that the relief in the Appeal:
 - (a) does not provide adequate protection for the Airport from reverse sensitivity effects;
 - (b) may give rise to adverse amenity effects as a result of aircraft noise;

¹ QAC made a further submission on submission 125, which was incorrectly identified in QAC's submission as being a submission by Don Lawrence rather than Kenneth Muir who is submitter 125.

- (c) does not recognise or provide for the Queenstown Airport as regionally significant infrastructure;
 - (d) does not recognise or provide for the ongoing predicted or likely growth in operations and passenger numbers at the Airport;
 - (e) does not make adequate provision for the ongoing operation, maintenance, upgrading and development of the Airport;
 - (f) fails to achieve the functions of the Respondent under section 31 of the Act in respect of the integrated management of the effects of the use and development of land and physical resources;
 - (g) does not represent an efficient use of land under section 7(a);
 - (h) does not promote the sustainable management of natural and physical resources; and
 - (i) is otherwise not the most appropriate way to achieve the purpose of the Act.
7. QAC agrees to participate in mediation or other dispute resolution of the Appeal.

Dated this 12th day of October 2018



Rebecca Wolt/Sophie Reese

Counsel for Queenstown Airport Corporation Limited

Address for Service for the Appellant:

Lane Neave
Level 1, 2 Memorial Street
PO Box 701
Queenstown 9300
Phone: 03 450 1365
Email: Rebecca.wolt@laneneave.co.nz

Contact person: Rebecca Wolt